

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our [partners](#) store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1462 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL

MORE OPTIONS

DECLINE ALL

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Briffault R. (2010). The most popular tool: Tax increment financing and the political economy of local government. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 77, 65-95.

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Broadway M. J. (1989). A comparison of patterns of urban deprivation between Canadian and US cities. *Social Indicators Research*, 21, 531-551.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Brueckner J. K. (2003). Strategic interaction among governments: An overview of empirical studies. *International Regional Science Review*, 26, 175-188.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Byrne P. F. (2005). Strategic interaction and the adoption of tax increment financing. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 35, 279-303.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Byrne P. F. (2006). Determinants of property value growth for tax increment financing districts. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 20, 317-329.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Carroll D. A. (2008). Tax increment financing and property value an examination of business property using panel data. *Urban Affairs Review*, 43, 520-552.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Charlot S., Paty S. (2010). Do agglomeration forces strengthen tax interactions? *Urban Studies*, 47, 1099-1116.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Coulson N. E., Liu C. H., Villupuram S. V. (2013). Urban economic base as a catalyst for movements in real estate prices. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 43, 1023-1040.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Dardia M. (1998, February). *Subsidizing redevelopment in California*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dye R. F., Merriman D. F. (2000). The effects of tax increment financing on economic development. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 47, 306-328.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Dye R. F., Sundberg J. O. (1998). A model of tax increment financing adoption incentives. *Growth and Change*, 29, 90-110.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Elvery J. A. (2009). The impact of enterprise zones on resident employment: An evaluation of the enterprise zone programs of California and Florida. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 23, 44-59.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Felix R. A., Hines J. R. (2013). Who offers tax-based business development incentives? *Journal of Urban Economics*, 75, 80-91.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Gebremariam G. H., Gebremedhin T. G., Schaeffer P. V. (2006). County-level determinants of local public services in Appalachia: A multivariate spatial autoregressive model approach. Morgantown: West Virginia University.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gerritse M. (2014). Competing for firms under agglomeration: Policy timing and welfare. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 49, 48-57.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Gibson D. (2003). Neighborhood characteristics and the targeting of tax increment financing in Chicago. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 54, 309-327.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Greenbaum R. T., Landers J. (2014). The tiff over TIF: A review of the literature examining the effectiveness of the tax increment financing. *National Tax Journal*, 67, 655-674.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

He Z., Romanos M. (2016). Spatial agglomeration and location determinants: Evidence from the US communications equipment manufacturing industry. *Urban Studies*, 53, 2154-2174.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hicks M. J., Faulk D., Quirin P. (2015, January 28). *Some economic effects of tax increment financing in Indiana* (Policy Brief). Muncie, IN: Center for Business and Economic Research, Ball State University.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kauder B. (2015). Spatial administrative structure and intrametropolitan tax competition. *Journal of Regional Science*, 55, 626-643.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Kim E., Hewings G., Nam K.-M. (2014). Optimal urban population size: National vs local economic efficiency. *Urban Studies*, 51, 428-445.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Lester T. W. (2014). Does Chicago's tax increment financing (TIF) programme pass the "but-for" test? Job creation and economic development impacts using time-series data. *Urban Studies*, 51, 655-674.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Man J. Y. (1999). Fiscal pressure, tax competition and the adoption of tax increment financing. *Urban Studies*, 36, 1151-1167.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Mason S., Thomas K. P. (2010). Tax increment financing in Missouri: An analysis of determinants, competitive dynamics, equity, and path dependency. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 24, 169-179.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McMillen D. P. (2004). Employment densities, spatial autocorrelation, and subcenters in large metropolitan areas. *Journal of Regional Science*, 44, 225-244.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Ploegmakers H., Beckers P. (2015). Evaluating urban regeneration: An assessment of the effectiveness of physical regeneration initiatives on run-down industrial sites in the Netherlands. *Urban Studies*, 52, 2151-2169.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Reese L. A. (2006). Not just another determinants piece: Path dependency and local tax abatements. *Review of Policy Research*, 23, 491-504.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Reynolds C. L., Rohlin S. M. (2015). The effects of location-based tax policies on the distribution of household income: Evidence from the federal Empowerment Zone program. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 88, 1-15.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Rosenbaum P. R., Rubin D. B. (1983). The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika*, 70, 41-55.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Sekhon J. S. (2011). Multivariate and propensity score matching software with automated balance optimization: The matching package for R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 42(7), 1-52. Retrieved from <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v42/i07/>

[Google Scholar](#)

Smith B. C. (2009). If you promise to build it, will they come? The interaction between local economic development policy and the real estate market: Evidence from tax increment finance districts. *Real Estate Economics*, 37, 209-234.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Stansel D. (2005). Local decentralization and local economic growth: A cross-sectional examination of US metropolitan areas. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 57, 55-72.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

U.S. Public Interest Research Group Education Fund. (2011). *Tax-increment financing. The need for increased transparency and accountability in local economic development subsidies*. Retrieved from <http://www.uspirg.org/sites/pirg/files/reports/Tax-Increment-Financing.pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

Warner M. E., Zheng L. (2014). Business incentive adoption in the recession. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 27, 90-101.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Wassmer R. W. (1994). Can local incentives alter a metropolitan city's economic development? *Urban Studies*, 31, 1251-1278.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Weber R., Bhatta S. D., Merriman D. (2007). Spillovers from tax increment financing districts: Implications for housing price appreciation. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 37, 259-281.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Whitacre B. E., Shideler D., Williams R. (2016). Do incentive programs cause growth? The case of the Oklahoma Quality Jobs Program and community-level economic growth. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 30, 62-74.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Biographies

Anita Yadavalli is the program director of city fiscal policy at the National League of Cities (NLC). She leads the NLC's public sector retirement initiative. Her areas of research are economic development, including enterprise zones and tax increment financing, and educational finance. She received her PhD in agricultural economics from Purdue University.

Jim Landers is the director of the Office of Fiscal and Management Analysis, Indiana Legislative Services Agency. His areas of research include gaming taxes and state lottery, individual income tax, corporate income tax, and economic development programs. Jim received his PhD in public policy from the Ohio State University.

Similar articles:

 Restricted access

[Does Tax Increment Financing Pass the "But-for" Test in Missouri?](#)

Show details 

 Restricted access

[Does Chicago's Tax Increment Financing \(TIF\) Programme Pass the 'But-for' Test? Job Creation and Economic Development Impacts Using Time-series Data](#)

Show details ▾

 Restricted access

[Variation in the Heartland: Explaining the Use of Economic Development Incentives in Three Great Lakes States](#)

Show details ▾

[View more](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Tax Increment Financing](#)

Show details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Economic Development Policies](#)

Show details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Financing Economic Development](#)

Show details ▾

[View more](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[View full text](#) | [Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1462 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.