

quick links

- [Login](#)
- [Help Pages](#)
- [About](#)

Quantitative Finance > Statistical Finance

arXiv:1511.07101 (q-fin)

[Submitted on 23 Nov 2015]

Risk-return relationship: An empirical study of different statistical methods for estimating the Capital Asset Pricing Models (CAPM) and the Fama-French model for large cap stocks

[Linh Nghiem](#)

[View PDF](#)

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is one of the original models in explaining risk-return relationship in the financial market. However, when applying the CAPM into reality, it demonstrates a lot of shortcomings. While improving the performance of the model, many studies, on one hand, have attempted to apply different statistical methods to estimate the model, on the other hand, have added more predictors to the model. First, the thesis focuses on reviewing the CAPM and comparing popular statistical methods used to estimate it, and then, the thesis compares predictive power of the CAPM and the Fama-French model, which is an important extension of the CAPM. Through an empirical study on the data set of large cap stocks, we have demonstrated that there is no statistical method that would recover the expected relationship between systematic risk (represented by beta) and return from the CAPM, and that the Fama-French model does not have a better predictive performance than the CAPM on individual stocks. Therefore, the thesis provides more evidence to support the incorrectness of the CAPM and the limitation of the Fama-French model in explaining risk-return relationship.


Comments: Undergraduate thesis

Subjects: **Statistical Finance (q-fin.ST)**

Cite as: [arXiv:1511.07101](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.07101) [q-fin.ST]

(or [arXiv:1511.07101v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.07101v1) [q-fin.ST] for this version)

<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1511.07101>

 Focus to learn more

arXiv-issued DOI via DataCite

Submission history

From: Linh Nghiem Linh Nghiem [[view email](#)]
[v1] Mon, 23 Nov 2015 03:25:28 UTC (645 KB)

Bibliographic Tools

Bibliographic and Citation Tools

Bibliographic Explorer Toggle

Bibliographic Explorer ([What is the Explorer?](#))

Connected Papers Toggle

Connected Papers ([What is Connected Papers?](#))

Litmaps Toggle

Litmaps ([What is Litmaps?](#))

scite.ai Toggle

scite Smart Citations ([What are Smart Citations?](#))

Code, Data, Media

Code, Data and Media Associated with this Article

alphaXiv Toggle

alphaXiv ([What is alphaXiv?](#))

Links to Code Toggle

CatalyzeX Code Finder for Papers ([What is CatalyzeX?](#))

DagsHub Toggle

DagsHub ([What is DagsHub?](#))

GotitPub Toggle

Gotit.pub ([What is GotitPub?](#))

Huggingface Toggle

Hugging Face ([What is Huggingface?](#))

ScienceCast Toggle

ScienceCast ([What is ScienceCast?](#))

Demos

Demos

Replicate Toggle

Replicate ([What is Replicate?](#))

Spaces Toggle

Hugging Face Spaces ([What is Spaces?](#))

Spaces Toggle

[TXYZ.AI \(What is TXYZ.AI?\)](#)

[Related Papers](#)

Recommenders and Search Tools

[Link to Influence Flower](#)

Influence Flower ([What are Influence Flowers?](#))

[Core recommender toggle](#)

CORE Recommender ([What is CORE?](#))


[About arXivLabs](#)

arXivLabs: experimental projects with community collaborators

arXivLabs is a framework that allows collaborators to develop and share new arXiv features directly on our website.

Both individuals and organizations that work with arXivLabs have embraced and accepted our values of openness, community, excellence, and user data privacy. arXiv is committed to these values and only works with partners that adhere to them.

Have an idea for a project that will add value for arXiv's community? [Learn more about arXivLabs.](#)



[Which authors of this paper are endorsers?](#) | [Disable MathJax](#) ([What is MathJax?](#))