

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu><http://libraries.unl.edu>[JOURNAL OF ACTUARIAL PRACTICE \(1993–2006\) \(HTTPS://DIGITALCOMMONS.UNL.EDU/JOAP\)](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/joap)

Accessibility Remediation

If you are unable to use this item in its current form due to accessibility barriers, you may request remediation through our [remediation request form](https://unlcorexmuw.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_23443H3zDahF17o) (https://unlcorexmuw.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_23443H3zDahF17o).

[Phased Retirement for Defined Benefit Plan Participants \(https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1035&context=joap\)](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1035&context=joap)

Authors

- **Patricia L. Scahill**, *American United Life Insurance Company* (https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/do/search/?q=%28author%3A%22Patricia%20L.%20Scahill%22%20AND%20bp_author_id%3A%5B%2A%20TO%20%2A%5D%29%20OR%20bp_author_id%3A%28%22034451be-a57e-47d4-8d88-66097c56b837%22%29&start=0&context=52045). Follow (<https://network.bepress.com/api/follow/subscribe?user=MmNhODI5NGMwMDY5N2M5Mw%3D%3D&institution=MmZmZmExNzVkZDc0MDU1Ng%3D%3D&format=html>)
- **Jonathan Barry Forman**, *University of Oklahoma* (https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/do/search/?q=%28author%3A%22Jonathan%20Barry%20Forman%22%20AND%20bp_author_id%3A%5B%2A%20TO%20%2A%5D%29%20OR%20bp_author_id%3A%28%222223e599-9dbb-40a5-a740-dd6f0b780b6c%22%29&start=0&context=52045). Follow (<https://network.bepress.com/api/follow/subscribe?user=MGYxODM4NzdkOWZmNTQ1ZQ%3D%3D&institution=MmZmZmExNzVkZDc0MDU1Ng%3D%3D&format=html>)

Date of this Version

2004

Document Type

Article

Citation

Journal of Actuarial Practice 11 (2004), pp. 43-62

Comments

Copyright 2004 Absalom Press

Abstract

The demographic makeup of the U.S. workforce is changing. The population between ages 55 and 64 is projected to increase significantly by 2020, but employment rates for this age group have not been increasing. Employers will likely need to encourage critical employees in this age group to delay retirement. Phased retirement is one tool for delaying retirement, while also not continuing full-time employment, so it can be a compromise for employers and employees. Both Congress and two administrative agencies have begun to consider changes in pension laws and regulations that would be needed to accommodate phased retirement for employers who sponsor defined benefit plans. This paper discusses some of the impediments in the current legal framework and changes that could be made without diluting participant protections. This paper also discusses aspects in the actuarial calculation of retirement benefits that impact the financial neutrality of a phased retirement program.

[Download \(https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1035&context=joap\)](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1035&context=joap)

325 DOWNLOADS

Since September 27, 2017

We use cookies that are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyze, improve, and personalize our content and your digital experience. You can manage your cookie preferences using the [Cookie settings \(#\)](#) link. For more information, see our [Cookie Policy](#) (<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy>).

[Cookie settings](#)[Accept only necessary cookies](#)[Accept all cookies](#)



We use cookies that are necessary to make our site work. We may also use additional cookies to analyze, improve, and personalize our content and your digital experience. You can manage your cookie preferences using the [Cookie settings \(#\)](#) link. For more information, see our [Cookie Policy](https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy) (<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/cookiepolicy>).

[Cookie settings](#)

Accept only necessary cookies

Accept all cookies