Sage Journals

Work and Occupations

Impact Factor: **3.7** 5-Year Impact Factor:

consequence of the construction of leave policy—that it provides for only short, unpaid leaves for a narrow slice of workers and those politically constructed as "family"—and the unresponsiveness of workplaces. These limits likely reinforce inequality based on gender, race, and family status.

Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access

1.

1. We write "at least a decade" because many versions of some part or another of the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) have appeared since World War II when the Women's Bureau of the Department of Labor recommended a 6-week prenatal period and 2-month postbirth leave for women.

2.

2. It is, at best, an estimate (perhaps based on a study contracted by the U.S. Department of Labor [McGonagle et al., 1995]), but for some reason the estimate vanishes from the written version of the state of the union address.

3.

3. Of course, even if we had national data before and after the passage of the FMLA, we would encounter some of the same problems in assessing causal significance as do the studies of state



maternity leaves discussed in the Literature Review section.

4.

4. If workers have any available paid leave (e.g., vacation time), employers may require they use it up as part of FMLA leave (unless the union contract specifies otherwise) (Schwartz, 1996). 5.

5. The variables use the break point of \$30,000 for both conceptual and methodological reasons: This approximates the median household income and the slope changed around this point. In addition, we should note that the survey did not include a measure of personal income; rather, it contained, and we used, a measure of household income. Although it might be interesting to look at both, for the purposes of this analysis we are fortunate that household income, as the data analysis reveals, is a useful and appropriate measure.

6.

6. It is important to note that our coverage variable describes whether the respondents were eligible for covered leave during the time of their leave by asking them to self-report on employer eligibility questions (e.g., how many employees were within 75 miles of where they worked and the number of hours they worked in the prior year). We do not have data on whether employers actually provided coverage. We further note two caveats concerning this variable. First, it does not unequivocally determine that respondents used FMLA covered leave time to take the particular leave they are describing in this survey. Second, the question asks the respondents for a complex rendering of employer characteristics, making it susceptible to error. For this reason, we have not made this variable central to most of our analyses.

7.

7. We also found a significant gender/age interaction. Young men are less likely than are older men to report needing leave, whereas young women are more likely than are older women to report needing a leave (data not shown). This may mean that young males are especially likely to feel they should give priority to building careers, whereas young women are especially prone not only to give birth but take care of family members (including but not limited to children because the effects of gender are net of children), which of course may have negative implications for their careers.

8. Other bivariate analysis (data not shown) shows that poor women are significantly more likely than are wealthier women to perceive a need for leave. This class difference does not show up among men. However, when we examined the interaction of class and gender in multivariate models, these differences did not remain significant.

9.

9. In their review of 17 studies, Gorey, Rice, and Brice (1992) estimated that from 7% to 12% of employees have elder care responsibilities.

10.

10. However, we should note that the models estimated separately by gender showed that whereas there are no differences in length of leave among women of different races, we do find that Latino men (compared to White men) take significantly shorter leaves (*b*=-.55, significant at .05). There are, however, no significant differences among other racial groups of men.

11.

11. This is prior to the implementation of the current welfare laws, which may reduce the number able and willing to obtain public assistance payments while on leave.

12.

12. To explore subgroup differences in the efficacy of coverage, we also estimated the regression model in Table 6 with the following interaction terms: Coverage × Race (African American, Latino, other race), Coverage × Marriage, Coverage × Gender, Coverage × Income, and Coverage × Union Membership. Only one interaction term was significant: Latino × Coverage (p < .05), suggesting that coverage may be more important for helping Latinos take leave than non-Latinos. Nonetheless, given the large number of interactions we tested and our caution about our coverage variable as well as the lack of significance we found in most of these subgroup comparisons, we must exercise great caution in interpreting this particular result.

13.

13. It does cover lesbians and gays as parents but not as partners (Lenhoff, 1998).

14.

14. Because the answers were given in error (which is our point), we do not think it meaningful to analyze the social characteristics of those who mentioned these other kin and nonkin. We leave that to future research specifically directed to analyzing the range of kin and nonkin for whom individuals need and take leave. To develop our point that the narrow definition of the family is biased, it would be particularly useful to analyze the social characteristics, such as race, that shape such leaves.

References

Abel, E. K. (1991). *Who cares for the elderly? Public policy and the experiences of adult daughters*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Aronson, J. (1992). Women's sense of responsibility for the care of old people: `But who else is going to do it?' *Gender & Society*, 6, 6-20.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Bernstein, A. (1997). Inside or outside? The politics of family and medical leave. *Policy Studies Journal*, 25, 87-99.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Bianchi, S. (1996). Changing economic roles of women and men. In R. Farley (Ed.), *State of the union: America in the 1990s: Vol. 1. Economic trends*. New York: Russell Sage.

Bond, J. T., Galinsky, E., Lord, M., Staines, G. L., & Brown, K. R. (1991). *Beyond the parental leave debate: The impact of laws in four states*. New York: Families and Work Institute.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Bravo, E. (1995). *The job/family challenge: Not for women only*. New York: John Wiley.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Brody, E., Kleban, M., Johnsen, P., Hoffman, C., & Schoonover, C. B. (1987). Work status and parent care: A comparison of four groups of women. *The Gerontologist*, 27, 201-208.

<u>Crossref</u>

PubMed

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Brody, E. M. (1990). *Women in the middle: Their parent care years*. New York: Springer.

Google Scholar

Burstein, P., Bricher, M. P., & Einwohner, R. (1995). Policy alternatives and political change: Work, family and gender on the congressional agenda. *American Sociological Review*, 60, 67-83.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Cockerham, W. (1995). *Medical sociology*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Collins, P. H. (1994). Shifting the center: Race, class, and feminist theorizing about motherhood. In E. N. Glenn, G. Chang, & L. R. Forcey (Eds.), *Mothering: Ideology, experience and agency*. New York: Routledge.

Google Scholar

Commission on Leave . (1996, April 30). *A workable balance: Report to Congress on family and medical leave policies*. Washington, DC: Department of Labor, Women's Bureau.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Di Leonardo, M. (1987). The female world of cards and holidays: Women, families and the work of kinship. *Signs*, 12, 441-453.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Elison, S. K. (1997). The Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993. *Journal of Family Issues*, 18(1), 30-54.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Elving, R. (1995). *Conflict and compromise: How Congress makes the law*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Eriksen, S. (1998). *Sisterhood and brotherhood: An exploration of sibling ties in adult life.* Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Family and Medical Leave Act, Pub. L. No. 103-3, 139 Cong. Rec. (1993).

Google Scholar

Families and Work Institute . (1991). *Corporate reference guide to work family programs*. New York: Author.

Google Scholar

Families and Work Institute . (1993). Parental leave benefits for American families. New York: Author.

Google Scholar

Fraser, N. (1994). After the family wage: Gender equity and the welfare state. *Political Theory*, 22, 609-630.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Fried, M. (1998). *Taking time*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gallagher, D., Wrabetz, A., Lovett, S., DelMaestro, S., & Rose, J. (1989). Depression and other negative effects in family caregivers. In E. Light & B. D. Lebowitz (Eds.), *Alzheimer's disease treatment and family stress* (pp. 218-244). Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gatz, M., Bengston, V. L., & Blum, M. J. (1990). Caregiving families. In J. E. Birren & K. W. Schaie (Eds.), *Handbook of the psychology of aging, 3rd ed*. (pp. 404-426). New York: Academic Press.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gerstel, N., & Gallagher, S. (1993). Kinkeeping and distress: Gender, recipients of care and work-family conflict. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 55, 598-608.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gerstel, N., & Gallagher, S. (1996). Caring for kith and kin: Gender, employment, and the privatization of care. *Social Problems*, 41(4), 519-539.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gerstel, N., & Gross, H. E. (1987). *Families and work*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Glass, J. (1998, November). How responsive is the family responsive workplace—And to whom? Paper presented at Conference on Work and Family: Today's Realities, Tomorrow's Visions, Boston.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Glass, J., & Estes, S. B. (1997). The family responsive workplace. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 23, 289-313.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Glass, J., & Riley, L. (1998). Family responsive polices and employee retention following child-birth. *Social Forces*, 76, 1401-1435.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Gorey, K., Rice, R. W., & Brice, G. C. (1992). The prevalence of elder care responsibilities among the work force population. *Research on Aging*, 14, 27-42.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Hochschild, A. (1997), *The time bind*. New York: Metropolitan.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Hogan, D., Eggebeen, D., & Clogg, C. C. (1993). The structure of intergenerational exchanges in american families. *American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 1428-1458.

<u>Crossref</u>

Google Scholar

Hyde, J. (1995). Women and maternity leave: Empirical data and public policy. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 19, 299-313.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Hyde, J. S., Essex, M. J., Clark, R., Klein, M. H., & Byrd, J. (1996). Parental leave: Policy and research. *Journal of Social Issues*, 52, 91-109.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Jarrett, R. L. (1997). African American family and parenting strategies in impoverished neighborhoods. *Qualitative Sociology*, 20, 275-288.

<u>Crossref</u>

Google Scholar

Kammerman, S., & Kahn, A. J. (1995). *Starting right*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Google Scholar

Klerman, J. (1993). *Characterizing leave for maternity. RAND Labor and Population Program*. Working Paper 93-94. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Klerman, J., & Leibowitz, A. (1997). Labor supply effects of state maternity leave legislation. In F. Blau and R. Ehrenberg (Eds.), *Gender and family issues in the workplace*. New York: Russell Sage.

Google Scholar

Lee, G. R., Peek, C. C., & Coward, R. T. (1998). Race differences in filial responsibility expectations among older parents. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 60, 404-412.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Lenhoff, D. (1998, June). Discussion. Presented at Fifth Women's Policy Research Conference on Women's Progress: Perspectives on the Past, Blueprint for the Future, Washington, DC.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Mancini, J. A., & Blieszner, R. (1989). Aging parents and adult children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 51, 275-290.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Marks, M. R. (1997). Party politics and family politics: The case of the Family and Medical Leave Act. *Journal of Family Issues*, 18, 55-70.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

McGonagle, K. A., Connor, J., Heeringa, S., Veerkamp. P., & Groves, R. M. (1995). *Commission on leave survey of employees on the impact of the Family and Medical Leave*. Unpublished Final Report to the Commission on Family and Medical Leave, Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

McGonagle, K. A., & Kessler, R. C. (1990). Chronic stress, acute stress, and depressive symptoms. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 18, 681-706.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>PubMed</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

McGovern, P., Dowd, B., & Gjerdingen, D. (1998, June). The determinants of time off work after childbirth: Do family, parental, and maternity leave policies make a difference? Presented at IWPR and GWU Conference on Women's Progress: Perspectives on the Past, Blue Print for the Future, Washington, D.C.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Neal, M. B., Chapman, N. J., Ingersoll-Dayton, B., & Emlen, A. C. (1993). *Balancing work and caregiving for children, adults, and elders*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Groff, <u>A. (19</u>6). Gender in the welfare state. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 22, 51-78.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Piotrkowski, C., Rapaport, R., & Rapaport, R. (1987). Families and work. In M. Sussman & S. Steinmetz (Eds.), *Handbook of marriage and the family* (pp. 252-279). New York: Plenum.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Pleck, J. (1993). Are "family supportive" employer policies relevant to men? In J. Hood (Ed.), *Men, work, and family* (pp. 217-237). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Roschelle, A. (1997). *No more kin: Exploring race, gender and class in social networks*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Google Scholar

Ross, K. E. (1998). *Labor pains: The effects of the Family and Mecial Leave Act on recent mothers' returns to paid work after childbirth*. Unpublished paper, Syracuse University, Center for Policy Research.

Google Scholar

Rossi, A., & Rossi, P. (1990). *Of human bonding*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

SAS Institute . (1994). SAS/STAT [Computer software]. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Schor, J. (1992). *The overworked American*. New York: Basic Books.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Schwartz, R. M. (1996). *The FMLA*. MA: Work Rights Press.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Silverstein, M., & Waite, L. (1993). Are Blacks more likely than Whites to receive and provide social support in middle and old age? Yes, no, and maybe so. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences*, 48, S212-S222.

<u>Crossref</u>

<u>PubMed</u>

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Spalter-Roth, R., & Hartmann, H. (1990). *Unnecessary losses: Costs to Americans of the lack of family and medical leave*. Washington, DC: Institute for Women's Policy Research.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Spitze, G., & Logan, J. R. (1991). Employment and filial relations: Is there a conflict? *Sociological Forum*, 6, 681-697.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Stack, C. (1974). All our kin: Strategies for survival in a Black community. New York: Harper & Row.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Stack, C., & Burton, L. (1994). Kinscripts: Reflections on family, generation and culture. In E. N. Glenn, G. Chang, & L. R. Forcey (Eds.), *Mothering: Ideology, experience and agency*. New York: Routledge.

Google Scholar

Starrels, M. E., Ingersoll-Dayton, B., Dowler, D., & Neal, M. (1997). The stress of caring for a parent: Effects of the elders' impairment on an employed adult child. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 59, 860-872.

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Stone, R. S., Cafferata, G. L., & Sangl, J. (1987). Caregivers of the frail elderly: A national profile. *The Gerontologist*, 27, 616-626.

<u>Crossref</u>

PubMed

Web of Science

<u>Google Scholar</u>

SUDAAN [Computer software]. (1992). Cary, NC: Research Triangle Institute.

<u>Google Scholar</u>

Waldfogel, J. (in press). The impact of the Family and Medical Leave Act. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 18(2).

<u>Crossref</u>

Web of Science

Google Scholar

Wever, K. (1996). *The Family and Medical Leave Act: Assessing temporary wage replacement for family and medical leave*. Cambridge, MA: Radcliffe Public Policy Institute.

Wisensale, S. (1998, June). The Family and Medical Leave Act in court: An analysis of important legal decisions five years after implementation. Presented at IWPR Conference on Women's Progress: Perspectives on the Past, Blue Print for the Future, Washington, DC.

Google Scholar

Similar articles:			
A	Restricted access		
	<u>Unions And Family Leave: Early Experience Under The Family And Medical Leave Act</u>		
	Show Details \sim		
•	Restricted access		
	MEN'S CAREGIVING: Gender and the Contingent Character of Care		
	Show Details \sim		
f	Restricted access		
	The Costs and Benefits of Policies to Advance Work/Life Integration		
	Show Details \sim		
<u>View More</u>			
Sag	e recommends:		
	iE Knowledge		
Entry			
Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) Show Details			
SAG	iE Knowledge		
Entry			
Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993			
Show Details \sim			
SAG	iE Knowledge		

Book chapter

Gender and Careers: Present Experiences and Emerging Trends

View More

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the <u>access options</u> page to authenticate.

Download PDF

Also from Sage

CQ Library	Sage Data
Elevating debate	Uncovering insight
Sage Business Cases	Sage Campus
Shaping futures	Unleashing potential
Sage Knowledge	Sage Research Methods
Multimedia learning resources	Supercharging research
Sage Video	Technology from Sage
Streaming knowledge	Library digital services