#### The american review of Funit Authoritistianor

## **Sage** Journals

### We value your privacy

We and our <u>partners</u> store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1468 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL
MORE OPTIONS
DECLINE ALL

	PropPol Appt	InvCncl	PPCC award	Union	PropBrd Part	PercARC	BudGap
Pearson's correlation	-0.203	0.049	0.159	0.049	1	0.108	-0.023
Sig. (two- tailed)	0.057	0.653	0.140	0.647		0.318	0.832
N	88	88	88	88	88	88	88
PercARC							
Pearson's correlation	0.106	0.019	0.110	0.177	0.108	1	0.093
Sig. (two- tailed)	0.325	0.857	0.306	0.098	0.318		0.389
N	88	88	88	88	88	88	88
BudGap							
Pearson's correlation	0.150	-0.151	-0.034	0.043	-0.023	0.093	1
Sig. (two- tailed)	0.162	0.159	0.753	0.691	0.832	0.389	
N	88	88	88	88	88	88	88
FailThresh ho	ld						
Pearson's correlation	-0.213 <u>*</u>	-0.210	-0.292 <u>**</u>	-0.260 <u>*</u>	-0.107	-0.190	0.012
Sig. (two- tailed)	0.046	0.050	0.006	0.014	0.322	0.076	0.915
Ν	88	88	88	88	88	88	88
PropFixed Cash							
Pearson's correlation	-0.020	-0.015	-0.061	0.105	-0.155	0.122	0.074

	PropPol Appt	InvCncl	PPCC award	Union	PropBrd Part	PercARC	BudGap
Sig. (two- tailed)	0.851	0.892	0.575	0.330	0.149	0.259	0.490
N	88	88	88	88	88	88	88

\*

Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

\*\*

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).

## References

Barefield R., Comisky E. (1971), Depreciation policy and the behavior of corporate profits. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 9, 351-358.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Bhagat S., Black B. S. (1998). The uncertain relationship between board composition and firm performance. In Hopt K., Roe M., Wymeersch E. (Eds.). *Corporate governance: The state of the art and emerging research*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

**Google Scholar** 

Biggs A. (2010, September 29). *Do GASB proposals end the market valuation debate*? Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.aei.org/event/100295">http://www.aei.org/event/100295</a>

**Google Scholar** 

Bovbjerg B. D., United States Congress Joint Economic Committee, & United States Government Accountability Office. (2008). *State and local government pension plans: Current structure and funded status: testimony before the Joint Economic Committee*. Washington, DC: U.S. Govt. Accountability Office. Series: Testimony, GAO-08-983 T.

Google Scholar

Brainard K. (2010). *NASRA issue brief: Public pension plan investment return assumptions*. National Association of State Retirement Administrators (NASRA).

Brainard K., Zorn P. (2012 January). *What is the source of the 80-percent threshold as a healthy or minimum funding level for public pension plans?* Unpublished paper, National Association of State Retirement Administrators (NASRA).

Google Scholar

Brown C. D., Raghunandan K. (1995, September). Audit quality in audits of federal programs by non-federal auditors. *Accounting Horizons*, 9, 1-10.

Google Scholar

Center for Retirement Research at Boston College. (2012). *Public Plans Database (2001-2009)*. Chestnut Hill, MA.

Google Scholar

Chaney B. A., Copley P. A., Stone M. S. (2002, Winter). The effect of fiscal stress and balanced budget requirements on the funding and measurement of state pension obligations. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 21, 287-313.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Christensen A. L., Mohr R. M. (1995). Testing a positive theory model of museum accounting practices. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 11, 317-335.

Crossref

**Google Scholar** 

Deschow P. M., Sloan R. G., Sweeney A. P. (1996, Spring). Causes and consequences of earnings manipulation: An analysis of firms subject to enforcement actions by the SEC. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 13(1), 1-36.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Dhaliwal D. (1980, January). The effect of the firm's capital structure on the choice of accounting methods. *The Accounting Review*, 55, 78-84.

Dhaliwal D., Salamon G., Smith E. (1982, July). The effect of owners versus management control on the choice of accounting methods. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 4, 41-53.

Crossref

**Google Scholar** 

Eaton T. V., Nofsinger J. R. (2004). The effect of financial constraints and political pressure on the management of public pension plans. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 23, 161-189.

Crossref

**Google Scholar** 

Fama E. F., Jensen M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26, 301-325.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Guerrera F., Bullock N. (2010, January). US public pensions face \$2,000bn deficit. *New York Financial Times*.

Google Scholar

Healy P. M., Wahlen J. M. (1999, December). A review of the earnings management literature and its implications for standard setting. *Accounting Horizons*, 13, 365-383.

Crossref

**Google Scholar** 

Hess D. (2005). Protecting and politicizing public pension fund assets: Empirical evidence on the effects of governance structures and practices. *University of California Davis Law Review*, 39, 187-224.

**Google Scholar** 

Inman R. (1981). Municipal pension funding: A theory and some evidence: Acomment. *Public Choice*, 37, 179-187.

Crossref

Inman R. (1982). Public employee pensions and the local labor budget. *Journal of Public Economics*, 19(1), 49-71.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Kinney M., Trezevant R. (1995). *Earnings management using non-recurring items* (Working Paper), A&M, Texas.

**Google Scholar** 

Leone A., Van Horn L. R. (2005). How do nonprofit hospitals manage earnings? *Journal of Health Economics*, 24, 815-837.

Crossref

<u>PubMed</u>

Google Scholar

Lin L. (1996). *The effectiveness of outside directors as a corporate governance mechanism: Theories and evidence*. Northwestern University Law Review 90 NW. U. L. REV. 898, 901.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Marks B. R., Raman K. K., Wilson E. R. (1988). Toward understanding the determinants of pension underfunding in the public sector. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 7, 157-183.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Mitchell O. S., Hsin P.-L. (1997), Public sector pension governance and performance. In Valdes S. (Ed.), *The economics of pensions: Principles, policies, and international experience*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Google Scholar

Mitchell O. S., Smith R. S. (1991). *Pension funding in the public sector* (NBER Working Papers 3898). National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Crossref

NASRA (National Association of State Retirement Administrators), 2012 "Recognition award for Administration under the Public Pension Coordinating Council's (PPCC) standards award program. <a href="https://www.nasra.org/resources/PPCC\_Standards\_Award\_Program.htm">https://www.nasra.org/resources/PPCC\_Standards\_Award\_Program.htm</a>

Google Scholar

National Governors Association. (2010). *Facts you should know: State and Local Pensions*. National Governors Association (NGA).

**Google Scholar** 

Norcross E., Biggs A. (2010). *The crisis in public sector pension plans: A Blueprint for reform in New Jersey* (Working Paper). Mercatus Center No. 10-31.

Google Scholar

Novy-Marx R., Rauh J. (2008). *The intergenerational transfer of public pension promises* (NBER Working Paper #14343), Booth School of Business, University of Chicago.

Crossref

**Google Scholar** 

Novy-Marx R., Rauh J. (2009). The liabilities and risks of state-sponsored pension plans. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 23, 191-210.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Novy-Marx R., Rauh J. (2010). Public pension promises: How big are they and what are they worth? *Journal of Finance*, 66, 1207-1245.

**Google Scholar** 

Peng J. (2004, June). Public pension funds and operating budgets: A tale of three states. *Public Budgeting and Finance*, 24(2), 59-73.

Crossref

Penno M., Simon D. (1986, Winter). Accounting choices: Public versus private firms. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 13, 561-569.

Crossref

**Google Scholar** 

Peskin M. W. (2001). Asset/liability management in the public sector. In Mitchell O. S., Hustead E. C. (Eds.), *Pensions in the public sector* (Chap. 9, pp. 195-217). Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

**Google Scholar** 

Pew Center on the States. (2010). *The trillion dollar gap: Underfunded state retirement systems and the roads to reform*. Washington, DC: Author.

**Google Scholar** 

Public Pension Coordinating Council (2001). *Survey of state and local government employee retirement systmes* (Survey Report). Chicago, IL: Government Finance Officers Association; National Association of State Retirement Administrators

Google Scholar

Stalebrink O. J. (2007). An investigation of discretionary accruals and surplus-deficit management: Evidence from Swedish municipalities, *Financial Accountability and Management*, 23 (4), 441-458.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Trussel J. (2003, December). Assessing potential accounting manipulation: The financial characteristics of charitable organizations with higher than expected program-spending ratios. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 32, 616-634.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Vermeer T. E., Styles A. K., Patton T. K. (2010). Are local governments adopting optimistic actuarial methods and assumptions for defined benefit plans? *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 23, 511-542.

Crossref

Vinnari E. M, Nasi S. (2008). Creative accrual accounting in the public sector: Milking water utilities to balance municipal budgets and accounts. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 24(2), 76-116.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Walsh M. W. (2012, May 22). Public pensions faulted for bets on rosy returns. *New York Times*, pp. A1 and A3.

Google Scholar

Watts R., Zimmerman J. (1978, January). Towards a positive theory of the determination of accounting standards. *The Accounting Review*, 53, 112-134.

Google Scholar

Zimmerman J. L. (1977). The municipal accounting maze: An analysis of political incentives. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 15(Suppl.), 107-144.

Crossref

Google Scholar

Zucca L., Campbell D. (1992, September). A closer look at discretionary write-downs of impaired assets. *Accounting Horizons*, 6, 30-41.

Google Scholar

# Biographies

**Odd J. Stalebrink** is an associate professor of public policy and administration at the School of Public Affairs at the Pennsylvania State University. His research and teaching specialization is in the area of public budgeting and financial management. His scholarly work has appeared in numerous peer-reviewed journals including but not limited to *Financial Accountability and Management, Critical Perspectives on Accounting, Journal of Public Budgeting Accounting and Financial Management, The Accounting Forum, American Review of Public Administration,* and the *Journal of Public Budgeting and Finance*.

Sim	าแar	art	IC	les:

	State and Local Government Pension Funding on the Eve of the COVID-19 Recession						
	Show details ∨						
_	Destricted assess						
1	Restricted access						
	<u>Public Pension Underfunding in California: A Perfect Storm Likely to Sweep the Nation</u>						
	Show details V						
	Restricted access						
	Reporting for State and Local Government Pension Plans: A Critical Analysis						
	Show details						
	<u>View more</u>						
Sag	ge recommends:						
	<b>,</b>						
	Researcher						
Rep							
	Retirement Crunch						
Sho	ow details						
CQ	CQ Researcher						
Rep	port						
<u>Pen</u>	<u>ision Crisis</u>						
Sho	ow details 🗸						
CQI	R						
Rep							
The	Retirement Crunch						
Sho	ow details 🗸						
	<u>View more</u>						

Free access

# Also from Sage

CQ Library  Elevating debate	Sage Data  Uncovering insight
Sage Business Cases  Shaping futures	Sage Campus  Unleashing potential
Sage Knowledge ———— Multimedia learning resources	Sage Research Methods  ———————————————————————————————————
Sage Video  Streaming knowledge	Technology from Sage Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1468 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.