JOURNAL ARTICLE

# Why New Issues and High-Accrual Firms Underperform: The Role of Analysts' Credulity

Get access >

Siew Hong Teoh , T. J. Wong

*The Review of Financial Studies*, Volume 15, Issue 3, April 2002, Pages 869–900, https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/15.3.869

Published: 16 June 2015


#### Abstract

We find that analysts' forecast errors are predicted by past accounting accruals (adjustments to cash flows to obtain reported earnings) among both equity issuers and nonissuers. Analysts are more optimistic for the subsequent four years for issuers reporting higher issue-year accruals. The predictive power is greater for discretionary accruals than nondiscretionary accruals and is independent of the presence of an underwriting affiliation. Predicted forecast errors from accruals significantly explain the long-term underperformance of new issuers. The predictability of forecast errors among *non*issuers suggests that analysts' credulity about accruals management more generally contributes to market inefficiency.

Copyright The Society for Financial Studies 2002

Issue Section: Original Article

You do not currently have access to this article.



- Save searches
- Purchase content
- Activate your purchase/trial code
- Add your ORCID iD



Sign in through your institution Sign in with a library card Sign in with username/password Recommend to your librarian

Institutional account management

Sign in as administrator

## Purchase

Subscription prices and ordering for this journal

Purchasing options for books and journals across Oxford Academic

### **Short-term Access**

To purchase short-term access, please sign in to your personal account above.

Don't already have a personal account? Register

Why New Issues and High-Accrual Firms Underperform: The Role of Analysts' Credulity - 24 Hours access

EUR €53.00 GBP £44.00 USD \$58.00

### Rental



This article is also available for rental through DeepDyve.