

Impact Factor: **4.2**

5-Year Impact Factor:

By clicking “Accept Non-Essential Cookies”, you agree to the storing of cookies on your device to enhance site navigation, analyze site usage, and assist in our marketing efforts. [Privacy Policy](#) [Cookie Policy](#)

Manage Cookies

Accept Non-Essential Cookies

Reject Non-Essential Cookies

Contents

... More

Abstract

The paper examining properties in Chicago used to estimate the value of properties located in mixed-use

properties) is higher than that of similar parcels that are not located in a TIF district. However, the value of industrial parcels that are located in homogeneous industrial use TIF districts is no higher and in some specifications is lower than that of similar parcels not located in a TIF district. These divergent results may be best explained by industrial parcel owners' desire to convert their properties to non industrial uses.



Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access 

1.

1. Scholars have investigated the efficiency of the real estate market—i.e. whether the high transaction costs and imperfect information associated with this market prevent prices from fully reflecting demand (see, for example, Keogh and D'Arcy, 1999). It is possible, for example, that TIF policies are poorly advertised and the benefits so poorly understood that the potentially higher returns are not capitalised into prices.

2.

2. Dye and Merriman (forthcoming) report a similar finding.

3.

3. The authors thank an anonymous reviewer for suggesting this approach and Dan McMillen for patiently explaining some of the technical details. Reviewers also suggested an alternative econometric procedure that treats mixed-use and industrial TIF districts separately. Results from that estimation are not reported here since it yields similar qualitative conclusions.
- 4.
4. The data-set was created by extracting all industrially zoned parcels with improvements from Experian. Those parcels for which data fields were incomplete were eliminated, as well as those parcels that may have been sold as part of a larger property. In the case of the latter, Experian did not consistently record whether the sales price was for the individual parcel or for the entire property. Parcels with matching streets, sale prices, sale dates and located within the same vicinity (defined as having street numbers within 20 of each other) were eliminated. Finally, those parcels that could not be geocoded were also eliminated.
- 5.
5. Of course, both data-sets are restricted to industrial properties that actually sold. To the extent that sale properties are not representative of industrial properties in general, the results will be misleading. This is a general problem in most real estate studies, and it is not believed that this sample selection criterion (sales status) introduces a bias with respect to the present paper's primary interest (impact of TIF status on value).
- 6.
6. The majority of TIF designations in Chicago occurred in the mid to late 1990s. Because Experian parcels were sold at any time between 1976 and 2001, a larger percentage of parcels in this data-set have a sales date that precedes the TIF district designation date and so are considered to be outside TIF districts.
- 7.
7. A separate regression that was performed using *%African American* in each census tract in place of *%White* revealed a significant negative relationship between share of African American residents and parcel sales prices, as expected.
- 8.
8. A quarter-section is a one-half mile by one-half mile area often used for planning and geographical analysis of the Chicago metropolitan area. Real estate conditions are measured prior to the sale recorded in the vacant parcels data. Because some sales of improved parcels took place prior to the measures of real estate conditions, these variables are excluded in the analysis of improved parcels (see section 6 for explanation).
- 9.
9. Chicago is home to 77 'community areas', each of which is an aggregation of several contiguous census tracts.
- 10.
10. See Table 1. Ninety-five per cent of the vacant sample is located less than 1.7 miles from an expressway interchange. Since it would take only a few minutes to travel this distance, variation in distance to expressway interchanges is probably not a significant source of variation in travel cost across the sample.
- 11.
11. The p-value for the coefficient on Mixed TIF is 0.121.
- 12.

12. In regressions not reported here, the real estate condition variables were included as independent variables and similar qualitative results were obtained. Details available from the authors upon request.

13.

13. Demand for industrial conversion contributed to the implementation of yet one more policy overlay in Chicago, the Planned Manufacturing District (PMD). In an effort to retain industrial tenants and employees in areas close to the CBD and limit the ability of individual alderman to approve parcel-by-parcel rezoning on an *ad hoc* basis (i.e. 'spot zoning'), the City created four zones where residential uses are severely restricted-more so even than in the industrial TIF districts or industrial corridors.

References

Alonso, W. (1964) *Location and Land Use*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Anderson, J. (1990) Tax increment financing: municipal adoption and growth, *National Tax Journal*, 43, pp. 155-163.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Arthur Andersen (1998) *City of Chicago industrial market and strategic analysis*. Unpublished report for the City of Chicago.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bartik, T. (1991) *Who Benefits from State and Local Economic Development Policies?* Kalamazoo, MI: Upjohn Institute.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Byrne, P. (2002) *Determinants of property value growth for tax increment financing districts*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Economics, University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana (<http://www.igpa.uiuc.edu:80/publications/pdf/102-TIFPVGrowth.pdf>).

[Google Scholar](#)

Childs, P., Riddiough, T. and Triantis, A. (1996) Mixed uses and the redevelopment option, *Real Estate Economics*, 24, pp. 317-339.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

City Of Chicago (1998). *Review of tax increment financing in the City of Chicago*. Chicago, IL: Department of Planning and Development.

[Google Scholar](#)

Colwell, P. and Munneke, H. (1997) The structure of urban land prices, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 41, pp. 321-336.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Colwell, P. and Sirmans, C. (1980) Nonlinear urban land prices, *Urban Geography*, 1, pp. 141-152.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Dardia, M. (1998) *Subsidizing redevelopment in California*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California .

[Google Scholar](#)

Dye, R. and Merriman, D. (2000) Does tax increment financing discourage economic development ?, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 47, pp. 306-328.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Dye, R. and Merriman, D. (forthcoming) *The effect of tax increment financing on land use*, in: D. Netzer (Ed.) *The Property Tax, Land Use and Land-Use Regulation*. Northampton, MA : Edward Elgar.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dye, R., McGuire, T. and Merriman, D. (2001) The impact of property taxes and property tax classification on business activity in the Chicago metropolitan area. *Journal of Regional Science*, 1, pp. 757-777.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Fitzgerald, J. and Green Leigh, N. (2002) *Economic Revitalization*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)
Ginsburg, B. (2003) *TIF Case Studies*. Chicago, IL : Community Center for Labor Research.

[Google Scholar](#)

Glaeser, E. (1998) Are cities dying?, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 12, pp. 139-160.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Greene, W. (2000) *Econometrics Analysis*, 4th edn. New York: Prentice Hall .

[Google Scholar](#)

Heckman, J. (1979) Sample selection bias as a specification error, *Econometrica*, 47, pp. 153-161.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hinz, G. (2002) A tough TIF trip: local manufacturing sites get mixed reviews, *Crain's Chicago Business*, 29 July.

[Google Scholar](#)

Johnson, C. and Kriz, K. (2001) *A review of state tax increment financing laws*, in: C. Johnson and J. Man (Eds) *Tax Increment Financing and Economic Development: Uses, Structures and Impact*, pp. 31-56. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jolin, M., Legenza, S. and Mcdermott, M. (1998) Tax increment financing: urban renewal of the 1990s, *Clearinghouse Review*, July/ August, pp. 81-99.

[Google Scholar](#)

Keogh, G. and D'Arcy, E. (1999) Property market efficiency: an institutional economics perspective, *Urban Studies*, 36, pp. 2401-2414.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lee, L. (1982) Some approaches to the correction of selectivity bias, *Review of Economic Studies*, 49, pp. 355-372.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Man, J. (1999) Fiscal pressure, tax competition and the adoption of tax increment financing, *Urban Studies*, 36, pp. 1151-1167.

[Crossref](#)
[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Man, J. (2002) *Can state and local tax incentive programs spur job growth? Empirical evidence*. Unpublished manuscript. Indiana University .

[Google Scholar](#)

Man, J. and Rosenthaub, M. (1998) Tax increment financing: municipal adoption and effects on property value growth, *Public Finance Review*, 26, pp. 523-547.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McDonald, J. (1984) Changing patterns of land use in a decentralizing metropolis, *Papers of the Regional Science Association*, 54, pp. 59-70.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McDonald, J. (2001) Cost-benefit analysis of local land use allocation decisions, *Journal of Regional Science*, 41, pp. 277-299.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McGrath, D. (2000) Urban industrial land redevelopment and contamination risk, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 47, pp. 414-442.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McMillen, D. and McDonald, J. (1989) Selectivity bias in urban land value functions, *Land Economics*, 65, pp. 341-351.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McMillen, D. and McDonald, J. (1991) Urban land value functions with endogenous zoning, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 29, pp. 14-27.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Munneke, H. (1996) Redevelopment decisions for commercial and industrial properties, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 39, pp. 229-253.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Ncbg (Neighborhood Capital Budget Group) (1999) *Chicago TIF Encyclopedia*. Chicago, IL: NCBG.

[Google Scholar](#)

Oakland, W. and Testa, W. (1998) *Fiscal impacts of business development in the Chicago suburbs*, in: H. Ladd (Ed.) *Local Government Tax and Land Use Policies in the United States: Understanding the Links*, pp. 201-217. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.

[Google Scholar](#)

Peiser, R. (1987) The determinants of non-residential urban land values, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 22, pp. 340-360.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Persky, J., Felsenstein, D. and Wiewel, W. (1997) *How do we know that 'but for the incentives' the development would not have occurred?*, in: R. Bingham and R. Mier (Eds) *Dilemmas of Urban Economic Development*, pp. 28-45. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rast, J. (1999) *Remaking Chicago: The Political Origins of Urban Industrial Change*. Dekalb, IL: Northern Illinois University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schmenner, R. (1981) The rent gradient for manufacturing, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 9, pp. 90-96.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Szatan, J. (2000) Good for the goose, *Urban Land*, September, pp. 113-117.

[Google Scholar](#)

Trost, R.P. and Lee, L. (1984) Technical training and earnings: a polychotomous choice model with selectivity, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 66, pp. 151-156.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Weber, R. (2003) *Tax increment financing in theory and practice*, in: R. Bingham, E. Hill and S. White (Eds) *Financing Economic Development*, 2nd edn, pp. 53-69. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wyly, E., Glickman, N. and Lahr, M. (1998) A top 10 list of things to know about American cities, *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, 3, pp. 7-32.

[Google Scholar](#)

Similar articles:



Restricted access

[Municipal Investment and Property Value Appreciation in Chicago's Tax Increment Financing Districts](#)

Show Details ▾



Restricted access

[Does Chicago's Tax Increment Financing \(TIF\) Programme Pass the 'But-for' Test? Job Creation and Economic Development Impacts Using Time-series Data](#)

Show Details ▾



Restricted access

[Tax Increment Financing and Property Value: An Examination of Business Property Using Panel Data](#)

Show Details ▾

[View More](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Tax Increment Financing](#)

Show Details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Whole book

[Cities and Public Policy: An Urban Agenda for India](#)

Show Details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Using Urban Land as a Resource](#)

Show Details ▾

[View More](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Sage Research Methods

Multimedia learning resources

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services