Journal of Managament

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our <u>partners</u> store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1470 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL
MORE OPTIONS
DECLINE ALL

Google Scholar

Boeker, W. & Goodstein, J. (1993). Performance and successor choice: The moderating effects of governance and ownership. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36: 172-186.

Google Scholar

Bonnier, K. & Bruner, R. (1989). An analysis of stock price reaction to management change in distressed firms. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 11: 95-106.

Google Scholar

Brady, G. & Helmich, D. (1982). The hospital administrator and organizational change: Do we recruit from the outside? *Hospital And Health Services Administration*, 27: 53-62.

Google Scholar

Brady, G. & Helmich, D. 1984. *Executive succession*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Google Scholar

Brady, G., Fulmer, R. & Helmich, D. (1982). Planning executive succession: The effect of recruitment source and organizational problems on anticipated tenure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 3: 269-275.

Google Scholar

Brown, M. C. (1982). Administrative Succession and Organizational Performance: The Succession Effect. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 27: 1-16.

Google Scholar

Cannella, A., Jr. & Lubatkin, M. (1993). Succession as a sociopolitical process. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36: 763-793.

Google Scholar

Carlson, R. (1961). Succession and performance among school superintendents. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 6: 210-227.

Google Scholar

Carlson, R. (1962). *Executive succession and organizational change*. Danville, IL: Interstate Printers and Publishers.

Carroll, G. (1984). Dynamics of publisher succession in newspaper organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 29: 93-113.

Google Scholar

Chaganti, R., Mahajan, V. & Sharma, S. (1985). Corporate board size, composition, and corporate failures in retailing industry. *Journal of ManagementStudies*, 22: 400-416.

Google Scholar

Chaganti, R. & Sambharya, R. (1987). Strategic orientation and characteristics of upper management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 8: 393-401

Google Scholar

Chung, K., Lubatkin, M., Rogers, R. & Owers, J. (1987). Do insiders make better CEOs than outsiders? *Academy of Management Executive*, 1: 323-329.

Google Scholar

Coughlin, A. T. & Schmidt, R. M. (1985). Executive compensation, management turnover, and firm performance: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 7: 43-66.

Google Scholar

Crain, W., Deaton, T. & Tollison, R. (1976). On the survival of corporate executives. *Southern Economics Journal*, 43: 1372-1376.

Google Scholar

Dale, E. (1957). Du Pont: Pioneer in systematic mangement. *Adminsitrative Science Quarterly*, 121 25-59.

Google Scholar

Dalton, D. & Kesner, I. (1983). Inside/Outside succession and organizational size: The pragmatics of executive succession. *Academy of Management Journal*, 26: 736-742.

Google Scholar

Dalton, D. & Kesner, I. (1985). Organizational performance as antecedent of Inside/Outside chief executive succession: An empirical assessment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28: 749-762.

Daum, J. (1975). Internal promotion-a psychological asset or debit? A study of the effects of leader origin. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 13: 404-413.

Google Scholar

Davidson, W., Worrell, D. & Cheng, L. (1990). Key executive succession and stockholder wealth: The influence of successor's origin, position and age. *Journal of Management*, 16: 647-664.

Google Scholar

Davidson, W., Worrell, D. & Dutia, D. (1993). The stock market effects of CEO succession in bankrupt firms. *Journal of Management*, 19: 517-533.

Google Scholar

Davis, S. M. (1968). Entrepreneurial succession. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 13: 402-416.

Google Scholar

Day, D. V. & Lord, R. G. (1988). Executive leadership and organizational performance: Suggestions for a new theory and methodology. *Journal of Management*, 14: 453-464.

Google Scholar

DeAngelo, H. & DeAngelo, L. (1989). Proxy contests and the governance of publicly held corporations. *Journal of Financial Accounting*, 12: 29-59.

Google Scholar

Drazin, R. & Kazanjian, R. (1993). Applying the de1 technique to the analysis of cross-classification data: A test of CEO succession and top management team development. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36: 1374-1399.

Google Scholar

Einhorn, H. J. & Hogarth, R. M. (1981). Behavioral decision theory: Processes of judgment and choice. *American Review of Psychology*, 32: 53-88.

Google Scholar

Eitzen, D. S. & Yetman, N. (1972). Managerial change, Longevity, and organizational effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17: 110-116.

Fredrickson, J., Hambrick, D. & Baumrin, S. (1988). A model of CEO dismissal. *Academy of Management Review*, 13: 255-270.

Google Scholar

Friedman, S. D. (1986). Succession systems in large corporations: Characteristics and correlates of performance. *Human Resource Management*, 2: 191-214.

Google Scholar

Friedman, S. D. (1990). Succession systems in the public sector: Lessons from the Oklahoma department of corrections. *Public Personnel Management*, 19: 291-303.

Google Scholar

Friedman, S. D. & Saul, K. (1991). A leader's wake: Organization member reactions to CEO succession. *Journal of Management*, 17: 619-642.

Google Scholar

Friedman, S. D. & Singh, H. (1989). CEO succession and stockholder reaction: The influence of organizational context and event content. *Academy of Management Journal*, 32: 718-744.

Google Scholar

Furtado, E. & Rozeff, M. (1987). The wealth effects of company initiated management changes. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 18: 147-160.

Google Scholar

Garbarro, J. (1979). Socialization at the top-How CEOs and subordinates evolve interpersonal contracts. *Organization Dynamics*, (Winter): 2-23.

Google Scholar

Garbarro, J. (1986). When a new manager takes charge. *Harvard Business Review*, 86: 110-123.

Google Scholar

Garbarro, J. (1988). Executive leadership and succession: The process of taking charge. Pp. 237-268 in D. Hambrick (Ed.), *7&eexecutive effect*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Google Scholar

Gamson, W. & Scotch, N. (1964). Scapegoating in baseball. *American Journal of Sociology*, 70: 69-72.

Google Scholar

Gephart, R. (1978). Status degradation and organizations succession: An ethnomethdological approach. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 23: 553-581.

Google Scholar

Gerstein, M. & Reisman, H. (1983). Strategic selection: Matching executives to business conditions. *Sloan Management Review*, (Winter): 33-49.

Google Scholar

Gilmore, T. & McCann, J. (1983). Designing effective transitions for new correctional leaders. Pp, 125-138 in J. Dorg (Ed.), *Criminal corrections: Ideals and realities*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

Google Scholar

Gordon, G. W. & Becker, S. (1964). Organization size and management succession: A reexamination. *American Journal of Sociology*, 70: 215-222.

Google Scholar

Gordon, G. W. & Rosen, N. A. (1981). Critical factors in leadership succession. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 27: 227-254.

Google Scholar

Gouldner, A. W. (1952). The problem of succession in bureaucracy. Pp. 339-351 in R. Merton (Ed.), *Reader in bureaucracy*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

Google Scholar

Gouldner, A. W., (1954). *Patterns of industrial bureaucracy*. Glencoe, IL: The Free Press.

Google Scholar

Govindarajan, V. (1989). Implementing competitive strategies at the business unit level: Implications of matching managers to strategies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10: 251-269.

Google Scholar

Greenblatt, M. (1978). Management succession: Bridge between two regimes. *Psychiatric Opinion*, 15: 36-40.

Greenblatt, M. (1983). Management succession: Some major parameters. *Administration in Mental Health*, 11: 3-10.

Google Scholar

Greiner, L. E. & Bhambri, A. (1989). New CEO intervention and dynamics of deliberate change. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10(Summer): 67-86.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1959). Role conflict in organizations: A study of prison officials. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 3: 463-467.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1960). Administrative succession in formal organizations. *Social Forces*, 39: 105-115.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1961). Corporate size, bureaucratization, and managerial succession. *American Journal of Sociology*, 67: 263-269.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1963). Managerial succession and organizational effectiveness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 69: 21-31.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1964a). Reply to scapegoating in baseball. *American Journal of Sociology*, 70: 72-76.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1964b). The effects of succession: A comparative study of military and business organizations. In M. Janowitz (Ed.), *The new military*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Google Scholar

Grusky, O. (1969). Succession with an ally. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 14: 155-170.

Google Scholar

Guest, R. (1962). Managerial succession in complex organizations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 68: 47-54.

Gupta, A. (1984). Contingency linkages between strategy and general manager characteristics: A conceptual examination. *Academy of Management Review*, 9: 399-412.

Google Scholar

Gupta, A. (1986). Matching managers to strategies: Point and counterpoint. *Human Resource Management*, 25: 215-235.

Google Scholar

Gupta, A. & Govindarajan, V. (1984). Business unit strategy, managerial characteristics, and business unit effectiveness at strategy implementation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 27: 25-41.

Google Scholar

Hall, D. (1986). Dilemmas in linking succession planning to individual executive learning. *Human Resource Management*, 25: 214-239.

Google Scholar

Hall, J. (1976). Organization technology and executive succession. *California Management Review*, 19: 35-39.

Google Scholar

Hambrick, D. & Mason, P. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9: 193-206.

Google Scholar

Hart, A. (1987). Leadership succession: Reflections of a new principal. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 20: 1-11.

Google Scholar

Hashemi, L. A. (1983). Executive succession: Factors related to executive strain. *Administration in Mental Health*, 11: 36-45.

Google Scholar

Haveman, H. (1993). Ghosts of managers past: Managerial succession and organizational mortality. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36: 864-881.

Heidrick & Struggles, Inc. (1987). *The changing board*. Chicago, IL: Heidrick & Struggles, Inc.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1974a). Organizational growth and succession patterns. *Academy of Management Journal*, 17: 771-775.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1974b). Predecessor turnover and successor characteristics. *Cornell Journal of Social Relations*, 9: 249-260.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1975a). Corporate succession: An examination. *Academy of Management Journal*, 3: 429-441.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1975b). Succession: A longitudinal look. *Journal of Business Research*, 3: 355-364.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1975c). The executive interface and president's leadership behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 3: 43-52.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1976). Matching board size and executive span. *Journal of Business Research*, 4: 255-269.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Helmich, D. L. (1977a). Executive succession in the corporate organization: A current integration. *Academy of Management Review*, 2: 257-266.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1977b). Managerial achievement aspirations and succession characteristics. *Business and Economic Perspectives*, 2: 27-35.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1977). The president's position: Successor characteristics and the organizational process. *University of Michigan Business Review*, 29: 11-14.

Helmich, D. L. (1978a). Leader flows and organizational process. *Academy of Management Journal*, 21: 463-478.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1978b). Organizational volatility and rates of leader succession. *Journal of Management*, 4: 25-41.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. (1980). Board size variation and rates of succession in the corporate presidency. *Journal of Business Research*, 8: 51-63.

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. & Brown, W. B. (1972). Successor type and organizational change in the corporate enterprise. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17: 371-381

Google Scholar

Helmich, D. L. & Erzen, P. E. (1975). Leadership style and leader needs. *Academy of Management Journal*, 18: 397-402.

Google Scholar

Hermalin, B. & Weisbach, M. (1988). The determinants of board composition. *The Rand Journal of Economics*, (Winter): 589-606.

Google Scholar

Hogarth, R. (1987). Judgment and choice, 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley.

Google Scholar

Holderness, C. & Sheenan, D. (1988). The role of majority shareholders in publicly held corporations: An exploratory analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 19: 317-346.

Google Scholar

James, D. & Soref, M. (1980). Profit constraints on managerial autonomy: Managerial theory and the unmaking of the corporate president. *American Sociological Review*, 46: 1-18.

Jauch, L., Martin, T. & Osborn, R. (1980). Top management under fire. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 1: 33-41.

Google Scholar

Johnson, W., Magee, R., Nagarajan, N. & Newman, H. (1985). An analysis of the stock price reaction to sudden executive deaths, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 7: 151-178.

Google Scholar

Kelly, J. (1980). Management transitions for newly appointed CEOs. *Sloan Management Review*, 22: 37-45.

Google Scholar

Kerr, J. & Jackofsky, E. (1989). Aligning manager with strategies: Management development versus selection. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10: 157-170.

Google Scholar

Kesner, I. F. (1989). Succession planning. Credit, (May-June): 29-34.

Google Scholar

Kets De Vries, M. (1988). The dark side of CEO succession. Harvard Business Review, 88: 56-60.

Google Scholar

Klein, A. & Rosenfeld, J. (1988). Targeted share repurchases and top management changes. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 19: 493-506.

Google Scholar

Koch, J. (1978). Managerial succession in a factory and changes in supervisory leadership patterns: A field study. *Human Relations*, 31: 49-58.

Google Scholar

Kohler, T. & Strauss, G. (1983). Executive succession: Literature review and research issues. *Administration in Mental Health*, 11: 11-22.

Google Scholar

Kotin, J. & Sharaf, M. (1967). Management succession and administrative style. *Psychiαtry*, 30: 237-248.

Kriesberg, L. (1962). Careers, organization size, and success. *American Journal of Sociology*, 68: 355-359.

Google Scholar

Lamb, R. B. (1987). Succession strategies: The king is dead, long live the king. Pp. 247-273 in *Running American business: Top CEOs rethink their major decisions*. Basic Books: New York.

Google Scholar

Lauenstein, M. (1980). Boards of directors: The need for a strategic concept. *Journal of BusinessStrategy*, 1: 66-68.

Google Scholar

Leontiades, M. (1982). Choosing the right manager to fit the strategy. *American Sociological Review*, 37: 117-130.

Google Scholar

Levinson, B. (1974). Don't choose your own successor. *Harvard Business Review*, 52: 53-62.

Google Scholar

Lewin, A. & Wolf, C. (1974). When the CEO must go. Advanced Management Journal, 39: 59-62.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Lieberson, S. & O'Conner, J. (1972). Leadership and organizational performance: A study of large corporations. *American Sociology Review*, 37: 117-130.

Google Scholar

Louis, M. R. (1980). Career transitions: Varieties and commonalities. *Academy of Management Review*, 5: 329-340.

Google Scholar

Lubatkin, M., Chung, K., Rogers, R. & Owers, J. (1986). The effect of executive succession on stockholder wealth of large corporations. *Academy of Management Review*, 11: 497-512.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Lubatkin, M., Chung, K., Rogers, R. & Owers, J., (1989). Stockholder reactions to CEO changes in large organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 32: 47-68.

Lundberg, C. (1986). The dynamic organizational contexts of executive succession: Considerations and challenges. *Human Resource Management*, 25: 287-303.

Google Scholar

Mace. M. (1971). *Directors: Myth and reality*. Boston: Harvard University Press.

Google Scholar

Mahajan. A. & Lummer. S. (1993). Shareholder wealth effects of management changes, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 20: 393-410.

Google Scholar

Mahler, W. (1980). Management succession planning: New approaches for the 80's. *Human Resource Planning*, 13: 221-227.

Google Scholar

March, J. C. & March, J. G. (1977). Almost random careers: The Wisconsin school superintendency, 1940-1972. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 22: 377-409.

Google Scholar

March. J.G. (1978). Bounded rationality, ambiguity, and the engineering of choice. *Bell Journal of Economics*, 9: 587-608.

Google Scholar

Marino, K. & Dollinger, M. (1987). Top management succession in entrepreneurial firms: Cases from the computer industry. *Journal of Management Case Studies*, 3: 70-79.

Google Scholar

McCanna. W. & Compte. T. (1986). The CEO succession dilemma: How boards function in turnover at the top. *Business Horizons*, 29: 17-22.

Google Scholar

McEachern. W. (1975). Managerial control and performance. Lexington, MA: Heath.

Google Scholar

McGiven, C. (1978). The dynamics of management succession. *Management Dedsion-U.K.*, 16: 32-42.

MC&ire, J., Schneeweis, T. & Naroff. J. (1988). Effects of top managers' cabinet appointments on shareholder wealth. *Ac4dem.v of Management Journal*, 31: 201-212.

Google Scholar

Meyer, M. (1975). Leadership and organization structure. *American Journal of Sociology*, 81: 514-542.

Google Scholar

Miller. D. (1991). Stale in the saddle: CEO tenure and the match between organization and environment. *Management Science*, 37: 34-52.

Google Scholar

Miller. D. (1993). Some organizational consequences of CEO succession. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36: 644-659.

Google Scholar

Miller. D. & Friesen, P. (1984). Organizations: A quantum view. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Google Scholar

Miller, D. Kets De Vries, M. & Toulouse, J. (1982). Top executive locus of control and its relation to strategy making. structure, and environment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 25: 237-253.

Google Scholar

Miner, A. (1987). Idiosyncratic jobs in formalized organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 32: 327-351.

Google Scholar

Merck, E., Shleifer, A. & Vishny, R. (1988). Management ownership and market valuation: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 18: 293-315.

Google Scholar

Osborn, R., Jauch, L., Martin, T. & Glueck, W. (1981). The event of CEO succession, performance, and environmental conditions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 24: 183-191.

Google Scholar

Pattan, J. (1986). Succession planning 2: Management selection. *Personnel*, 63: 16-23.

Perrucci, R. & Mannweiler, R. A. (1968). Organization size, complexity, and administrative succession in higher education. *Sociological Quarterly*, 9: 343-355.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. (1972). Size and composition of corporate boards of directors: The organization and its environment. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17: 218-228.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. (1993). Barriers to the advancement of organizational science: Paradigm development as a dependent variable. *Academy of Management Review*, 18: 599-620.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. & Davis-Blake, A. (1986). Administrative succession and organizational performance: How administrator experience mediates the succession effect. *Academy of Management Journal*, 29: 72-83.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. & Leblebici, H. (1973). Executive recruitment and the development of interfirm organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 18: 449-461.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. & Moore, W. (1980). Average tenure of academic department heads: The effects of paradigm, size, and departmental demography. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 25: 387-405.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. & Salancik, G. (1977). Organization context and the characteristics and tenure of hospital administrators. *Academy of Management Journal*, 20: 74-88.

Google Scholar

Pfeffer, J. & Salancik, G. (1978). The external control of organizations. New York: Harper & Row.

Google Scholar

Puffer, S. & Weintrop, J. (1991). Corporate performance and CEO turnover: The role of performance expectations, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36: 1-19.

Redlich, F. (1977). Problems of succession. Paper presented at Annual Meeting of American Psychiatric Association, Toronto, ONT.

Google Scholar

Reinganum, M. (1985). The effects of executive succession on stockholder wealth: A reply. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 30: 375-376.

Google Scholar

Rowan, R. (1983). The tricky task of picking an heir apparent. Fortune, (May 2): 56-64.

Google Scholar

Rhodes, D. & Walker, J. (1984). Management succession and development planning. *Human Resource Planning*, 17: 157-173.

Google Scholar

Salancik, G. & Pfeffer, J. (1977). Constraints on administrator discretion: The limited influence of mayors on city budgets. *Urban Affairs Quarterly*, 12: 475-498.

Google Scholar

Salancik, G. & Pfeffer, J. (1980). Effects of ownership and performance on executive tenure in U. S. corporations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 23: 653-664.

Google Scholar

Salancik, G., Staw, B. & Pondy, L. (1980). Administrative turnover as a response to unmanaged organizational interdependence. *Academy of Management Journal*, 23: 422-437.

Google Scholar

Samuelson, B. A., Galbraith, C. S. & McGuire, J. W. (1985). Organizational performance and top-management turnover. *Organization Studies*, 6: 275-291

Google Scholar

Schwartz, K. & Menon, K. (1985). Executive succession in failing firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28: 680-686.

Google Scholar

Sheibar, P. (1986). Succession planning I: Senior management selection. *Personnel*, 63: 16-23.

Google Scholar

Shetty, Y. K. & Peery, N. S., Jr. (1976). Are top managers transferable across companies? *Business Horizons*, 19: 23-28.

Google Scholar

Smith, J., Carson, K. & Alexander, R. (1984). Leadership: It can make a difference. *Academy of Management Journal*, 27: 765-776.

Google Scholar

Smith, M. & White, M. (1987). Strategy, CEO specialization, and succession. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 32: 263-280.

Google Scholar

Sonnenfeld, J. (1986). Heroes in collison: Chief executive retirement and the parade of future leaders. *Human Resource Management*, 25: 305-333.

Google Scholar

Szilagyi, A. & Schweiger, D. (1984). Matching Managers to Strategies: A Review and Suggested Framework. *Academy of Management Review*, 9: 626-637.

Google Scholar

Tashakori, M. (1980). *Management succession: From the owner-founder to the professional president*. Praeger: New York.

Google Scholar

Thomas, A. (1988). Does leadership make a difference to organizational performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 33: 388-400.

Google Scholar

Trow, D. (1960). Membership succession and team performance. *Human Relations*, 13: 259-269.

Google Scholar

Trow, D. (1961). Executive succession in small companies. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 6: 228-239.

Tushman, M., Virany, B. & Romanelli, E. (1985). Executive succession, strategic reorientations and organizational evolution. *Technology In Society*, 7: 297-313.

Google Scholar

Vance, S. (1964). *Boards of directors: Structure and performance*. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press.

Google Scholar

Vancil, R. (1987). Passing the baton. Boston, MA: Harvard University Press.

Google Scholar

Virany, B., Tushman, M. & Romanelli, E. (1992). Executive succession and organization outcomes in turbulent environments: An organizational learning approach. *Organization Science*, 3: 72-90.

Google Scholar

Walsh, J. (1988). Top management turnover following mergers and acquisitions. *Strategic Management Journal*, (March): 173-183.

Google Scholar

Warner, R. R. Watts, R. L. & Wruck, K. H. (1988). Stock prices and top management changes. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20: 461-492.

Google Scholar

Weiner, N. & Mahoney, T. (1981). A model of corporate performance as a function of environmental, organizational, and leadership influences. *Academy of Management Journal*, 24: 453-470.

Google Scholar

Weisbach, M. (1988). Outside directors and CEO turnover. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 29: 431-460.

Google Scholar

Welsh, M. & Dehler, G. (1988). Political legacy of administrative succession. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31: 948-961.

Google Scholar

Whyte, W. F. (1948). The social structure of the restaurant industry. *American Journal of Sociology*, 54: 320-310.

Wiersema, M. F. (1992). Strategic consequences of executive succession within diversified firms. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29: 73-94.

Google Scholar

Wills, G. (1992). Enabling managerial growth and ownership succession. *Management Decision*, 30: 10-26.

Google Scholar

Wissema, J., Van Der Pal, H. & Messer, H. (1980). Strategic manager archetypes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 1: 37-47.

Google Scholar

Worrell, D. & Davidson, W. (1987). The effect of CEO succession on stockholder wealth in large firms following the death of the predecessor. *Journal of Management*, 13: 509-515.

Google Scholar

Worrell. D. Davidson. W. Chandy. P. & Garrison, S. (1986). Management turnover through deaths of key executives: Effects on investor wealth. *Academy of h4unugement Journal*, 29: 674-694.

Google Scholar

Zajac, E. J. (1990). CEO selection, succession, compensation and firm performance: A theoretical integration and empirical analysis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 11: 217-230.

Google Scholar

Zald, M. (1965). Who shall rule? A political analysis of succession in a large welfare organization. *Pacific Sociological Review*, 8: 52-60.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Zald, M. (1969). The power and functions of boards of directors: A theoretical synthesis. *American Journal of Sociology*, 75: 97-111.

Google Scholar

Similar articles:



Restricted access

A Leader's Wake: Organization Member Reactions to CEO Succession

	Show Details			
A	Restricted access			
	The Stock Market Effects of CEO Succession in Bankrupt Firms			
	Show Details			
n	Restricted access			
	<u>Key Executive Succession and Stockholder Wealth: The Influence of Successorys Origin, Position, and Age</u>			
	Show Details			
	<u>View More</u>			
Sage	e recommends:			
	k chapter			
<u>Con</u>	text Surrounding Executive Leaders			
Sho	w Details			
	E Knowledge			
	k chapter			
	w Details V			
	iE Knowledge			
Entr				
Succ	<u>cession Planning</u>			
Sho	w Details Y			
<u>View More</u>				

Also from Sage

CQ Library	Sage Data
Elevating debate	Uncovering insight
Sage Business Cases	Sage Campus
Shaping futures	Unleashing potential
Sage Knowledge	Sage Research Methods
Multimedia learning resources	Supercharging research
Sage Video	Technology from Sage
Streaming knowledge	Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1470 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.