

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our [partners](#) store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1468 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL

MORE OPTIONS

DECLINE ALL

that coverage may be more important for helping Latinos take leave than non-Latinos. Nonetheless, given the large number of interactions we tested and our caution about our coverage variable as well as the lack of significance we found in most of these subgroup comparisons, we must exercise great caution in interpreting this particular result.

13. 13. It does cover lesbians and gays as parents but not as partners (Lenhoff, 1998).
14. 14. Because the answers were given in error (which is our point), we do not think it meaningful to analyze the social characteristics of those who mentioned these other kin and nonkin. We leave that to future research specifically directed to analyzing the range of kin and nonkin for whom individuals need and take leave. To develop our point that the narrow definition of the family is biased, it would be particularly useful to analyze the social characteristics, such as race, that shape such leaves.

References

Abel, E. K. (1991). *Who cares for the elderly? Public policy and the experiences of adult daughters*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Aronson, J. (1992). Women's sense of responsibility for the care of old people: 'But who else is going to do it?' *Gender & Society*, 6, 6-20.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bernstein, A. (1997). Inside or outside? The politics of family and medical leave. *Policy Studies Journal*, 25, 87-99.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bianchi, S. (1996). Changing economic roles of women and men. In R. Farley (Ed.), *State of the union: America in the 1990s: Vol. 1. Economic trends*. New York: Russell Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bond, J. T., Galinsky, E., Lord, M., Staines, G. L., & Brown, K. R. (1991). *Beyond the parental leave debate: The impact of laws in four states*. New York: Families and Work Institute.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bravo, E. (1995). *The job/family challenge: Not for women only*. New York: John Wiley.

[Google Scholar](#)

Brody, E., Kleban, M., Johnsen, P., Hoffman, C., & Schoonover, C. B. (1987). Work status and parent care: A comparison of four groups of women. *The Gerontologist*, 27, 201-208.

[Google Scholar](#)

Brody, E. M. (1990). *Women in the middle: Their parent care years*. New York: Springer.

[Google Scholar](#)

Burstein, P., Bricher, M. P., & Einwohner, R. (1995). Policy alternatives and political change: Work, family and gender on the congressional agenda. *American Sociological Review*, 60, 67-83.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cockerham, W. (1995). *Medical sociology*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

[Google Scholar](#)

Collins, P. H. (1994). Shifting the center: Race, class, and feminist theorizing about motherhood. In E. N. Glenn, G. Chang, & L. R. Forcey (Eds.), *Mothering: Ideology, experience and agency*. New York: Routledge.

[Google Scholar](#)

Commission on Leave . (1996, April 30). *A workable balance: Report to Congress on family and medical leave policies*. Washington, DC: Department of Labor, Women's Bureau.

[Google Scholar](#)

Di Leonardo, M. (1987). The female world of cards and holidays: Women, families and the work of kinship. *Signs*, 12, 441-453.

[Google Scholar](#)

Elison, S. K. (1997). The Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993. *Journal of Family Issues*, 18(1), 30-54.

[Google Scholar](#)

Elving, R. (1995). *Conflict and compromise: How Congress makes the law*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

[Google Scholar](#)

Eriksen, S. (1998). *Sisterhood and brotherhood: An exploration of sibling ties in adult life*. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

[Google Scholar](#)

Family and Medical Leave Act, Pub. L. No. 103-3, 139 Cong. Rec. (1993).

[Google Scholar](#)

Families and Work Institute . (1991). *Corporate reference guide to work family programs*. New York: Author.

[Google Scholar](#)

Families and Work Institute . (1993). *Parental leave benefits for American families*. New York: Author.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fraser, N. (1994). After the family wage: Gender equity and the welfare state. *Political Theory*, 22, 609-630.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fried, M. (1998). *Taking time*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gallagher, D., Wrabetz, A., Lovett, S., DelMaestro, S., & Rose, J. (1989). Depression and other negative effects in family caregivers. In E. Light & B. D. Lebowitz (Eds.), *Alzheimer's disease treatment and family stress* (pp. 218-244). Rockville, MD: Department of Health and Human Services.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gatz, M., Bengston, V. L., & Blum, M. J. (1990). Caregiving families. In J. E. Birren & K. W. Schaie (Eds.), *Handbook of the psychology of aging*, 3rd ed. (pp. 404-426). New York: Academic Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gerstel, N., & Gallagher, S. (1993). Kinkeeping and distress: Gender, recipients of care and work-family conflict. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 55, 598-608.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gerstel, N., & Gallagher, S. (1996). Caring for kith and kin: Gender, employment, and the privatization of care. *Social Problems*, 41(4), 519-539.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gerstel, N., & Gross, H. E. (1987). *Families and work*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Glass, J. (1998, November). How responsive is the family responsive workplace—And to whom? Paper presented at Conference on Work and Family: Today's Realities, Tomorrow's Visions, Boston.

[Google Scholar](#)

Glass, J., & Estes, S. B. (1997). The family responsive workplace. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 23, 289-313.

[Google Scholar](#)

Glass, J., & Riley, L. (1998). Family responsive policies and employee retention following child-birth. *Social Forces*, 76, 1401-1435.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gorey, K., Rice, R. W., & Brice, G. C. (1992). The prevalence of elder care responsibilities among the work force population. *Research on Aging*, 14, 27-42.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hochschild, A. (1997), *The time bind*. New York: Metropolitan.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hogan, D., Eggebeen, D., & Clogg, C. C. (1993). The structure of intergenerational exchanges in american families. *American Journal of Sociology*, 98, 1428-1458.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hyde, J. (1995). Women and maternity leave: Empirical data and public policy. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 19, 299-313.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hyde, J. S., Essex, M. J., Clark, R., Klein, M. H., & Byrd, J. (1996). Parental leave: Policy and research. *Journal of Social Issues*, 52, 91-109.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jarrett, R. L. (1997). African American family and parenting strategies in impoverished neighborhoods. *Qualitative Sociology*, 20, 275-288.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kammerman, S., & Kahn, A. J. (1995). *Starting right*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Klerman, J. (1993). *Characterizing leave for maternity*. RAND Labor and Population Program. Working Paper 93-94. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

[Google Scholar](#)

Klerman, J., & Leibowitz, A. (1997). Labor supply effects of state maternity leave legislation. In F. Blau and R. Ehrenberg (Eds.), *Gender and family issues in the workplace*. New York: Russell Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lee, G. R., Peek, C. C., & Coward, R. T. (1998). Race differences in filial responsibility expectations among older parents. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 60, 404-412.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lenhoff, D. (1998, June). Discussion. Presented at Fifth Women's Policy Research Conference on Women's Progress: Perspectives on the Past, Blueprint for the Future, Washington, DC.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mancini, J. A., & Blieszner, R. (1989). Aging parents and adult children. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 51, 275-290.

[Google Scholar](#)

Marks, M. R. (1997). Party politics and family politics: The case of the Family and Medical Leave Act. *Journal of Family Issues*, 18, 55-70.

[Google Scholar](#)

McGonagle, K. A., Connor, J., Heeringa, S., Veerkamp, P., & Groves, R. M. (1995). *Commission on leave survey of employees on the impact of the Family and Medical Leave*. Unpublished Final Report to the Commission on Family and Medical Leave, Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[Google Scholar](#)

McGonagle, K. A., & Kessler, R. C. (1990). Chronic stress, acute stress, and depressive symptoms. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 18, 681-706.

[Google Scholar](#)

McGovern, P., Dowd, B., & Gjerdengen, D. (1998, June). The determinants of time off work after childbirth: Do family, parental, and maternity leave policies make a difference? Presented at IWPR and

GWU Conference on Women's Progress: Perspectives on the Past, Blue Print for the Future, Washington, D.C.

[Google Scholar](#)

Neal, M. B., Chapman, N. J., Ingersoll-Dayton, B., & Emlen, A. C. (1993). *Balancing work and caregiving for children, adults, and elders*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Orloff, A. (1996). Gender in the welfare state. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 22, 51-78.

[Google Scholar](#)

Piotrkowski, C., Rapaport, R., & Rapaport, R. (1987). Families and work. In M. Sussman & S. Steinmetz (Eds.), *Handbook of marriage and the family* (pp. 252-279). New York: Plenum.

[Google Scholar](#)

Pleck, J. (1993). Are “family supportive” employer policies relevant to men? In J. Hood (Ed.), *Men, work, and family* (pp. 217-237). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Roschelle, A. (1997). *No more kin: Exploring race, gender and class in social networks*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ross, K. E. (1998). *Labor pains: The effects of the Family and Medical Leave Act on recent mothers' returns to paid work after childbirth*. Unpublished paper, Syracuse University, Center for Policy Research.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rossi, A., & Rossi, P. (1990). *Of human bonding*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

[Google Scholar](#)

SAS Institute . (1994). *SAS/STAT [Computer software]*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schor, J. (1992). *The overworked American*. New York: Basic Books.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schwartz, R. M. (1996). *The FMLA*. MA: Work Rights Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Silverstein, M., & Waite, L. (1993). Are Blacks more likely than Whites to receive and provide social support in middle and old age? Yes, no, and maybe so. *Journal of Gerontology: Social Sciences*, 48, S212-S222.

[Google Scholar](#)

Spalter-Roth, R., & Hartmann, H. (1990). *Unnecessary losses: Costs to Americans of the lack of family and medical leave*. Washington, DC: Institute for Women's Policy Research.

[Google Scholar](#)

Spitze, G., & Logan, J. R. (1991). Employment and filial relations: Is there a conflict? *Sociological Forum*, 6, 681-697.

[Google Scholar](#)

Stack, C. (1974). *All our kin: Strategies for survival in a Black community*. New York: Harper & Row.

[Google Scholar](#)

Stack, C., & Burton, L. (1994). Kinscripts: Reflections on family, generation and culture. In E. N. Glenn, G. Chang, & L. R. Forcey (Eds.), *Mothering: Ideology, experience and agency*. New York: Routledge.

[Google Scholar](#)

Starrels, M. E., Ingersoll-Dayton, B., Dowler, D., & Neal, M. (1997). The stress of caring for a parent: Effects of the elders' impairment on an employed adult child. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 59, 860-872.

[Google Scholar](#)

Stone, R. S., Cafferata, G. L., & Sangl, J. (1987). Caregivers of the frail elderly: A national profile. *The Gerontologist*, 27, 616-626.

[Google Scholar](#)

SUDAAN [Computer software]. (1992). Cary, NC: Research Triangle Institute.

[Google Scholar](#)

Waldfoegel, J. (in press). The impact of the Family and Medical Leave Act. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 18(2).

[Google Scholar](#)


Wever, K. (1996). *The Family and Medical Leave Act: Assessing temporary wage replacement for family and medical leave*. Cambridge, MA: Radcliffe Public Policy Institute.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wisensale, S. (1998, June). The Family and Medical Leave Act in court: An analysis of important legal decisions five years after implementation. Presented at IWPR Conference on Women's Progress: Perspectives on the Past, Blue Print for the Future, Washington, DC.

[Google Scholar](#)

Similar articles:

 Restricted access

[Unions And Family Leave: Early Experience Under The Family And Medical Leave Act](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[MEN'S CAREGIVING: Gender and the Contingent Character of Care](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[The Costs and Benefits of Policies to Advance Work/Life Integration](#)

Show details ▾

[View more](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Family and Medical Leave Act \(FMLA\)](#)

Show details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993](#)

Show details

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Gender and Careers: Present Experiences and Emerging Trends](#)

Show details

[View more](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Technology from Sage

Streaming knowledge

Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1468 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.