

Do Competing Specialists and Preferencing Dealers Affect Market Quality?

[Get access >](#)

,,

The Review of Financial Studies, Volume 10, Issue 4, October 1997, Pages 969–993, <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/10.4.969>

Published: 04 June 2015

Abstract

We empirically demonstrate that the opportunities the Boston Stock Exchange and the Cincinnati Stock Exchange offer members to take the other side of their customers' orders through affiliated market makers (to internalize orders) have little short-run effect on posted or effective bid-ask spreads. This is true despite substantial movement of order flow away from the New York Stock Exchange when trading under one of these regional stock exchange programs begins. These results contrast with the adverse effects of market fragmentation and internalization predicted by some theoretical market microstructure analyses and the popular financial press.

Oxford University Press

Issue Section: [Article](#)

You do not currently have access to this article.


Sign in

 [Get help with access](#)

Personal account

- Sign in with email/username & password
- Get email alerts
- Save searches
- Purchase content

Institutional access

 [Sign in through your institution](#)

[Sign in through your institution](#)

[Sign in with a library card](#)

- Activate your purchase/trial code
- Add your ORCID iD

Sign in >

Register

[Sign in with username/password](#)

[Recommend to your librarian](#)

Institutional account management

[Sign in as administrator](#)

Purchase

[Subscription prices and ordering for this journal](#)

[Purchasing options for books and journals across Oxford Academic](#)

Short-term Access

To purchase short-term access, please sign in to your personal account above.

Don't already have a personal account? [Register](#)

Do Competing Specialists and Preferencing Dealers Affect Market Quality? - 24 Hours access

EUR €51.00

GBP £44.00

USD \$55.00

Rental



This article is also available for rental through DeepDyve.