Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance

Impact Factor: **1.3**
5-Year Impact Factor:

By clicking “Accept Non-Essential Cookies”, you agree to the storing of cookies on your device to enhance site navigation, analyze site usage, and assist in our marketing efforts. Privacy Policy Cookie Policy

Manage Cookies

Accept Non-Essential Cookies

Reject Non-Essential Cookies

Abstract

This paper examine s to effectively predict goodwill imp cent abolishment of goodwill amortiza to the postacquisition pert any's assets are allocated as well as to the characteristics of the acquisition. We find that available disclosures do not provide financial statement users with information to adequately predict future write-offs of goodwill. In fact, the characteristics of the original acquisitions are more powerful predictors of eventual goodwill write-offs than those based on segment disclosures of the acquired entities' performance. We also find that goodwill write-offs lag behind the economic impairment of goodwill by an average of three to four years. For one-third of the companies examined, the delay can extend up to ten years. Although most of our analyses are conducted on goodwill generated before the introduction of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 (SFAS 142), certain features of the sample and the analysis suggest that the results are generalizable to the current reporting regime. Sensitivity tests on a smaller sample of goodwill write-offs made upon the adoption of SFAS 142 confirm this expectation.

Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access

References

Aboody D., Barth M., Kasznik R. 2004. “Firm's Voluntary Recognition of Stock-Based Compensation

Expense", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 42 (2): 123–150.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Aboody D., Kasznik R., Williams M. 2000. "Purchase versus Pooling in Stock-for-Stock Acquisitions: Why Do Firms Care?" *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 29 (3): 261–286.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Alciatore M., Dee C., Easton P., Spear N. 1998. "Asset Write-downs: A Decade of Research", *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 17: 1–39.

Google Scholar

Altman E.I. 1968. "Financial Ratios, Discriminant Analysis, and the Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy", *Journal of Finance*, 23: 589–609.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Altman E.I. 1997. "Bankruptcy Identification: Virtue or Necessity?" *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 3: 63–85.

Google Scholar

Altman E.I. 1982. "Accounting Implications of Failure Prediction Models", *Journal of Accounting Auditing and Finance*, 6 (1): 4–20.

Google Scholar

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). 1970. *"Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 17: Intangible Assets"*. New York: AICPA.

Google Scholar

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). 1988. *"Statement of Auditing Standards No. 59: The Auditor's Consideration of an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern"*. New York: AICPA.

Google Scholar

[Anonymous]. 2001. "FASB Compromises on Pooling", *Mergers and Acquisitions*, 36(1): 16.

Google Scholar

Begley J., Ming J., Watts S. 1996. "Bankruptcy Classification Errors in the 1980s: An Empirical Analysis of Altamn's and Ohlson's Models", *Review of Accounting Studies*, 1: 267–284.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Botosan C. A., Harris M.S. 2000. “Motivations for a Change in Disclosure Frequency and its Consequences: An Examination of Voluntary Quarterly Segment Disclosures”, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 38 (2): 329–353.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Caplan J., Harris R. 2002. *“Coming into Focus: New Merger Accounting Rules”*. Available at www.CFO.com (January 1).

Google Scholar

Committee on Accounting Procedure. 1953. *“Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43: Restatement and Revision of Accounting Research Bulletins”*. New York: American Institute of Accountants.

Google Scholar

Deakin E.B. 1972. “A Discriminant Analysis of Predictors of Business Failure”, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 10 (1): 167–179.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1976. *“Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 14: Financial Reporting for Segments of a Business Enterprise”*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1985. *“Concepts Statement No. 6: Elements of Financial Statements”*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1995. *“Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121: Accounting for the Impairment of Long-lived Assets and Long-lived Assets to Be Disposed Of”*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1997. *“Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131: Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information”*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1999. *“Proposed Statement of Accounting Standards, Exposure Draft: Business Combinations and Intangible Assets”*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar
Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 2001a. *"Proposed Statement of Accounting Standards, Revised Exposure Draft: Business Combinations and Intangible Assets—Accounting for Goodwill"*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar
Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 2001b. *"Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations"*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar
Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 2001c. *"Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangibles"*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

Google Scholar
Francis J.J., Hanna D., Vincent L. 1996. "Causes and Effects of Discretionary Asset Writeoffs", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 34(Supplement): 117–134.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Giliberto S., Varaiya N. 1989. "The Winner's Curse and Bidder Competition in Acquisitions: Evidence from Failed Bank Auctions", *Journal of Finance*, 44 (1): 59–75.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Givoly D., Hayn C., D'Souza J. 1999. "Measurement Errors and the Information Content of Segment Reporting", *Review of Accounting Studies*, 4 (1): 15–43.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Harris M. 1998. "The Association Between Competition and Managers' Business Segment Reporting Decisions", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 36 (1): 111–128.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Hayward M. 2002. "When Do Firms Learn from Their Acquisition Experience? Evidence from 1990-1995", *Strategic Management Journal*, 23: 21–39.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Healy P., Palepu K., Ruback R. 1992. “Does Corporate Performance Improve After Mergers?” *Journal of Financial Economics*, 31 (2): 135–175.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Henning S., Lewis B., Shaw W. 2000. “Valuation of the Components of Purchased Goodwill”, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 38 (2): 375–387.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Henning S., Shaw W. 2003. “Is the Selection of the Amortization Period for Goodwill a Strategic Choice?” *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 20: 315–333.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Hirschey M., Richardson V. 2002. “Information Content of Accounting Goodwill Numbers”, *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 21 (3): 173–191.

Crossref

Google Scholar

International Accounting Standards Board. 1994. Accounting Standard No. 22, *Business Combinations.*.

Google Scholar

Jennings R., Robinson J., Thompson R., Duvall L. 1996. “The Relation between Accounting Goodwill Numbers and Equity Values”, *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 23 (4): 513–534.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Lewis E., Lippitt J., Mastracchio N. 2001. “Users' Comments on SFAS 141 and 142 on Business Combinations and Goodwill”, *The CPA Journal*, 71 (10): 26–30.

Google Scholar

Lopez T. 2002. “Evidence on the Incremental Information Contained in the Components of Restructuring Charges”, *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 49(5–6): 613–659.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Loughran T., Vijh A. 1997. “Do Long-term Shareholders Benefit from Corporate Acquisitions?” *Journal of Finance*, 52 (5): 1765–1790.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Lys T., Vincent L. 1995. “An Analysis of Value Destruction in AT&T's Acquisition of NCR”, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 39(2–3): 353–378.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Mandel M., Yang C. 1997. “A Pack of 800-Lb. Gorillas”, *Business Week*, 3512(February 3): 34–35.

Google Scholar

McGavock D. 2002. “Intangible Assets: A Ticking Time Bomb”, *Chief Executive*, 183: 22–24.

Google Scholar

Niederhoffer V., Kenner L. 2002. “5 Ways to Give Acquisitions the Inquisition”, *The Speculator.* Available at www.moneycentral.msn.com (accessed on July 18).

Google Scholar

Ohlson J. 1980. “Financial Ratios and the Probabilistic Prediction of Bankruptcy”, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18 (1): 109–131.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Poon P., Newbould G., Durtschi C. 2001. “Market Reactions to Corporate Restructurings”, *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 16 (3): 269–290.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Pryor F. L. 2001. “Dimensions of the Worldwide Merger Boom”, *Journal of Economic Issues*, 35 (4): 825–840.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Ravenscraft D., Scherer F. 1991. “Divisional Sell-Off: A Hazard Function Analysis”, *Managerial & Decision Economics*, 12 (6): 429–438.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Rhoades S. 1993. “The Herfindahl-Herschman Index”, *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, 79 (3): 188–189.

Google Scholar

Ruback R. 1983. “Assessing Competition in the Market for Corporate Acquisitions”, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 11(1–4): 141–153.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Schneider C. 2001. *“Will the FASB Finish on Time? Why the Goodwill Accounting Proposal Still Needs a Lot of Work”*. Available at www.CFO.com (April 16).

Google Scholar

Sender H. 2002. “Deals & Deal Makers: Flood of Firms to Take Goodwill Write-downs”, *Wall Street Journal*, April 24.

Google Scholar

Shumway T. 2001. “Forecasting Bankruptcy More Accurately, A Simple Hazard Model”, *Journal of Business*, 74 (1): 101–124.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Sorkin A.R. 2001. “Everybody Out of the Pool? A New Path on Mergers”, *The New York Times*, January 7.

Springsteel, I. 1999. “Draining the Pool,” *CFO Magazine.*.

Google Scholar

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). 2000. *Division of Corporate Finance: Current Accounting and Disclosure Issues*. Washington, DC: SEC.

Google Scholar

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). 2001. Speech given by Lynn Turner, *"The Times They Are A-Changing"*, May 18. Washington, DC: SEC.

Google Scholar

Watts R. 2003. "Conservatism in Accounting—Part I: Explanations and Implications", *Accounting Horizons*, 17 (3): 207–221.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Vincent L. 1997. "The Equity Valuation Implications of the Purchase and Pooling Methods of Accounting", *Journal of Financial Statement Analysis*, 2: 5–19.

Google Scholar

Zmijewski M. 1984. "Methodological Issues Related to the Estimation of Financial Distress Prediction Models", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 22 (1): 59–87.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Similar articles:

Restricted access

Accounting Discretion and Purchase Price Allocation After Acquisitions

Show Details

Restricted access

The Accounting Treatment of Goodwill, Idiosyncratic Risk, and Market Pricing

Show Details

Restricted access

The Information Content of Goodwill Impairments and SFAS 142

Show Details

View More

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge
Book chapter

Fair Values and Goodwill: Alignment Adjustments (1)

Show Details

SAGE Knowledge
Case

Goodwill Impairment: Toshibaâ€™s Acquisition of Westinghouse

Show Details

SAGE Knowledge
Book chapter

Reporting Financial Performance (2)

Show Details

View More

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the access options page to authenticate.

Download PDF

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services