
Journal of Management



The authors provide publicly traded corporation chairperson leadersh evidence related to the control of the con

Accept Non-Essential Cookies

Reject Non-Essential Cookies

O or board
ere is virtually no

• • • More

damental elements

of firms' governance structures. The authors suggest that these null results may be related to the inadequacy of analyses relied on to examine such issues, an inadequacy that might be constructively addressed by more attention to multi-level alternatives.

References

Baliga, B.R., Moyer, R.C., & Rao, R.S. 1996. CEO duality and firm performance: What's the fuss? *Strategic Management Journal*, 17: 41-53.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Bebchuk, L., Cohen, A., & Ferrell, A. 2009. What matters in corporate governance? *Review of Financial Economics*, 22: 783-827.

Google Scholar

Bhagat, S., Bolton, B., & Romano, R. 2008. The promise and peril of corporate governance indices . *Columbia Law Review*, 108: 1803-1882.

Google Scholar

Boyd, B.K. 1995. CEO duality and firm performance: A contingency model . *Strategic Management Journal*, 16: 301-312.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Brickley, J.A., Coles, J.L., & Jarrell, G. 1997. Leadership structure: Separating the CEO and chairman of the board. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 3: 189-220.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Cain, K. 2003. New efforts to strengthen corporate governance: Why use SRO listing standards? *Columbia Business Law Review*, 1: 619-659.

Google Scholar

Chi, T. 2009. Corporate governance: CII (Council of Institutional Investors) urges Congress to adopt corporate governance reforms. *Corporate Governance Report*, 12(1): 4-5.

Google Scholar

Coles, J.L., Daniel, N.D., & Naveen, L. 2008. Boards: Does one size fit all? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87: 329-356.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Conger, J.A., & Lawler, E.E. 2009. *Why your board needs a non-executive chair*. In J. A. Conger (Ed.), *Boardroom realities*: 51-67. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.

Google Scholar

Dahya, J., Garcia, L.G., & van Bommel, J. 2009. One man two hats-What's all the commotion! *Financial Review*, 44: 179-212.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Daily, C.M., Johnson, J.L., & Dalton, D.R. 1999. On the measurements of board composition: Poor consistency and a serious mismatch of theory and operationalization. *Decision Sciences*, 30: 83-106.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Dalton, D.R., Daily, C.M., Ellstrand, A.E., & Johnson, J.L. 1998. Board composition, leadership structure, and financial performance: Meta-analytic reviews and research agenda. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19: 269-290.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Dalton, D.R., Hitt, M.A., Certo, S.T., & Dalton, C.M. 2008. The fundamental agency problem and its mitigation: Independence, equity, and the market for corporate control. In J. P. Walsh & A. P. Brief (Eds.), *Annals of the Academy of Management* (Vol. 1): 1-64. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Crossref

Google Scholar

DeRue, D.S., Petersen, E., Mannor, M.J., & Morgeson, F.P. 2009. A two-horse race? The impact of CEO characteristics and governance structures on firm performance. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Academy of Management, Anaheim, CA.

Google Scholar

Dey, A. 2008. Corporate governance and agency conflicts. Journal of Accounting Research, 46: 1143-1181.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Dey, A., Engel, E.E., & Liu, X.G. 2010. *CEO and board chair roles: To split or not to split*. Retrieved from https://ssrn.com/abstract=1412827.

Google Scholar

Douglas, W.O. 1934. Directors who do not direct. Harvard Law Review, 47: 1305-1334.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Eisenberg, M.A. 1976. *The structure of the corporation: A legal analysis*. New York: Little Brown.

Google Scholar

Faleye, O. 2007. Does one hat fit all? The case of corporate leadership structure. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 11: 239-259.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Fama, E.F. 1980. Agency problems and the theory of the firm. *Journal of Political Economy*, 88: 288-307.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Fama, E.F., & Jensen, M.C. 1983a. Agency problems and residual claims. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26: 327-349.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Fama, E.F., & Jensen, M.C. 1983b. Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26: 301-325.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Finkelstein, S., & D'Aveni, R.A. 1994. CEO duality as a double-edged sword: How boards of directors balance entrenchment avoidance and unity of command. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37: 1079-1108.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Fogel, E.M., & Geier, A.M. 2007. Strangers in the house: Rethinking Sarbanes-Oxley and the independent board of directors. *Delaware Journal of Corporate Law*, 32: 33-72.

Google Scholar

Gordon, J.N. 2007. The rise of independent directors in the United States, 1950-2005: Of shareholder value and stock market prices. *Standard Law Review*, 59: 1465-1568.

Google Scholar

Green, S. 2004. Unfinished business: Abolish the imperial CEO. *Journal of Corporate Accounting and Finance*, 15: 19-22.

Crossref

Google Scholar

lyengar, R., & Zampelli, E.M. 2009. Self-selection, endogeneity, and the relationship between CEO duality and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30: 1092-1112.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Jensen, M.C. 1993. The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems. *Journal of Finance*, 48: 831-888.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Jensen, M.C., & Meckling, W.F. 1976. Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3: 305-360.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Kaplan, A. 1964. The conduct of inquiry: Methodology for behavioral sciences. San Francisco: Chandler.

Google Scholar

Linck, J.S., Netter, J.M., & Yang, T. 2009. The effects and unintended consequences of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act on corporate boards. *Review of Financial Studies*, 22: 3287-3328.

Crossref

Google Scholar

MacAvoy, P.W., & Millstein, I.M. 2003. *The recurrent crisis in corporate governance*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Mizruchi, M.S. 1983. Who controls whom? An examination of the relation between management and board of directors in large American corporations. *Academy of Management Review*, 8: 426-435.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Monks, R.A.G., & Minow, N. 2008. Corporate governance. West Sussex, UK: John Wiley.

Google Scholar

NASDAQ Stock Exchange guidelines. 2010. *In Comparison of corporate governance guidelines and codes of best practice*. From http://www.weil.com/news/pubdetail.aspx?pub=9333, accessed January 20, 2010.

Google Scholar

New York Stock Exchange guidelines. 2010. *In Comparison of corporate governance guidelines and codes of best practice*. From http://www.weil.com/news/pubdetail.aspx?pub=9333, accessed January 20, 2010.

Google Scholar

Rhoades, D.L., Rechner, P.L., & Sundaramurthy, C. 2000. Board composition and financial performance: A review and contingency model. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 12: 76-91.

Google Scholar

Romano, R. 2005. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the making of corporate governance . *Yale Law Journal*, 114: 1521-1604.

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Rousseau, D.M. 1985. Issues of level in organizational research: Multi-level and cross-level perspectives. In L. L. Cummings & B. M. Staw (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* (Vol. 7): 1-37. Greenwich, CT: JAI.

Google Scholar

Sarbanes-Oxley Act. 2002. *From the AICPA website*, http://thecaq.aicpa.org/Resources/Sarbanes-Oxley/summary+of+the+provisions+of+the+Sarbanes+Oxley+Act+of+2002.htm, accessed January 20, 2010.

Google Scholar

Securities and Exchange Commission. 2009. *SEC approves enhanced disclosure about risk, compensation and corporate governance*. From www.sec.gov/news/press/2009/2009-268.htm, accessed January 20, 2010.

Google Scholar

Simsek, A., Veiga, J.G., Lubatkin, M.H., & Dino, R.N. 2005. Modeling the multilevel determinants of top management team behavioral integration. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48: 69-84.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Wagner, J.A., Steimpert, J.L., & Fubara, E.I. 1998. Board composition and organizational performance: Two studies of insider/outsider effects. *Journal of Management Studies*, 35: 655-677.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Walsh, J.P., & Seward, J.K. 1990. On the efficiency of internal and external corporate control mechanisms. *Academy of Management Review*, 15: 421-458.C

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Articles In the Same Collection(s):

Collection: March 2011 Bridging Micro and Macro Domains

1	Free access		
	Walking New Avenues in Management Research Methods and Theories: Bridg		
	Show Details ∨		
<u></u>	Free access		
	Walking New Avenues in Management Research Methods and Theories: Bridg		
	Show Details ∨		
	Restricted access		
	Network Theory of Organization: A Multilevel Approach		
	Show Details ∨		
Collection: Corporate Governance			
n	Restricted access		
	Board Interlocks and Firm Performance: Toward a Combined Agency–Resour		
	Show Details ∨		
	Restricted access		
	External Corporate Governance and Strategic Investment Behaviors of Ta		
	Show Details		
A	Restricted access		
	Of Scapegoats and Signals: Investor Reactions to CEO Succession in the: Investor Reactions to CEO Succession in the Aftermath of Wrongdoing		
	Show Details ∨		
Sim	ilar articles:		
A	Restricted access		
	Board Composition, Stock Ownership and the Exemption of Directors from Liability		
	Show Details		
A	Restricted access		

An examination of the relationship of governance mechanisms to performance

Restricted access Independence of the Chairman and Board Composition: Firm Choices and Shareholder Value			
			Show Details 💛
<u>View More</u>			
Sage recommends:			
- Sage recommends.			
SAGE Knowledge			
Book chapter			
Boards and Board Effectiveness			
Show Details V			
SAGE Knowledge			
Book chapter			
Risk and Corporate Governance			
Show Details			
SAGE Knowledge			
Book chapter			
<u>Corporate Governance</u>			
Show Details			
<u>View More</u>			
<u>Download PDF</u>			

Show Details

Also from Sage

CQ Library	Sage Data
Elevating debate	Uncovering insight
Sage Business Cases	Sage Campus
Shaping futures	Unleashing potential
Sage Knowledge	Sage Research Methods
Multimedia learning resources	Supercharging research
Sage Video	Technology from Sage
Streaming knowledge	Library digital services