Sage Journals

Australian Journal of Management

Impact Factor: **2.1** 5-Year Impact Factor:

By clicking "Accept Non-Essential Cookies", you agree to the storing of cookies on your device to enhance site navigation, analyze site usage, and assist in our marketing efforts. <u>Privacy Policy</u> <u>Cookie Policy</u>

Manage Cookies

Accept Non-Essential Cookies

Reject Non-Essential Cookies

ucted from 'off cus of the tests is rework. In of the three FF

••• More

risk factors, thereby permitting a direct estimate of the associated risk premia. The key findings are as follows. First, the proxy mimicking portfolios do represent pervasive sources of exposure across a sample of industry-sorted portfolios. Second, based on the outcome of all the GMM tests performed on our sample, the evidence seems to quite strongly support the three-factor Fama and French model. Third, when we take into account the estimated risk premia produced by our framework, the conclusion favouring the model has to be downweighted somewhat. Nevertheless, the estimated risk premia for the market and for the book-to-market factor are typically found to be significantly positive. Our main 'perverse' finding relates to the size risk premium which in our sample is typically significantly negative. This is consistent with other recent evidence of a 'reversal' in the size effect.

Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access 💡

References

Amihud, Y., Christensen, B. & Mendelson, H. 1993, "Further evidence on the risk-return relationship", unpublished manuscript.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

— Contents

Abstract

In this paper we sho the shelf' style inde to assess the overriaddition, we augme

dence of a 'reversal' i

Ball, R. 1986, "Risk and return from equity investments in the Australian Mining Industry: 1974 83", *JASSA*, vol. 3, pp. 10–12.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Ball, R. & Brown, P. 1980, "Risk and return from equity investments in the Australian Mining Industry: January 1958 — February 1979", *Australian Journal of Management*, vol. 5, pp. 45–66.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Black, F. 1972, "Capital market equilibrium with restricted borrowing", *Journal of Business*, vol. 45, pp. 444–55.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Black, F. 1993, "Beta and return", *Journal of Portfolio Management.*, vol. 20, pp. 8–18.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Brailsford, T., Faff, R. & Oliver, B. 1997, *Research Design Issues in the Estimation of Beta*, The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc., Sydney.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Brown, P., Kleidon, A. & Marsh, T. 1983, "New evidence on the nature of size-related anomalies in stock prices", *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 12, pp. 33–56.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Clare, A., Priestley, R. & Thomas, S. 1997, "Is beta dead? The role of alternative estimation methods". *Applied Economic Letters*, vol. 4, pp. 559–62.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Clare, A., Priestley, R. & Thomas, S. 1998, "Reports of beta's death are premature: Evidence from the UK", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 22, pp. 1207–29.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Davis, J. 1994, "The cross-section of realised stock returns: The pre-COMPUSTAT evidence", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 49, pp. 1579–93.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Dimson, E. & Marsh, P. 1999, "Murphy's law and market anomalies: The size premium may have gone in reverse, but the size effect lives on", *Journal of Portfolio Management*, vol. 25, pp. 53–69.

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Dolan, P. 1997, "Tilting the balance: How to put the "value" in value management", *JASSA*, issue 4, Summer, pp. 24–9.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Fama, E. & French, K. 1992, "The cross-section of expected stock returns", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 47, pp. 427–67.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Fama, E. & French, K. 1993, "Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds", *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 33, pp. 3–56.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Fama, E. & French, K. 1995, "Size and book-to-market factors in earnings and returns", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 50, pp. 131–55.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Fama, E. & French, K. 1996, "Multifactor explanations of asset pricing anomalies", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 51, pp. 55–84.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Fama, E. & French, K. 1998, "Value versus growth: The International evidence", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 53, pp. 1975–99.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Ferson, W. & Foerster, S. 1994, "Finite sample properties of the generalised method of moments in tests of conditional asset pricing models", *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 36, pp. 29–55.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Ferson, W. & Harvey, C.R. 1994, "Sources of risk and expected returns in global equity markets", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, vol. 18, pp. 775–803.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Gibbons, M.R. 1982, "Multivariate tests of financial models: A new approach", *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 10, pp. 3–27.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Gompers, P. & Metrick, A. 1998, "Institutional investors and equity prices", NBER Working Paper 6723.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Grinold, R. 1993, "Is beta dead again?". *Financial Analysts Journal*, vol. 49, July-August, pp. 28–34.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Grundy, B. & Malkiel, B. 1996, "Reports of beta's death have been greatly exaggerated", *Journal of Portfolio Management*, vol. 22, Spring, pp. 36–44.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gustafson, K. & Miller, J. 1999, "Where has the small-stock premium gone?", *Journal of Investing*, vol. 8, pp. 45–53.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Halliwell, J., Heaney, R. & Sawicki, J. 1999, "Size and book to market effects in Australian share markets: A time scries analysis", *Accounting Research Journal*, vol. 12, pp. 122–37.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Harris, R. & Marston, F. 1994, "Value versus growth stocks: Book-to-market, growth, and beta", *Financial Analysts Journal*, vol. 50, September-October, pp. 18–24.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

He, J. & Ng, L. 1994, "Economic forces, fundamental variables and equity returns", *Journal of Business*, vol. 67, pp. 599–639.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Heston, S., Rouwenhorst, K. & Wessels, R. 1999, "The role of beta and size in the cross-section of European stock returns". *European Financial Management*, vol. 5, pp. 9–28.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Horowitz, J., Loughran, T. & Savin, N, 2000, "Three analyses of the firm size premium", *Journal of Empirical Finance*, vol. 7, pp. 143–53.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Howton, S. & Peterson, D. 1998, "An examination of cross-sectional realized stock returns using a varying-risk beta model". *Financial Review*, vol. 33, pp. 199–212.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Kan, R. & Zhang, C. 1999, "Two-pass tests of asset pricing models with useless factors", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 54, pp. 203–35.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Kim, D. 1995, "The errors in variables problem in the cross-section of expected returns", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 50, pp. 1605–34.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Kothari, S., Shanken, J. & Sloan, R. 1995, "Another look at the cross-section of expected stock returns", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 50, pp. 185–224.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Lewellen, J. 1999, "The time-series relations among expected return, risk, and book-to-market", *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 54, pp. 5–43.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Loughran, T. 1997, "Book-to-market across firm size, exchange, and seasonality: Is there an effect?", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, vol. 32, pp. 249–68.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

MacKinlay, A.C. & Richardson, M. 1991, "Using generalised method of moments to test meanvariance efficiency", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 46, pp. 511–27.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Naranjo, A., Nimalendran, M. & Ryngaert, M. 1998, "Stock returns, dividend yields, and taxes", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 53, pp. 2029–57.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Ord, T. 1998, "Diggers, dreamers and lady luck", JASSA, issue I, Autumn, pp. 2–7.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Shuinway, T. & Warther, V. 1999, "The delisting bias in CRSP's Nasdaq data and its implications for the size effect", *Journal of Finance*, vol. 54, pp. 2361–79.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Similar articles:	
•	Restricted access
	Size, Book to Market and Momentum Effects in the Australian Stock Market
	Show Details \sim
•	Restricted access
	Is default risk priced in Australian equity? Exploring the role of the business cycle
	Show Details $$
1	Restricted access

An Empirical Test of Capital Asset-pricing Model and Three-factor Model of Fama in Indian Stock Exchange

View More

Sage recommends:
SAGE Knowledge
Book chapter
Risk and Return
Show Details \sim
SAGE Knowledge
Case
Smart Beta Exchange-Traded Funds and Factor Investing
Show Details \sim
SAGE Knowledge
Book chapter
Asset Pricing Models
Show Details \sim
View More

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the <u>access options</u> page to authenticate.

Download PDF

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases Sage Campus Shaping futures Unleashing potential Sage Knowledge Sage Research Methods Multimedia learning resources Supercharging research Sage Video Technology from Sage Streaming knowledge Library digital services