#### **US Preventive Services Task Force** | Recommendation Statement



# **Screening for Syphilis Infection in Pregnant Women** US Preventive Services Task Force Reaffirmation **Recommendation Statement**

US Preventive Services Task Force







**JAMA** 

Published Online: September 4, 2018

2018;320;(9):911-917.

doi:10.1001/jama.2018.11785







#### **Abstract**

**Importance** Untreated syphilis infection in pregnant women can be transmitted to the fetus (congenital syphilis) at any time during pregnancy or at birth. Congenital syphilis is associated with stillbirth, neonatal death, and significant morbidity in infants (eg, bone deformities and neurologic impairment). After a steady decline from 2008 to 2012, cases of congenital syphilis markedly increased from 2012 to 2106, from 8.4 to 15.7 cases per 100 000 live births (an increase of 87%). At the same time, national rates of syphilis increased among women of reproductive age.

**Objective** To update the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) 2009 recommendation on screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women.

**Evidence Review** The USPSTF commissioned a reaffirmation evidence update to identify new and substantial evidence sufficient enough to change its prior recommendation. Given the established benefits and practice of screening for syphilis in pregnant women, the USPSTF targeted its evidence review on the direct benefits of screening on the prevention of congenital syphilis morbidity and mortality and the harms of screening for and treatment of syphilis infection in pregnant women.

**Findings** Using a reaffirmation process, the USPSTF found that accurate screening algorithms are available to identify syphilis infection. Effective treatment with antibiotics can prevent congenital syphilis and significantly decrease adverse pregnancy outcomes, with small associated harms, providing an overall substantial health benefit. Therefore, the USPSTF reaffirms its previous conclusion that there is convincing evidence that screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women provides substantial benefit.

#### Introduction

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) makes recommendations about the effectiveness of specific preventive care services for patients without obvious related signs or symptoms.

It bases its recommendations on the evidence of both the benefits and harms of the service and an assessment of the balance. The USPSTF does not consider the costs of providing a service in this assessment.

The USPSTF recognizes that clinical decisions involve more considerations than evidence alone. Clinicians should understand the evidence but individualize decision making to the specific patient or situation. Similarly, the USPSTF notes that policy and coverage decisions involve considerations in addition to the evidence of clinical benefits and harms.

# **Summary of Recommendation and Evidence**

The USPSTF recommends early screening for syphilis infection in all pregnant women (A recommendation) (**Figure** 1).







Figure 1. USPSTF Grades and Levels of Evidence

SUSPSTF Grades and Levels of Evidence

&Go to Figure in Article

USPSTF indicates US Preventive Services Task Force.

### Rationale

### **Importance**

Syphilis is an infection that is primarily sexually transmitted. Untreated syphilis infection in pregnant women can also be transmitted to the fetus (congenital syphilis) at any time during pregnancy or at birth. Congenital syphilis is associated with stillbirth, neonatal death, and significant morbidity in infants (eg, bone deformities and neurologic impairment). After a steady decline from 2008 to 2012, cases of congenital syphilis markedly increased from 2012 to 2016, from 8.4 to 15.7 cases per 100 000 live births (an increase of 87%). At the same time, national rates of syphilis increased among women of reproductive age.

#### Reaffirmation

In 2009, the USPSTF reviewed the evidence on screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women and issued an A recommendation.<sup>3</sup> The USPSTF has decided to use a reaffirmation deliberation process to update this recommendation. The USPSTF uses the reaffirmation process for well-established, evidence-based standards of practice in current primary care practice for which only a very high level of evidence would justify a change in the grade of the recommendation.<sup>4</sup> In its deliberation of the evidence, the USPSTF considers whether the new



The USPSTF found adequate evidence that screening tests can accurately detect syphilis infection in pregnant women.

### **Benefits of Detection and Early Treatment**

The USPSTF found convincing evidence that early universal screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women reduces the incidence of congenital syphilis and the adverse outcomes of pregnancy associated with maternal infection.

### **Harms of Detection and Early Treatment**

Screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women may result in potential harms, including false-positive results that require clinical evaluation, anxiety, and harms of treatment with antibiotic medications. However, the USPSTF concluded that these harms of screening are no greater than small.

#### **USPSTF** Assessment

Using a reaffirmation process,<sup>4</sup> the USPSTF concludes with high certainty that the net benefit of screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women is substantial.

### **Clinical Considerations**

### **Patient Population Under Consideration**

This recommendation applies to all pregnant women (Figure 2).







Figure 2. Clinical Summary: Screening for Syphilis Infection in Pregnant Women

Clinical Summary: Screening for Syphilis Infection in Pregnant Women

USPSTF indicates US Preventive Services Task Force.

### **Screening Intervals**

All pregnant women should be tested for syphilis as early as possible when they first present to care. If a woman has not received prenatal care prior to delivery, she should be tested at the time she presents for delivery. In most cases of congenital syphilis, pregnant women received prenatal care but were not screened and treated for syphilis early enough during the pregnancy to prevent transmission to the fetus.

The USPSTF found no new studies that examined the effectiveness of repeated testing for syphilis during pregnancy. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)<sup>5</sup> and joint guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)<sup>6</sup> endorse repeat screening. Specifically, these groups recommend that women at high risk for syphilis be rescreened early in the







repeat screening after exposure to an infected partner.<sup>6</sup> Clinicians should be aware of the prevalence of syphilis infection in the communities they serve.<sup>7</sup> Most states mandate screening for syphilis in all pregnant women at the first prenatal visit, and some mandate screening at the time of delivery.<sup>8</sup>

### **Screening Tests**

Syphilis infection is caused by the *Treponema pallidum* bacteria. Current screening tests for syphilis rely on detection of antibodies to the infection rather than direct detection of the bacteria. Screening for syphilis infection is a 2-step process. Traditionally, screening involved an initial "nontreponemal" antibody test (ie, Venereal Disease Research Laboratory test or rapid plasma reagin [RPR] test) to detect biomarkers released from damage caused by syphilis infection, followed by a confirmatory "treponemal" antibody detection test (ie, fluorescent treponemal antibody absorption or *T pallidum* particle agglutination test). Because nontreponemal tests are complex, a reverse sequence screening algorithm has been developed in which an automated treponemal test (such as an enzyme-linked, chemiluminescence, or multiplex flow immunoassay) is performed first, followed by a nontreponemal test. If the test results of the reverse sequence algorithm are discordant, a second treponemal test (preferably using a different treponemal antibody) is performed. The USPSTF found no studies comparing the false-positive rate of the traditional screening algorithm with that of the reverse sequence screening algorithm among pregnant women. The CDC has provided more detailed guidance on testing for and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases, including syphilis. 9

#### **Treatment**

In 2015, the CDC recommended parenteral benzathine penicillin G for the treatment of syphilis in pregnant women.<sup>5</sup> Evidence on the efficacy or safety of alternative antibiotic medications for pregnant women and the fetus is very limited; therefore, women who report a penicillin allergy should be evaluated and, if found allergic, desensitized and treated with penicillin. Because the CDC updates its recommendations regularly, clinicians are encouraged to consult the CDC website for the most up-to-date information.<sup>9</sup>

### **Additional Approaches to Prevention**

Trends in congenital syphilis incidence rates are closely related to trends in primary and secondary syphilis infection rates among all women. Screening for syphilis in nonpregnant populations is an important public health approach to preventing the sexual transmission of syphilis and subsequent vertical transmission of congenital syphilis. The USPSTF recommends screening for syphilis in nonpregnant adolescents and adults at increased risk for infection.<sup>10</sup>

### **Useful Resources**

The USPSTF has made recommendations on screening for other sexually transmitted infections, including chlamydia and gonorrhea, <sup>11</sup> hepatitis B virus, <sup>12</sup> genital herpes, <sup>13</sup> and HIV. <sup>14</sup> National-, state-, and county-level data on syphilis infection rates are also available from the CDC. <sup>2</sup>

### **Other Considerations**

### **Research Needs and Gaps**

Although the benefits of screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women to prevent congenital syphilis are well



to help identify optimal rescreening intervals and populations to rescreen during pregnancy, could help inform implementation of screening programs. Studies on treatment options besides penicillin could also be helpful.

### **Discussion**

### **Burden of Disease**

Although national rates of syphilis infection among pregnant women are not currently available, the incidence rates of primary and secondary syphilis infection among women and congenital syphilis among infants have been increasing, despite consistent recommendations and legal mandates to screen for syphilis in pregnant women.<sup>2</sup> In 2012, there were 0.9 cases of primary and secondary syphilis infection per 100 000 women and 8.4 cases of congenital syphilis per 100 000 live births. In 2016, the case rate had increased to 1.9 cases of primary and secondary syphilis infection per 100 000 women and 15.7 cases of congenital syphilis per 100 000 live births.<sup>15</sup>

Late or limited prenatal care has been associated with congenital syphilis.<sup>2</sup> Although nearly 70% of infants with congenital syphilis are born to mothers who received prenatal care, detection and treatment of maternal syphilis often occurs too late to treat the fetus and prevent congenital syphilis.<sup>15</sup> Recent data suggest that while screening rates for syphilis infection are generally high, the proportion of women screened earlier in pregnancy remains low (eq. 20% of women are screened only at the time of delivery).

Primary, secondary, and congenital syphilis rates differ by race/ethnicity. Case rates of primary, secondary, and congenital syphilis are higher in black, American Indian/Alaska Native, and Hispanic populations than in white populations.<sup>2</sup> Syphilis rates also differ by geography, with generally higher rates of primary, secondary, and congenital syphilis in the Western and Southern states and lower rates in the Northeastern and Midwest states. However, clinicians should be aware of the prevalence of syphilis infection in their community, as rates can vary.<sup>2</sup>

Syphilis can be transmitted to the fetus during all stages of maternal infection, although the risk is highest with primary and secondary maternal syphilis infection, which is why detection early in pregnancy is important. 5,16,17 Untreated syphilis infection during pregnancy greatly increases the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. A 2013 systematic review of 6 case-control studies found that compared with pregnancies that did not have maternal syphilis infection, untreated maternal syphilis infection during pregnancy was associated with an absolute difference of 21% for stillbirth or fetal loss, 9% for neonatal death, and 5% for prematurity or low birth weight. Although infants born with congenital syphilis are often asymptomatic at birth, some may develop signs within the first several weeks of life, including rash, hemorrhagic rhinitis, lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, and skeletal abnormalities. Additional sequelae include anemia, neurologic impairment such as blindness or deafness, and meningitis.

### **Scope of Review**

To reaffirm its 2009 recommendation on screening for syphilis in pregnant women, the USPSTF commissioned a reaffirmation evidence update. The aim of this update is to identify substantial new evidence that is sufficient enough to change the prior recommendation. Given the established benefits and practice of screening for syphilis in pregnant women, the USPSTF targeted its evidence review to the direct benefits of screening on the prevention of congenital syphilis morbidity and mortality and the harms of screening for and treatment of syphilis infection in pregnant women.



The USPSTF found no new evidence inconsistent with the benefits of screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women. Evidence from observational studies demonstrates fewer adverse pregnancy outcomes among pregnant women screened and treated for syphilis infection compared with pregnant women not treated. In particular, treatment appears to be more beneficial when provided earlier rather than later in pregnancy. <sup>16</sup> A 2014 systematic review of 54 observational studies found that incidence of congenital syphilis, preterm birth, low birth weight, stillbirth, and neonatal death was dramatically reduced in women treated for syphilis during pregnancy compared with women who had untreated syphilis. <sup>20</sup> However, only a slight reduction in stillbirth or fetal loss was observed in women who were only treated in the third trimester, compared with women who had untreated syphilis. Extended follow-up of a study previously considered by the USPSTF for its 2009 recommendation<sup>3</sup> reported on the effects of implementing a free syphilis screening and treatment program for all pregnant women living in Shenzhen, China, from 2002 to 2012 (n=2441237). <sup>21</sup> During follow-up, screening uptake increased from 89.8% to 97.2%, and the congenital syphilis case rate decreased from 109.3 to 9.4 cases per 100 000 live births. During the same time, the incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes decreased from 42.7% to 19.2%, and the incidence of stillbirth or fetal loss decreased from 19.0% to 3.3%. The USPSTF found this evidence to be consistent with findings from its previous evidence review. <sup>22</sup>

### **Potential Harms of Screening and Treatment**

Potential harms of screening for and treatment of syphilis infection include false-positive results that require clinical evaluation, unnecessary anxiety to the patient, and harms of antibiotic medication use. <sup>16</sup> Consistent with previous reviews, the current, targeted review identified 5 studies that reported on false-positive rates of various screening tests<sup>23-27</sup> and 1 study that reported on false-negative RPR test results. <sup>28</sup> Overall, these studies demonstrate that false-positive results with chemiluminescence or enzyme-linked immunoassay in pregnant women are common (false-positive rates ranged from 0% to 88.2%)<sup>23-27</sup> and that false-negative RPR test results can result from undiluted serum with high titers (known as the prozone effect). <sup>28</sup> Because of the high potential for false-positive results with individual tests, a 2-step screening algorithm is recommended. The USPSTF found no studies that reported on the false-positive rate of the traditional or reverse sequence screening algorithm in pregnant women. Harms of treatment include rare adverse drug-related effects, such as anaphylaxis attributable to penicillin allergy and the Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction (febrile reaction with headache, myalgia, and other symptoms), which may occur within the first 24 hours after any type of syphilis therapy. The USPSTF found no studies that reported on harms to the fetus from treatment of syphilis infection.

## **Estimate of Magnitude of Net Benefit**

The USPSTF considered the evidence using a reaffirmation process and found that accurate screening algorithms are available to identify syphilis infection. Effective treatment with antibiotics can prevent congenital syphilis and significantly decrease adverse pregnancy outcomes, with small associated harms, providing an overall substantial health benefit. Therefore, the USPSTF reaffirms its previous conclusion that there is convincing evidence that screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women provides substantial net benefit.

## **Response to Public Comment**

A draft version of this recommendation statement was posted for public comment on the USPSTF website from February 6, 2018, to March 5, 2018. Most comments supported the recommendation statement. Several comments requested clearer guidance about the timing of initial syphilis screening (as early as possible after the

Share

concerns. Several comments requested changes that are outside the scope of the USPSTF or not consistent with its methods and processes, and so no changes were made in response. These suggested changes included endorsement of guidelines from other organizations and discussion of evidence not considered in the USPSTF's deliberation on this recommendation. Some comments suggested additional citations; these were reviewed by the USPSTF but none met inclusion criteria.

### Reaffirmation of Previous USPSTF Recommendation

This recommendation is a reaffirmation of the USPSTF 2009 recommendation statement.<sup>3</sup> In 2009, the USPSTF reviewed the evidence on screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women and found that the benefits of screening substantially outweighed the harms.<sup>22</sup> For the current recommendation, the USPSTF commissioned a targeted review<sup>16</sup> to look for substantial new evidence on the benefits and harms of screening and determined that the net benefit of screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women continues to be well established. The USPSTF found no new substantial evidence that could change its recommendation and, therefore, reaffirms its recommendation to screen for syphilis infection in all pregnant women.

### **Recommendations of Others**

This recommendation statement is consistent with those of other professional and public health organizations. The CDC recommends screening for syphilis infection in all pregnant women at their first prenatal visit. Joint guidelines from AAP and ACOG recommend screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women as early as possible in pregnancy. The CDC, AAP, and ACOG also recommend repeat screening at 28 weeks of gestation and again at delivery in high-risk women. Women at high risk for syphilis infection include those living in high-prevalence communities, those living with HIV, and those with a history of incarceration or commercial sex work. AAP and ACOG also recommend repeat screening after exposure to an infected partner. The American Academy of Family Physicians recommends screening for syphilis infection in all pregnant women.

### **Article Information**

**Corresponding Author:** Susan J. Curry, PhD, The University of Iowa, 111 Jessup Hall, Iowa City, IA 52242 (chair@uspstf.net).

Accepted for Publication: July 25, 2018.

The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) members: Susan J. Curry, PhD; Alex H. Krist, MD, MPH; Douglas K. Owens, MD, MS; Michael J. Barry, MD; Aaron B. Caughey, MD, PhD; Karina W. Davidson, PhD, MASc; Chyke A. Doubeni, MD, MPH; John W. Epling Jr, MD, MSEd; Alex R. Kemper, MD, MPH, MS; Martha Kubik, PhD, RN; Ann E. Kurth, PhD, RN, MSN, MPH; C. Seth Landefeld, MD; Carol M. Mangione, MD, MSPH; Maureen G. Phipps, MD, MPH; Michael Silverstein, MD, MPH; Melissa A. Simon, MD, MPH; Chien-Wen Tseng, MD, MPH, MSEE; John B. Wong, MD.

Affiliations of The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) members: University of Iowa, Iowa City (Curry); Fairfax Family Practice Residency, Fairfax, Virginia (Krist); Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond (Krist); Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, California (Owens); Stanford University, Stanford, California (Owens); Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts (Barry); Oregon Health & Science University,

Share

Ohio (Kemper); Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Kubik); Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut (Kurth); University of Alabama at Birmingham (Landefeld); University of California, Los Angeles (Mangione); Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island (Phipps); Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts (Silverstein); Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois (Simon); University of Hawaii, Honolulu (Tseng); Pacific Health Research and Education Institute, Honolulu, Hawaii (Tseng); Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts (Wong).

**Author Contributions:** Dr Curry had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The USPSTF members contributed equally to the recommendation statement.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Authors followed the policy regarding conflicts of interest described at <a href="https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Name/conflict-of-interest-disclosures">https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Name/conflict-of-interest-disclosures</a>. All members of the USPSTF receive travel reimbursement and an honorarium for participating in USPSTF meetings. No other disclosures were reported.

**Funding/Support:** The USPSTF is an independent, voluntary body. The US Congress mandates that the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) support the operations of the USPSTF.

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** AHRQ staff assisted in the following: development and review of the research plan, commission of the systematic evidence review from an Evidence-based Practice Center, coordination of expert review and public comment of the draft evidence report and draft recommendation statement, and the writing and preparation of the final recommendation statement and its submission for publication. AHRQ staff had no role in the approval of the final recommendation statement or the decision to submit for publication.

**Disclaimer:** Recommendations made by the USPSTF are independent of the US government. They should not be construed as an official position of AHRQ or the US Department of Health and Human Services.

**Additional Contributions:** We thank Jill Huppert, MD, MPH (AHRQ), and Tina Fan, MD, MPH (AHRQ), who contributed to the writing of the manuscript, and Lisa Nicolella, MA (AHRQ), who assisted with coordination and editing.

### References

- Cooper JM, Sánchez PJ. Congenital syphilis. Semin Perinatol. 2018;42(3):176-184. doi:10.1053/j.semperi.2018.02.005
   PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- **2.** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance*, *2016*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2017.
- **3.** U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for syphilis infection in pregnancy: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150(10):705-709. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-150-10-200905190-00008

  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref



- **5.** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines, 2015.* Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015.
- **6.** American Academy of Pediatrics; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Guidelines for Perinatal Care*. 8th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2017.
- 7. Cantor AG, Pappas M, Daeges M, Nelson HD. Screening for syphilis: updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA*. 2016;315(21):2328-2337. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.4114
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 8. Warren HP, Cramer R, Kidd S, Leichliter JS. State requirements for prenatal syphilis screening in the United States, 2016 [published online July 17, 2018]. *Matern Child Health J*. 2018. doi:10.1007/s10995-018-2592-0

  PubMed | Google Scholar
- **9.** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs). CDC website. https://www.cdc.gov/std/treatment/. Updated November 30, 2017. Accessed July 17, 2018.
- 10. Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, Curry SJ, et al; US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Screening for syphilis infection in nonpregnant adults and adolescents: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2016;315(21):2321-2327. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.5824 PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- **11.** LeFevre ML; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for chlamydia and gonorrhea: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;161(12):902-910. doi:10.7326/M14-1981

PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref

- 12. LeFevre ML; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for hepatitis B virus infection in nonpregnant adolescents and adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;161(1):58-66. doi:10.7326/M14-1018
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 13. Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, Curry SJ, et al; US Preventive Services Task Force. Serologic screening for genital herpes infection: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2016;316(23):2525-2530. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.16776
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 14. Moyer VA; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for HIV: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;159(1):51-60. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-159-1-201307020-00645
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 15. Bowen V, Su J, Torrone E, Kidd S, Weinstock H. Increase in incidence of congenital syphilis—United



- **16.** Lin JS, Eder M, Bean S. Screening for Syphilis Infection in Pregnant Women: A Reaffirmation Evidence Update for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force: Evidence Synthesis No. 167. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2018. AHRQ publication 18-05238-EF-1.
- 17. Lin JS, Eder M, Bean SI. Screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women: updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force [published September 4, 2018]. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.7769

  Google Scholar
- 18. Gomez GB, Kamb ML, Newman LM, Mark J, Broutet N, Hawkes SJ. Untreated maternal syphilis and adverse outcomes of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2013;91(3):217-226. doi:10.2471/BLT.12.107623
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 19. Kingston M, French P, Higgins S, et al; Members of the Syphilis Guidelines Revision Group 2015. UK national guidelines on the management of syphilis 2015. Int J STD AIDS. 2016;27(6):421-446. doi:10.1177/0956462415624059
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- **20.** Qin J, Yang T, Xiao S, Tan H, Feng T, Fu H. Reported estimates of adverse pregnancy outcomes among women with and without syphilis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(7):e102203. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102203

  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 21. Qin JB, Feng TJ, Yang TB, et al. Synthesized prevention and control of one decade for mother-to-child transmission of syphilis and determinants associated with congenital syphilis and adverse pregnancy outcomes in Shenzhen, South China. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;33(12):2183-2198. doi:10.1007/s10096-014-2186-8

  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 22. Wolff T, Shelton E, Sessions C, Miller T. Screening for syphilis infection in pregnant women: evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150(10):710-716. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-150-10-200905190-00009
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- **23.** Boonchaoy A, Wongchampa P, Hirankarn N, Chaithongwongwatthana S. Performance of chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay in screening for syphilis in pregnant women from low-prevalence, resource-limited setting. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2016;99(2):119-124.

  PubMed | Google Scholar
- **24.** Henrich TJ, Yawetz S. Impact of age, gender, and pregnancy on syphilis screening using the Captia Syphilis-G assay. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2011;38(12):1126-1130. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0b013e31822e60e1 PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- **25.** Mmeje O, Chow JM, Davidson L, Shieh J, Schapiro JM, Park IU. Discordant syphilis immunoassays in pregnancy: perinatal outcomes and implications for clinical management. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(7):1049-1053. doi:10.1093/cid/civ445







- **26.** Wang KD, Xu DJ, Su JR. Preferable procedure for the screening of syphilis in clinical laboratories in China. *Infect Dis (Lond)*. 2016;48(1):26-31. doi:10.3109/23744235.2015.1044465

  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 27. Wellinghausen N, Dietenberger H. Evaluation of two automated chemiluminescence immunoassays, the LIAISON Treponema Screen and the ARCHITECT Syphilis TP, and the *Treponema pallidum* particle agglutination test for laboratory diagnosis of syphilis. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2011;49(8):1375-1377. doi:10.1515/CCLM.2011.643

  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- 28. Liu LL, Lin LR, Tong ML, et al. Incidence and risk factors for the prozone phenomenon in serologic testing for syphilis in a large cohort. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;59(3):384-389. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu325
  PubMed | Google Scholar | Crossref
- **29.** American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP). Clinical Preventive Service Recommendation: syphilis. AAFP website. https://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/syphilis.html. 2018. Accessed July 17, 2018.

View Full Text | Download PDF

PDF