

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our [partners](#) store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised ads and content, ad and content measurement, and audience insights, as well as to develop and improve products. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 845 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting.

Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences at any time by returning to this site or visit our [privacy policy](#).

ACCEPT ALL

MORE OPTIONS

DECLINE ALL

Brown T. L., Potoski M., Van Slyke D. (2006). Managing public service contracts: Aligning values, institutions, and markets. Washington, DC: *Public Administration Review*, 66, 53-67.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Brown T. L., Potoski M., Van Slyke D. (2013). *Complex contracting: Government purchasing in the wake of the US Coast Guard's Deepwater Program*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Bubshait A. A. (2003). Incentive/disincentive contracts and its effects on industrial projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21, 63-70.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Burleson R. C., Wilson L. (2007). Incentive contracts. *Contract Management*, 49, 18-22.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cohen S., Eimicke W. (2008). *The responsible contract manager: Protecting the public interest in an outsourced world*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Courty P., Marschke G. (2007). Making government accountable: Lessons from a federal job training program. *Public Administration Review*, 67, 904-916.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Dixit A. (2002). Incentives and organizations in the public sector. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 37, 696-727.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Eckerd A., Eckerd S. (2017). Institutional constraints, managerial choices, and conflicts in public sector supply chains. *International Public Management Journal*, 20, 624-647.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Eckerd A., Snider K. (2017). Does the program manager matter? New public management and defense acquisition. *American Review of Public Administration*, 47(1), 36-57.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Eriksson P. E. (2015). Partnering in engineering projects: Four dimensions of supply chain integration. *Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 21, 38-50.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Fisher I. N. (1969). An evaluation of incentive contracting experience. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 16, 63-83.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Frant H. (1996). High-powered and low-powered incentives in the public sector. *Journal of Public Administration and Theory*, 6, 365-381.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Girth A. M. (2014). A closer look at contract accountability: Exploring the determinants of sanctions for unsatisfactory contract performance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24, 317-348.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Girth A. M., Hefetz A., Johnston J. M., Warner M. E. (2012). Outsourcing public service delivery: Management responses in noncompetitive markets. *Public Administration Review*, 72, 887-900.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Goel R. K. (1999). On contracting for uncertain R&D. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 20, 99-106.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Grady C. (April 1, 2016). *Guidance on Using Incentive and Other Contract Types [Memorandum]*. Washington, DC: Department of Defense. U.S. Department of Defense. Retrieved from <https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/policy/policyvault/USA001270-16-DPAP.pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

Gruneberg S. (2007). Performance-based contracting: An alternative approach to transacting in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 25, 111-112.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Heinrich C. J. (2004). Improving public sector performance management: One step forward, two steps back? *Public Finance and Management*, 4, 317-351.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Heinrich C. J., Choi Y. (2007). Performance-based contracting in social welfare programs. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 37, 409-435.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Jensen P. H., Stonecash R. E. (2005). Incentives and the efficiency of public sector-outsourcing contracts. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 19, 767-787.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Kelman S. (2002). Contracting. In Salamon L. A. (Ed.), *The tools of government: A guide to the new governance*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 282-339.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kim Y. W., Brown T. L. (2012). The importance of contract design. *Public Administration Review*, 72, 687-696.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Laffont J., Martimort D. (2002). *The theory of incentives: The principal-agent model*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Laffont J., Tirole J. (1991). The politics of government decision-making: A theory of regulatory capture. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, 1089-1127.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Lambright K. T. (2009). Agency theory and beyond: Contracted providers' motivations to properly use service monitoring tools. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19, 207-228.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Lewis G., Bajari P. (2014). Moral hazard, incentive contracts, and risk: Evidence from procurement. *Review of Economic Studies*, 81, 1201-1228.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Marvel M. K., Marvel H. P. (2009). Shaping the provision of outsourced public services: Incentive efficacy and service delivery. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 33, 183-213.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Nelson E. N. (2007). Maze ramp contract awarded for less than \$1M. *East Bay Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.eastbaytimes.com/2007/05/07/maze-ramp-contract-awarded-for-less-than-1m/>

[Google Scholar](#)

Obama B. (2009). *Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies - Subject: Government Contracting*. Washington, DC: White House. Retrieved from www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/03/16/press-briefing-press-secretary-sean-spicer-3162017-25.

[Google Scholar](#)

Poppo L., Zenger T. (2002). Do formal contracts and relational governance function as substitutes or complements? *Strategic Management Journal*, 23, 707-725.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Prager J. (1994). Contracting out government services: Lessons from the private sector. *Public Administration Review*, 54, 176-184.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Professional Services Council. (2015, January). *2014 Acquisitions Policy Survey*. Available from <http://www.pscouncil.org>

[Google Scholar](#)

Sappington D. E. M. (1991). Incentives in principal-agent relationships. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 5, 45-66.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Selviaridis K., Wynstra F. (2014). Performance-based contracting: A literature review and future research directions. *International Journal of Production Research*, 53, 3505-3540.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Shetterly D. R. (2000). The influence of contract design on contractor performance: The case of residential refuse collection. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 24, 53-68.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Stever J. A. (1999). The glass firewall between military and civil administration. *Administration & Society*, 31, 28-49.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Department of Defense Inspector General. (2010). *U.S. Army corps of engineers' use of award fees on contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan*. Retrieved from http://psm.du.edu/media/documents/us_research_and_oversight/dod_ig/audits/us_dod_ig_audit_10-049.pdf

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2009a). *Federal contracting: Application of OMB guidance can improve use of award fee contracts*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-09-839T>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2009b). *Federal contracting: Guidance on award fees has led to better practices but is not consistently applied*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d09630.pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2010a). *Defense acquisitions: Further actions needed to address weaknesses in DOD's management of professional and management support contracts*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-10-39>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2010b). *Defense contracting: DOD has enhanced insight into undefinitized contract action use, but management at local commands needs improvement*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-10-299>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2012a). *Defense acquisitions: Further action needed to improve DOD's insight and management of long-term maintenance contracts*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-12-558>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2012b). *More efficient and effective government: Opportunities to reduce duplication, overlap and fragmentation, achieve savings, and enhance revenue*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-12-449T>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2014). *Ineffective planning and oversight practices underscore the need for improved contract management*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/assets/670/665178.pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2015). *Sole source contracting: Defining and tracking bridge contracts would help agencies manage their use*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/assets/680/673110.pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2016). *Federal real property: GSA could better identify risks of unforeseen conditions in repair and alteration projects*. Retrieved from <http://www.gao.gov/assets/680/675851.pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

Van Slyke D. M. (2007). Agents or stewards: Using theory to understand the government-nonprofit social service contracting relationship. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 17, 157-187.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Williamson O. E. (1985). *The economic institutions of capitalism*. New York, NY: The Free Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Biographies


Amanda M. Girth is an associate professor at the John Glenn College of Public Affairs at The Ohio State University. Her research on the intersection of the public and private sectors focusses on implementation issues and accountability challenges in third-party governance.

Lauren E. Lopez coauthored this article while completing graduate work at The Ohio State University. She graduated in 2016 with a Master of Public Administration degree and a Master of Science degree in Economics. She is currently a lead finance analyst at the Colorado Department of Higher Education.

Related content




Similar articles:

 Restricted access

[Reducing risk and leveraging markets: The impact of financial structure on federal contractor performance](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[Marketing to the Navy](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[Unique Relationships Between the Government and Contractors in the Atomic Energy Industry](#)

Show details ▾

[View more](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Procurement, Acquisition, and Logistics](#)

Show details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

Book chapter

[Procurement, Acquisition, and Logistics](#)

Show details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Procurement, Acquisition, And Logistics](#)

Show details ▾

[View more](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[View full text](#) | [Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Technology from Sage

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised ads and content, ad and content measurement, and audience insights, as well as to develop and improve products. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 845 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences at any time by returning to this site or visit our privacy policy.