Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our <u>partners</u> store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1472 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL
MORE OPTIONS
DECLINE ALL

14. The vast majority of residential developers in the U.S. are small developers. According to the 2002 Economic Census, 75 percent of the firms involved in land subdivision employ one to four employees and 88 percent of the firms involved in land sub-division employ one to nine employees (U.S. Census Bureau 2002). On average, each of the 88 percent of these firms performed approximately \$850,000 worth of construction during 2002, well below the six million threshold used by the Small Business Administration to define a small firm involved in land subdivision (U.S. Small Business Administration 2004). Whether the arguments made in this article are applicable to large institutional developers or Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) is worth investigating.

15. Regional land markets is a more appropriate scale over which to apply spatially focused risk reduction strategies, but this, of course, raises issues related to regional land use coordination.

References

Baerwald, T. 1981. The site selection process of suburban residential builders. *Urban Geography* 2 (4): 339-357.

Google Scholar

Barnard, C., and W. Butcher. 1989. Landowner characteristics— A basis for locational decisions in the urban fringe. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 71 (3): 679-684.

Google Scholar

Benartzi, S., and R. Thaler. 1999. Risk aversion or myopia? Choices in repeated gambles and retirement investments. *Management Science* 45 (3): 364-381.

Google Scholar

Berg, E. 1961. Backward-sloping labor supply functions in dual economies—The Africa case. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 75 (3): 468-492.

Google Scholar

Berke, P. R., D. R. Godschalk, and E. J. Kaiser. 2006. *Urban land use planning*. 5th ed. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Google Scholar

Bookout, L. 1990. Residential development handbook. Washington, DC, Urban Land Institute.

Google Scholar

Bryson, J., and R. Einsweiler. 1988. *Strategic planning: Threats and opportunities for planners*. Chicago: Planners Press.

Google Scholar

Byun, P., and A. Esparza. 2005. A revisionist model of suburbanization and sprawl: The role of political fragmentation, growth control, and spillovers. *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 24 (3): 252-264.

Google Scholar

Camerer, C., L. Babcock, G. Loewenstein, and R. Thaler. 1997. Labor supply of New York City cabdrivers: One day at a time. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112 (2): 407-442.

Google Scholar

Chan, T. 1999. Residential construction and credit market imperfection. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 18 (1): 125-139.

Google Scholar

Coursey, D. L., J. L. Hovis, and W. D. Schulze. 1987. The disparity between willingness to accept and willingness to pay measures of value. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 102 (3): 679-690.

Google Scholar

Cropper, M. L., and W. E. Oates. 1992. Environmental economics— A survey. *Journal of Economic Literature* 30 (2): 675-740.

Google Scholar

Cyert, R., and J. March. 1963. A behavioral theory of the firm. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Google Scholar

Daniels, T. 1999. When city and country collide: Managing growth in the metropolitan fringe. Washington, DC, Island Press.

Google Scholar

Dowall, D. 1984. *The suburban squeeze: Land conversion and regulation in the San Francisco Bay Area*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Google Scholar

Forester, J. 1988. *Planning in the face of power*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Genesove, D., and C. Mayer. 1997. Equity and time to sale in the real estate market. *American Economic Review* 87 (3): 255-269.

Google Scholar

Genesove, D., and C. Mayer. 2001. Loss aversion and seller behavior: Evidence from the housing market. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 116 (4): 1233-1260.

Google Scholar

Goldberg, M., and D. Ulinder. 1976. Residential developer behavior 1975—Additional empirical findings. *Land Economics* 52 (3): 363-370.

Google Scholar

Gyourko, J., and W. Rybczynski. 2000. Financing New Urbanism projects: Obstacles and solutions. *Housing Policy Debate* 11 (3): 733-750.

Google Scholar

Hepner, G. 1983. An analysis of residential developer location factors in a fast growth urban region. *Urban Geography* 4 (4): 355-363.

Google Scholar

Hogarth, R. M. 1987. Judgment and choice: The psychology of decision. Chichester, UK: Wiley.

Google Scholar

Kahneman, D., J. Knetsch, and R. Thaler. 1990. Experimental tests of the endowment effect and the Coase theorem. *Journal of Political Economy* 98 (6): 1325-1348.

Google Scholar

Kahneman, D., J. Knetsch, and R. Thaler. 1991. Anomalies—The endowment effect, loss aversion, and status-quo bias. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 5 (1): 193-206.

Google Scholar

Kahneman, D., and A. Tversky. 1979. Prospect theory—Analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica* 47 (2): 263-291.

Google Scholar

Kahneman, D., and A. Tversky. 1984. Choices, values, and frames. *American Psychologist* 39 (4): 341-350.

Google Scholar

Kenney, K. 1972. The residential land developer and his land purchase decision. PhD diss., University Of North Carolina.

Google Scholar

Leung, L. 1987. Developer behavior and development control. Land Development Studies 4: 17-34.

Google Scholar

Lucy, W. H., and D. L. Phillips. 2000. *Confronting suburban decline: Strategic planning for metropolitan renewal*. Washington, DC: Island Press.

Google Scholar

Mohamed, R. 2006. The economics of conservation subdivisions: Price premiums, improvement costs, and absorption rates. *Urban Affairs Review* 41(3): 376-399.

Google Scholar

Nelson, A. 1992a. Preserving prime farmland in the face of urbanization-Lessons from Oregon. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 58 (4): 467-488.

Google Scholar

Nelson, A. 1992b. Improving urban growth boundary design and management. *Real Estate Finance* 8 (4): 11-22.

Google Scholar

Nelson, A. 1997. Market mechanisms and sprawl. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 63 (3): 393-394.

Google Scholar

Nelson, A., and J. Duncan. 1995. *Growth management principles and practices*. Chicago: APA Planners Press.

Google Scholar

Nelson, A., J. Frank, and J. Nicholas. 1992. Positive influence of impact-fee in urban-planning and development. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development-ASCE* 118 (2): 59-64.

Peiser, R. 1984. Does it pay to plan suburban growth? *Journal of the American Planning Association* 50 (4): 419-433.

Google Scholar

Peiser, R. 1990. Who plans America? Planners or developers? *Journal of the American Planning Association* 56 (4): 496-503.

Google Scholar

Plous, S. 1993. The psychology of judgment and decision making. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Google Scholar

Porter, D. 1988. Will developers pay to play. Journal of the American Planning Association 54 (1): 72-75.

Google Scholar

Rabin, M. 1998. Psychology and economics. *Journal of Economic Literature* 36 (1): 11-46.

Google Scholar

Read, D., G. Loewenstein, and M. Rabin. 1999. Choice bracketing. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 19 (1-3): 171-197.

Google Scholar

Rizzo, J., and R. Zeckhauser. 2003. Reference incomes, loss aversion, and physician behavior. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 85 (4): 909-922.

Google Scholar

Sager, T. 1999. The rationality issue in land-use planning. Journal of Management History 5 (2): 87-107.

Google Scholar

Samuelson, W., and R. Zeckhauser. 1988. Status quo bias in decision making. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 1: 7-59.

Google Scholar

Savage, L. 1954. *The foundations of statistics*. New York: John Wiley.

Simon, H. 1957. *Models of man: Social and rational; mathematical essays on rational human behavior in a social setting*. New York: Wiley.

Google Scholar

Simon, H. 1979. Rational decision making in business organizations. *American Economic Review* 69 (4): 493-513.

Google Scholar

Somerville, C. 1999. The industrial organization of housing supply: Market activity, land supply and the size of homebuilder firms. *Real Estate Economics* 27 (4): 669-694.

Google Scholar

Starkie, E., and B. Yosick. 1996. Overcoming obstacles to smart development. *Land Lines* 8 (4): 1-2.

Google Scholar

Susskind, L., and J. Cruikshank. 1987. *Breaking the impasse: Consensual approaches to resolving public disputes*. New York: Basic Books.

Google Scholar

Thaler, R. 1980. Toward a positive theory of consumer choice. *Journal of Economic Behavior* & *Organization* 1 (1): 39-60.

Google Scholar

Thaler, R. 1985. Mental accounting and consumer choice. *Marketing Science* 4 (3): 199-214.

Google Scholar

Thaler, R. 1990. Anomalies: Saving, fungibility, and mental accounts. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 4 (1): 193-205.

Google Scholar

Thaler, R. 1999. Mental accounting matters. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* 12 (3): 183-206.

Google Scholar

Thaler, R., and E. Johnson. 1990. Gambling with the house money and trying to break even—The effects of prior outcomes on risky choice. *Management Science* 36 (6): 643-660.

Tversky, A., and D. Kahneman. 1981. The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science* 211 (4481): 453-458.

Google Scholar

U.S. Census Bureau. 2002. *2002 economic census: Industry Series reports—Construction*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.

Google Scholar

U.S. Small Business Administration. 2004. *Table of small business size standards matched to North American industry classification system codes*. Washington, DC: U.S. Small Business Administration.

Google Scholar

Wales, T. J. 1973. Estimation of a labor supply curve for self-employed business proprietors. *International Economic Review* 14 (1): 69-80.

Google Scholar

Wiewel, W., J. Persky, and M. Sendzik. 1999. Private benefits and public costs: Policies to address suburban sprawl. *Policy Studies Journal* 27 (1): 96-114.

Google Scholar

Similar articles:



Restricted access

The Behavioral Economics of Pierre Bourdieu

Show Details \(\times \)



Restricted access

Paradigm Change or Assimilation? The Case of Behavioral Economics

Show Details >



Restricted access

COGNITION IN SEEMINGLY RISKLESS CHOICES AND JUDGMENTS

Show Details \(\times \)

View More

SAGE Knowledge Entry Behavioral Economics Show Details SAGE Knowledge Entry Decision Making Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details View More	Sage recommends:				
Behavioral Economics Show Details SAGE Knowledge Entry Decision Making Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details Sh					
Show Details SAGE Knowledge Entry Decision Making Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details Show Deta	Entry				
SAGE Knowledge Entry Decision Making Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details	Behavioral Economics				
Entry Decision Making Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details	Show Details ∨				
Decision Making Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details					
Show Details SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details	Entry				
SAGE Knowledge Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details ∨	<u>Decision Making</u>				
Bounded Rationality (Economics) Show Details	Show Details ∨				
Show Details V	SAGE Knowledge				
	Bounded Rationality (Economics)				
View More	Show Details ∨				
	View More				

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the <u>access options</u> page to authenticate.

Download PDF

Also from Sage

Sage Data
Line and the single-
Uncovering insight
Sage Campus

Shaping futures	Unleashing potential
Sage Knowledge	Sage Research Methods
Multimedia learning resources	Supercharging research
Sage Video	Technology from Sage
Streaming knowledge	Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1472 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.