

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our [partners](#) store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1437 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL

MORE OPTIONS

DECLINE ALL

section Sraffa was interpreted as the necessary outcome of the difficulties of the former approach.

7. This, of course, does not mean that a neo-Ricardian cannot speak of exploitation as the outcome of the class structure of society (i.e., of the fact that the wage-bill does not exhaust the net product). It does mean, however, that exploitation cannot be defined in the Marxian sense of the extraction of surplus labor (i.e., as a consequence of the fact that abstract labor is the sole source of abstract wealth): thus, the Sraffian approach is open to the neoclassical critique that there is "some" factor of production other than labor behind the surplus product (e.g, the postponement of consumption). Moreover, it should be noted that for a neo-Ricardian, inquiry into class struggle is outside the domain of economic theory, which takes it as a given, and must be addressed by sociologists. These objections were advanced since the early '70s by Claudio Napoleoni (1972b, 1976, 1985, 1989). Consider for example this quotation: 'If we think of Sraffa's relationship to Boehm-Bawerk, the fact that Sraffa's argument implies that it is impossible to measure capital in terms of a single quantity is much less important than the fact that Sraffa's 'reduction to dated quantities of labour' confirms Boehm-Bawerk's idea of the presence, in value, of both labour and an original element bound up with capital; less important, too, than the fact that Sraffa's concept of surplus can very well be taken as providing a rational basis for the famous 'third reason' which Boehm-Bawerk invokes to explain interest.' (Napoleoni 1978: 77; the English translation has been modified).

8. The relevance for value theory of the incommensurability of heterogeneous concrete labors has been underlined, along different lines, by some German commentators: (cfr. Backhaus 1969, Krause 1982).

9. The discussion of individual and market value in Marx is a controversial one, and cannot be pursued here in detail. The reader is referred to Itoh (1980) and Shaikh (1982, 1987).

10. This tradition has important antecedents in the writings of Luxemburg, Rubin and Grossmann. David Gleicher has given a detailed critique of the recent, monetary, interpretation of the labor theory of value - which he labels the "Rubin school" - in several papers (see Gleicher 1983, 1985, 1986). His arguments differ in important respects from my picture of the debate (see Bellofiore 1985a), and thus will be briefly discussed and criticized in section 4. An overview of the new approach to the labor theory of value can be obtained through a reading of the following: Schwartz ed. (1977), Elson ed. (1979), Steedman et al. (1981), W.AA. (1982), Mandel, Freeman eds. (1984), Dostaler, Laguex eds. (1985). See also de Brunhoff (1973), Himmelweit and Mohun (1978), Eldred and Hanlon (1981).

11. This was the position of Rubin himself. Though present-day authors in this tradition generally posit credit-money and abandon gold-money as a consequence of the contradictions of Marx's theory of commodity-money, some of them still maintain that the general equivalent should be a commodity; the most influential is de Brunhoff (1967, 1979).

12 An interesting review of the Marxian theory of commodity-money, and of its shortcomings, has been recently published by Benetti (1987), in W.AA (1987).

13. Recently, many authors within the Marxian approach have tried to include in their theoretical works the notion of money essentially as sign-money: see, for example, the papers by Lipietz (1982a), by Foley (1982b, 1983a), by David Levine and Pew-Wong in W. AA. (1983). See also the recent book by

Foley (1986a).

14. Here I summarize the theoretical conclusions more fully developed in Bellofiore (1987). In section 5 I shall develop the view that capitalism rests on a fundamental division between banking and industrial capital.

15. In fact, this has been in general where the matter has finished for authors working in the French-speaking world. The best, sympathetic, account of this position can be found in De Vroey (1985); a very different more negative, interpretation of the same theoretical developments is given in the appendix to Lipietz (1985), which has also been published in two shorter versions in French, in Dostaler, Laguex eds. (1985b) and in Chavance ed. (1985).

16. See the references in footnote 10.

17. On this point, I share Eldred's reply to Gleicher (Eldred 1984:135-136). Gleicher's critique, in fact, seems to be unfair to many of the authors involved, e.g., Rowthorn (1974), Fine and Harris (1977), Elson (1979), not to speak of Foley and Lipietz. However, Gleicher's main point, thesis that for the 'Rubin school' money is the only measure of abstract labor and that this assertion breaks with the core of the labor theory of value, is quite appropriate as long as it is understood as referring to the most coherent trends in the alleged school, i.e., the 'value-form analysis' of Backhaus' followers Eldred and Hanlon (but see also Reuten 1988a), and the authors associated with the *Cahiers de l'économie polifrique* (Benetti, Cartelier, De Vroey), who either eventually break with the abstract labor concept altogether (see Benetti and Cartelier 1980) or defend it only as a qualitative notion (De Vroey).

18. A similar argument is put forward by Bidet (1985, 1986) in an interpretation of Marx very different from mine.

19. A referee has asked 'How can something (abstract labor) exist, before it has been created?' My answer would be that due to the fact that exchange in the market is not accidental but systemic, the value eventually produced can be anticipated in production: thus, the labor expended before exchange is (potential) abstract labor as long as it is (potential) money-producing labor. A very similar argument is put forward by Reuten (1988b).

20. Rubin defines the form of value as the 'form of exchangeability' of the commodity, 'which contains the capacity to be exchanged for any other commodities, in so far as this capacity is determined by the quantity of labor necessary for the production of a specific commodity' (Rubin 1978: 132). As Rubin himself acknowledges, this definition entails - in contrast to the stance of many of Rubin's followers as well as to that of Gleicher's - that in his approach the analysis of the form of value has not only a qualitative but also a quantitative aspect.

21. In the end, Gleicher's 'real abstraction' of labor seems to amount to a 'deepening' of the homogenization of labor activity which is seen as the necessary outcome of the capitalist process of accumulation itself. From the point of view I defend in the text, Gleicher's argument conflates abstract and concrete labor, the former being for him a special case of the latter. Moreover, Gleicher's argument is grounded on an historical record which could also be interpreted in alternative ways.

22. See footnote 1 for references.

23. For my interpretation of the monetary circuit theory between Wicksell's *Interest and Prices* and Keynes' *Treatise on Money*, see Bellofiore (1988).

24. In other words, we are 'distinguishing bankers, who have the power to create credit, from financial capitalists, who are only able to hand over the *existing* idle money to industrial capitalists' (Chiodi and Messori 1983: 95; the italics are in the text).

25. Thus, the real consumption of the workers is an outcome of the decisions of entrepreneurs as a class. This does not mean that the interplay of individual supplies and demands do not affect the allocation among consumers of the (given) wage goods produced. From a macroeconomic point of view, however, a lack of effective demand, and the likely generalized reduction in activity levels, can only come from a fall of investment demand.

26. Marx wanted to stress that exploitation would occur even if workers were paid their just' wage, i.e., if workers' expectations with respect to their consumption bundle were wholly fulfilled. However, contrary to his assumptions, the divergence between the 'expected' and the 'actual' value of labor power may well be a normal feature of the system, or may disappear only because in the long run the expected magnitude adjusts to the magnitude actually achieved.

27. This view reaches conclusions very similar to those of another interpreter, Nancy L Schwartz, drawing on Rubin, as stated in her aptly titled article 'The time of our being together' (Schwartz 1982).

28. The relationship between macroeconomics and microeconomics is discussed in much of the new literature on value theory, and especially by Foley, Dumenil and Lipietz. However, the macrofoundations of microeconomics here outlined is rather indebted to the original presentation by Keynes and Schumpeter. For some related themes, see Desai (1981) and Desai (1987).

29. The fact that the real consumption of workers is autonomously fixed by firms is the consequence of the entrepreneurial power over the level and composition of output which I have referred to more than once. Note that this perspective also makes it possible to reinterpret that model of Sraffa's where wages are at subsistence level as an instantaneous picture of a particular phase of the circuit of capital. For according to this view, Sraffa's prices should be intended simply as an ideal reallocation of the product "after the harvest" and before actual exchange, given the hypothetical conditions that the rate of return is equal and all workers are granted the same consumption bundle. See the papers by Graziani and by myself in Bellofiore ed. (1986), and Bellofiore (1989).

30. This view of competition is more fully developed in Bellofiore (1985b: 31-36). For a good survey of views of capitalist development as a disequilibrating process and its relationship with value and price theory, see Walker (1988).

31. In Italy, in the early '70s left-wing trade unionists had as their economic mentors some neo-Ricardians who talked of the wage as an "independent variable," and of stagflation as a political reaction of the capitalist class. Two interesting papers on growth models within which normal capacity utilization leaves room for output fluctuation are Vianello (1986) and Ciccone (1987).

32. In Italy, in the late '70s this position was taken by some left-wing economists near the PCI (the

Italian communist party): a growth rate, politically given, was now taken as the independent variable to "close" the Sraffian system of equations. Thus, restraint of real wages was seen as the price to be paid for a higher rate of growth.

33. In Italy, in the '70s this position competed with the other two and stressed capitalist reactions to workers' struggles in the production process. These reactions were: the introduction of new technologies in the big firms; the decentralization of production toward small firms (also made more feasible by the introduction of flexible and advanced technologies); the redistribution of income from workers to firms, and from firms to banks, through inflation.

References

Backhaus, Hans Geor& 1969. Zur Dialektik der Wertform. *Gesellschaft. Beitrage zur Marxstischen Erkmentnistheoric* I(1).

[Google Scholar](#)

Bellofiore, Riccardo . 1985a. Marx after Schumpeter. *Capital and Class* VIII(24): 60-74.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bellofiore, Riccardo . 1985b. Money and Development in Schumpeter. *Review of Radical Political Economics* XVII(1-2): 21-40.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bellofiore, Riccardo (ed.). 1986. *Tra teoria economics e grande cultura europea: Piero Sraffa*. Milan: Angeli.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bellofiore, Riccardo . 1987. Teoria del valore e circuito monetario. Un percorso ed una proposta. *Metamorfosi* 11(4): 131-159.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bellofiore, Riccardo . 1988. Wickse I l4 Keynes, Schumpeter. *The conceptual roots of the monetary theory of production*. (mimeo)

[Google Scholar](#)

Bellofiore Riccardo . 1989. Intervento. In *WVAA,, Piero Sraffa e l'economia politica degli anni ottanta*. Milan: Bocconi-Comunicazione.

[Google Scholar](#)

Benetti, Carlo. 1975. *Valeur et repartition*. Paris: PUG-Maspero.

[Google Scholar](#)

Benetti, Carlo. 1987. La teoria della moneta-merce in Marx *Metamorfosi* 11(5): 37-65. French version as: La theorie de la monnaie-marchandise chez Marx. *Cahiers deconomie politique* (13): 19-46.

[Google Scholar](#)

Benetti, Carlo, Berthomieu, Claude and Cartelier, Jean. 1975. *Economic classique, economic vulgaire*. Paris: PUG-Maspero.

[Google Scholar](#)

Benetti, Carlo, Cartelier, Jean and de Brunhoff, Suzanne. 1976. Elements pour une critique marxiste de P. Sraffa. *Cahiers d'economic politique* 11(3): 29-35.

[Google Scholar](#)

Benetti, Carlo - Cartelier, Jean. 1980. *Marchands, salariat et capitalistes*. Paris: Maspero.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bidet, Jacques. 1985. *Que faire du Capital? Materiaux pour une refondation*. Paris: Klincksieck.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bidet, Jacques. 1986. Socialisation et abstraction. *Cahiers d'economie politique* (12): 175-182

[Google Scholar](#)

Chavance, Bernard (ed.). 1985. *Marx en perspective*. Paris: Editions de l'Ecole des Haute Etudes en Sciences Sociales.

[Google Scholar](#)

Chiodi, Guglielmo and Messori, Marcello. 1984. Marx's Analysis of the Relationship Between the Rate of Interest and the Rate of Profits: A Comment. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* VIII (1): 93-97.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ciccone, Roberto . 1987. Accumulation and Capacity Utilization. Some Critical Considerations on Joan Robinson's Theory of Distribution. *Political Economy* II(1): 17-35.

[Google Scholar](#)

Colletti, Lucio . 1977. *Some Comments on Marx's Theory of Value*. In Schwartz ed. 458-473.

[Google Scholar](#)

de Brunhoff, Suzanne . 1967. *La monnaie chez Marx* Paris: Editions Sociales.

[Google Scholar](#)

de Brunhoff, Suzanne . 1973. Marx as an A-Ricardian: Value, Money and Price at the Beginning of Capital. *Economy and Society* 11(4): 421-430.

[Google Scholar](#)

de Brunhoff, Suzanne . 1979. *Les rapports d'argent* Paris: Maspero.

[Google Scholar](#)

Desai, Meghnad . 1981. *Testing Monetarism*. London: Pinter.

[Google Scholar](#)

Desai, Meghnad . 1987. Profit and Profit Theory. In, *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Political Economy*. London: Macmillan. III: 1014-1021.

[Google Scholar](#)

De Vroey, Michel . 1981. *Value, Production and Exchange*. In Steedman et al. 173-201.

[Google Scholar](#)

De Vroey, Michel. 1985. La théorie marxiste de la valeur, version travail abstrait. Un bilan critique. In Chavance ed. 385-417 and in Dostaler, Lagueux eds. 31-57. Italian translation in W.A.A.: 143-176.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dobb, Maurice . 1955. A Note on the Transformation Problem. In, *On Economic Theory and Socialism*. London.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dobb, Maurice . 1961. An Epoch-Making Book *Labor Monthly*, October.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dobb, Maurice . 1973. *Theories of Value and Distribution since Adam Smith* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dostaler, Gilles. 1978. *Vakur et pnxi Histoire d'un debat* Paris: PUG-Maspero.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dostaler, Gilles and Laguex, Maurice (eds.). 1985. *Un echiquier centenair* Paris: La Decouverte.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dumenil, Gerard. 1980. *De la valeur aux prir de production*. Paris: Economica.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dumdnil, Gerard . 198344. Beyond the Transformation Riddle: A Labor Theory of Value. *Science and Society* XLVII(4): 427-450.

[Google Scholar](#)

Duminil, Gerard . 1984. The So-Called 'Transformation Problem' Revisited: A Brief Comment. *Journal of Economic Theory* XXXIII (2): 340-348.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ehrbar, Hans and Glick, Mark. 1986-87. The Labor Theory of Value and Its Critics. *Science and Society* L(4): 464-478

[Google Scholar](#)

Eldred, Michael and Hanlon, Marnie. 1981. Reconstructing Value-Form Analysis. *Capital and Class* V(13): 24-60.

[Google Scholar](#)

Eldred, Michael . 1984. Reply to Gleicher. *Capital and Class* (21): 135-140.

[Google Scholar](#)

Elson, Diane (ed.). 1979. *Value, The Representation of Labor in Capitalism*. London: CSE Books.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fischer, Norman . 1982. The Ontology of Abstract Labor. *A Discussion of Labor: The Representation of Value under Capitalism*, edited by Diane Elson. In W.AA. 27-35.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fleischel, Peter . 1984. The So-Called "Transformation Problem" Revisited: A Comment *Journal of*

Faschel, Peter . 1984. The So-Called "Transformation Problem" Revisited: A Comment *Journal of Economic Theory* XXXIII(2): 349-351.

[Google Scholar](#)

Foley, Duncan . 1982a. Realization and Accumulation in a Marxian Model of the Circuit of Capital. *Journal of Economic Theory* XXVIII(2): 300-319.

[Google Scholar](#)

Foley, Duncan . 1982b. *The Value of Money, The Value of Labor Power, and the Marxian Transformation Problem*. In W.AA 37-47.

[Google Scholar](#)

Foley, Duncan . 1983a. *On Mares Theory of Money*. In W.AA. 5-19.

[Google Scholar](#)

Foley, Duncan . 1983b. Money and Effective Demand in Marx's Scheme of Expanded Reproduction. In, *Marxism, Central Planning and the Soviet Economy: Essays in Honor of Alexander Erlich* P. Desai ed. Cambridge: MIT Press, 19-33.

[Google Scholar](#)

Foley, Duncan . 1986a. *Money, Accumulation and Crisis*. New York: Harwood Academic.

[Google Scholar](#)

Foley, Duncan . 1986b. *Understanding Capital Marx's Economic Theory*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Garegnani, Pierangelo . 1981. *Mar e gli economisti classici* Turin: Einaudi.

[Google Scholar](#)

Garegnani, Pierangelo . 1984. Value and Distribution in the Classical Economists and Marx *Oxford Economic Papers* XXXVI(2): 291-325.

[Google Scholar](#)

Garegnani, Pierangelo. 1985. La th W orie de la valeur-travail chez Marx et dans la tradition marxiste. In Chavance ed. 311-350.

[Google Scholar](#)

Garegnani, Pierangelo. 1986. Valore-lavoro e rapporto tra l'opera di Marx e quella di Ricardo: alcune riflessioni. In Mancina ed. 173-187.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gleicher, David . 1983. An historical approach to the question of abstract labor. *Capital and Class* (21): 97-122.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gleicher, David . 1985. Note: A Rejoinder to Eldred. *Capital and Class* (25): 147-155.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gleicher, David . 1986. The Ontology of Labor Values. Remarks on the Science and Society Value Symposium. *Science and Society* XLIX(4): 463-471.

[Google Scholar](#)

Glick, Mark and Ehrbar, Hans. 1987. The Transformation Problem: An Obituary. *Australian Economic Papers* XLVI(4): 294-317.

[Google Scholar](#)

Glick, Mark and Hunt, EK 1987. Transformation Problem. In, *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Political Economy*. London: Macmillan IV: 688-691.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani Augusto. 1978. L'analisi marxista e la struttura del capitalismo moderno. In W.AA., *Storia del marxismo*. Turin: Einaudi. IV: 701-741.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani, Augusto. 1983a. Riabilitiamo la teoria del valore. In VV.AA, *Marx alla fine del XX secolo*. Suppl. a *L'Unità* (27.2 1983): iv.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani Augusto. 1983b. Moneta e credito. In, VV.AA., *Kar M =a 1983-1883. n Contemporaneo*. Suppl. di *Rinascita* (50-51): 26-27.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani Augusto . 1984. Keynes' Finance Motive. *Economic Notes* (1) 5-34.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani Augusto. 1986. La teoria della moneta in Mam In Mancina ed. (1986: 207-231.)

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani Augusto . 1988a. Il circuito monetario. In, Marcello Messori ed. *Moneta e produzione*. Turin: Einaudi: xi-xliii.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani, Augusto . 1988b. *Schumpeter and Italian Economic Thought in the Inter-War Period*.

[Google Scholar](#)

Graziani Augusto . 1989. The Theoiy of the Monetary Circuit. *Thames Papers in Political Economy*. Spring.

[Google Scholar](#)

Himmelweit and Mohun. 1978. The Anomalies of Capital. *Capital and Class* (7): 67-105.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hunt, Edward K. 1983. Joan Robinson and the Labor Theory of Value. *Cambridge Journal of Political Economy* VII(34): 331-342

[Google Scholar](#)

Itoh, Makoto . 1980. *Value and Crisis. Essays in MAarian Economics in Japam* London: Pluto Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Krause, Ulrich . 1982 *Money and Abstract Labor*. London: Verso.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lipielz, Alain . 1982a. *Credit Money: A Condition Permitting Inflationary Crisis*. In WVAA 49-57.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lipietz, Alain . 1982b. The So-Called 'Transformation Problem" Revisited. *Journal of Economic Theory* XXVI(1): 59-48.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lipietz, Alain . 1984. The So-Called "Transformation Problem" Revisited: A Brier Reply to Brier Comments. *Journal of Economic Theory* XXXIII(2): 352-355.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lipietz, Main . 1985. *The Enchanted World* London: Verso.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lippi, Marco. 1975. *Man t valore come costo sociae r P eal* Milan: Etas Libri. English Translation: *Value and Naturalism in Mamn* London: New Left Books. 1979.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lunghini, Giorgio. 1975. Teoria economica ed economia politica. Note su Sraffa. In W.AA. *Produzione, capitals e distribuzione*. Milan: Isedi XI-XXVIII.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mandel, Ernest and Freeman, Alan (eds.). 1984. *Ricardo, Man, Sraffa* London: Verso.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mancina, Claudia (ed.). 1986. *Marx e il mondo contempno*. Rome: Editori Riuniti.

[Google Scholar](#)

May, Kenneth . 1948. Value and Price of Production: A Note on Winternitz's Solution. *Economic Journal* LVIII(4): 596-599.

[Google Scholar](#)

Medio, Alfredo . 1972 Profits and Surplus Value: Appearance and Reality in Capitalist Production. In, EK Hunt-Jesse G. Schwartz, *A Cifique of Economic Themoy* London: Penguin, 312-346.

[Google Scholar](#)

Medio, Alfredo . 1974. *Neoclassicals, Neo-Ricardians, and Marn* In Schwartz (ed.) (1977).

[Google Scholar](#)

Meek, Ronald . 1956. Some Notes on the Transformation Problem.' *Economic J ournal* LXVI(2): 94-107.

[Google Scholar](#)

Meek, Ronald . 1961. Mr Sraffa's Rehabilitation of Classical Economics. *Science and Society*. XXV(2): 139-156.

[Google Scholar](#)

Meek, Ronald . 1973. *Studies in the Labor Theory of Value*. London: Lawrence and Wishart

[Google Scholar](#)

Messori, Marcello. 1978. *Sraffa la critica dell'economia politica*. Milan: Angeli.

[Google Scholar](#)

Messori, Marcello. 1984. Teoria del valore senza merce-denaro? Considerazioni preliminari sull'analisi monetaria di Mar L *Quaderni di storia dell'economia politica* II(1-2): 185-232.

[Google Scholar](#)

Messori, Marcello. 1986. Innovation et profit chez Marx, Schumpeter et Keynes. *Cahiers de l'economie politique* (10-11): 229-256.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio. 1970. Saggio del profitto e quantita di lavoro. In, Napoleoni Claudio, *Smith Ricardo Ma M s*, 1 ed., Turin: Boringhieri, 210-214; and in Napoleoni (1974).

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni Claudio. 1972a. Quale funzione ha avuto la "Rivista Trimestrale"? *Rinascita*. (6 ottobre 31-32.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio. 1972b. *Lezioni sul Capitolo sesto inedito*. Turin: Boringhieri. German translation as Napoleoni (1974).

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni Claudio. 1973. "Prefazione alla seconda edizione." In Bianchi, Marina. *La teoria del valore dai classici a Marx* Bari: Laterza. xi-xii.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio. 1974. *Ricardo und Marx* Frankfurt: Suhrkamp Verlag

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio . 1975. *Smith Ricardo Mam Observations on the history of economic thought*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni Claudio . 1976. *Valore*. Milan: Isedi.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio . 1978. Sraffa's Tabula Rasa. *New Left Review* (112): 75-77.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio . 1985. *Discorso sull'economia politica* Turin: Boringhieri.

[Google Scholar](#)

Napoleoni, Claudio . 1989. The Place of Max in the History of Economic Thought. In, Giovanni Caravale (ed.), *Marx and Modern Economic Analysis*. Aldershot: Edward Elgar (forthcoming).

[Google Scholar](#)

Reuten Geert . 1988a. The Money Expression of Value and the Credit System: A Value-Form Theoretic Outline. *Capital and Class* (35): 121-141.

[Google Scholar](#)

Reuten Geert . 1988b. *Value as Social Form*. In Williams 42-61.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rowthorn, Bob . 1974. Neo-Classicism, Neo-Ricardianism and Marxism. *New Left Review* (86). In Rowthorn, Bob, *Capitalism, Conflict & Inflation*. London: Lawrence and Wishart, 14-47.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rubin, Isaac Il'ich . 1978. Abstract Labor and Value in Marx's System. *Capital and Class* (5): 107-138.

[Google Scholar](#)

Salama, Pierre. 1975. *Sur la valeur*. Paris: Maspero.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schumpeter, Joseph A. 1942 *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. New York: Harper & Bros.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schumpeter, Joseph A. 1954. *History of economic analuisr* London: Allen & Unwin.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schwartz, Jesse (ed.). 1977. *The Subtk Anatomy of Capitali* Santa Monica: Goodyear Publishing Company.

[Google Scholar](#)


Schwartz, Nancy . 1982 The Time of Our Being T ogether. An Inquiry into the Meaning of Marx's Labor Theory of Value. *New Political Science* (8): 73-87.

[Google Scholar](#)

Related content




Similar articles:

 Restricted access


[Book Reviews : Economie Classique, Economie Vulgaire: Essais Critiques by Carlo Benetti, Claude Berthomieu, and Jean Cartelier Maspero, 1975 \(in French\)](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[The Transformation Problem A Critique of the "New Solution"](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[Book Review: The Langston Memorial Volume](#)

Show details ▾

[View more](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Economics](#)

Show details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Surplus Value](#)

Show details 

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Exploitation](#)

Show details 

[View more](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1437 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.