Sage Journals

Review of Radical Political Economics

Impact Factor: **0.6**
5-Year Impact Factor:

By clicking “Accept Non-Essential Cookies”, you agree to the storing of cookies on your device to enhance site navigation, analyze site usage, and assist in our marketing efforts. **Privacy Policy** **Cookie Policy**

Manage Cookies

Accept Non-Essential Cookies

Reject Non-Essential Cookies

Contents

More

Abstract

This paper presents a t lth is a critical motive behind economic action. The suggested theory is fully consistent with the neoclassical approach to choice, subject only to displacing the traditional hedonistic interpretation. When this theory of individual behavior is placed in the context of a self-interest private property economy in which individuals accumulate wealth in the form of financial claims (intermediated accumulation) and firms maximize profits, it generates a logical explanation of the causes of effective demand failures.

Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access

1.

1. The theory has its formal origins in the work of Thomas Malthus (1953). It was then developed by David Ricardo (1951), and finds its most complete expression in the work of Karl Marx (1984). Within the Marxian model it is the falling rate of profit that is the source of crisis, and under-consumption results from the attempts of capitalists to restore profits by wage cuts. The German socialist economists such as Hilferding (1981) and Luxemburg (1951) further developed the implications of under-consumption in their theories of finance capital and imperialism, while Hobson and Mummery (1898) were the leading exponents in England. In America the tradition was developed by Veblen (1909), although now it became disguised by his abiding interest in the anthropological characteristics of modem economic systems. Bleaney (1976) provides a review

of under-consumption theory, while Devine (1983) applies the theory as part of an explanation of the Great Depression.

2.

2. This framework is interpreted as a meta-theoretical approach to the problem of agency, under which individual action and choice is explained as the outcome of the pursuit of perceived self-interest.

3.

3. Historians of economics have been little concerned with these social dimensions to theoretical and professional developments. However, an excellent discussion of such forces at work among professional psychologists is contained in Morawski and Hornstein (1990).

4.

4. Kohn (1986) has focused on Keynes' adoption of the equilibrium method as the decisive change within the General Theory, and he contrasts Keynes' equilibrium approach with Robertson's (1936) sequence analysis. The equilibrium approach is identified as a special instance of the sequence approach, representing the case where the economy has settled into a repetitive sequence. However, Kohn's objections can be readily reconciled with Keynesian theory, requiring only the correct identification of the sequence in which agents make choices and transact, and the nature of constraints (such as cash-in-advance) which bind those choices. The sequence approach therefore appears to be a qualification of the equilibrium method.

5.

5. Marshall (1961: 22-23) recognized this motivation to human action, but in the Allen-Hicks (1934) utility revolution of the 1930s consumption came to dominate as the single argument of the utility function.

6.

6. This includes existing financial claims (stocks and bonds) which are discussed later.

7.

7. This effect is disputed by neo-Ricardians (Barro 1974) who maintain that government bonds are not private wealth.

References

Barro, R.J. Are Government Bonds Net Wealth? *Journal of Political Economy* 82 (November/December 1974): 1095-1117.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Bleaney, M. 1976. *Underconsumption Theories*, New York: International Publishers.

Google Scholar

Devine, J.N. 1993. Underconsumption, Over-Investment, and the Origins of the Great Depression. *Review of Radical Political Economics* 15: 1-27.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Fisher, I. 1933. The Debt-Deflation Theory of Great Depressions, *Econometrica*, 1: 337-357.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Galbraith, J.K. 1967. *The New Industrial State*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Google Scholar

Hayek, F.A. 1944. *The Road to Serfdom*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Google Scholar

Hicks, J.R. and R.G.D. Allen. 1934. A Reconsideration of the Theory of Value. *Economica* 1.

Google Scholar

Hilferding, R. 1981. *Finance Capital*. New York: Routledge, Kegan, and Paul.

Google Scholar

Kaldor, N. 1955-56. Alternative Theories of Distribution. *Review of Economic Studies* 23: 94-100.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Keynes, J.M. 1936. *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. Londo: MacMillan.

Google Scholar

Kohn, M. 1986. Monetary Analysis, the Equilibrium Method, and Keynes' General Theory. *Journal of Political Economy* 94: 1191-1224.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Lerner, A. 1951. *Economics of Employment*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Google Scholar

Luxemburg, R. 1951. *The Accumulation of Capital*. New York: Routledge, Kegan, and Paul.

Google Scholar

Malthus, T.R. 1953. A Summary of the Principle of Population. In, *Introduction to Malthus*, D.V. Glass (ed.). London: Watts.

Google Scholar

Marshall, A. 1961. *Principles of Economics*. 9th edition, London: Macmillan.

Google Scholar

Marx, K. 1984. *Capital*. F. Engels (ed.). New York: International Publishers.

Google Scholar

McClelland, D. 1985. *Human Motivation*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.

Google Scholar

Modigliani, F. 1944. Liquidity Preference and the Theory of Interest and Money. *Econometrica* 12: 45-88.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Morawski, J.G. and G. Hornstein. 1990. Quandry of the Quacks: The Struggle for Expert Knowledge in American Psychology, 1890-1940. In, *The Estate of Social Knowledge: Critical Essays in the History of the. Human Sciences*, J. Brown and D. Van Keuren (eds.). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.

Google Scholar

Mummery, A.F. and J.A. Hobson. 1956. *The Physiology of Industry*. New York: Kelley and Millman.

Google Scholar

Pigou, A.C. 1943. The Classical Stationary State. *Economic Journal* 53: 343-351.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Ricardo, D. 1951. Principles of Political Economy. In, *Works of David Ricardo*, P. Sraffa (ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Google Scholar

Robertson, D.H. 1936. Some Notes on Mr. Keynes' General Theory of Employment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 51: 168-191.

Crossref

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Rodbertus, K. 1949. Overproduction and Crises. In, *History of Economic Thought*, K.W. Kapp and L.L. Kapp (eds.). New York: Barnes and Noble.

Google Scholar

Russel, B. 1938. *Power: A New Social Analysis*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Google Scholar
Tobin, J. 1963. Deficit, Deficit, Whose got the Deficit? *New Republic*.

Google Scholar
Tobin, J. and W. Brainard. 1977. Asset Markets and the Cost of Capital. In, *Economic Progress, Private Values, and Public Policy: Essays in Honor of William Fellner*. North-Holland Publishing Co.

Google Scholar
Veblen, T. 1949. The Limitations of Marginal Utility. In, *History of Economic Thought*, K.W. Kapp and L.L. Kapp (eds.). New York: Barnes and Noble.

Google Scholar

Similar articles:

Restricted access
Keynes resurrected? Saving civilization, again and again
Show Details

Restricted access
Keynes on Investment and the Business Cycle
Show Details

Restricted access
An Alternative Fiscal Package to Mitigate India's COVID Economic Crisis
Show Details

View More

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge
Book chapter
Aggregate Expenditures Model and Equilibrium Output
Show Details

SAGE Knowledge
Book chapter

The Simple Keynesian Model

Show Details

SAGE Knowledge
Entry

Casino Capitalism

Show Details

View More

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the access options page to authenticate.

Download PDF

Also from Sage

CQ Library
Elevating debate

Sage Data
Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases
Shaping futures

Sage Campus
Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge
Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods
Supercharging research

Sage Video
Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage
Library digital services