

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our [partners](#) store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1491 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL

MORE OPTIONS

DECLINE ALL

Department of Health, Taiwan. (2011b). *Annual Operational Activities Survey of Taiwanese Non-Profit Hospitals* [translated]. <http://www.doh.gov.tw>

[Google Scholar](#)

Fama E. F., Jensen M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26, 301-325.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Fan J., Wong T. J. (2005). Do external auditors perform a corporate governance role in emerging markets? Evidence from East Asia. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 43(1), 35-72.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Francis J., Maydew E., Sparks H. (1999). The role of Big 6 auditors in the credible reporting of accruals. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 18(2), 17-34.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Frank R., Salkever D., Mitchell J. (1990). Market forces and the public good. In Scheffler R., Rossifer L. (Eds.), *Advances in health economics and health services research* (pp. 159-183). Greenwich, CT: JAI.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gandía J. L. (2011). Internet disclosure by nonprofit organizations: Empirical evidence of nongovernmental organizations for development in Spain. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 40(1), 57-78.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Gordon T., Fischer M., Malone D., Tower G. (2002). A comparative empirical examination of the extent of disclosure by private and public colleges and universities in the United States. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 21, 235-275.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Gordon T. P., Knock C. L., Neely D. G. (2009). The role of rating agencies in the market for charitable contributions: An empirical test. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28, 469-484.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Greenlee J. S., Brown K. L. (1999). The impact of accounting information on contributions to charitable organizations. *Research in Accounting Regulations*, 13, 111-125.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hambrick D. C., Mason P. A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9, 193-206.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Healy P. M., Palepu K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital market: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 31, 405-440.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hermalin B., Weisbach M. (1998). Endogenously chosen boards of directors and their monitoring of the CEO. *American Economic Review*, 88, 96-118.

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Jensen M. C., Meckling W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, 305-360.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

John K., Senbet L. W. (1998). Corporate governance and board effectiveness. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 22, 371-403.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Khumawala S. B., Neely D. G., Gordon T. P. (2010, March). The impact of voluntary disclosures on charitable giving (Working paper). Paper presented at 2010 Government and Nonprofit Section Midyear Conference of the American Accounting Association, Baltimore, MD.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kish L. (1965). *Survey sampling*. New York, NY: John Wiley.

[Google Scholar](#)

Krishnan R., Yetman M. H., Yetman R. J. (2006). Expense misreporting in nonprofit organizations. *Accounting Review*, 81, 399-420.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Lawry R. P. (1995). Accountability and nonprofit organizations: An ethical perspective. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 6(2), 171-180.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Lee M. (2004). Public reporting: A neglected aspect of nonprofit accountability. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership* 15, 169-185.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Leftwich R. W., Watts R. L., Zimmerman J. L. (1981). Voluntary corporate disclosure: The case of interim reporting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 19(Suppl.), 50-77.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Long J. S. (1997). *Regression models for categorical and limited dependent variables*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

[Google Scholar](#)

Luoma P., Goodstein J. (1999). Stakeholders and corporate boards: Institutional influences on board composition and structure. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42, 553-563.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Melendez S. E. (2001). The nonprofit sector and accountability. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising* 31, 121-132.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Olson D. E. (2000). Agency theory in the not-for-profit sector: Its role at independent colleges. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 29, 280-296.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Parsons L. M. (2003). Is accounting information from nonprofit organizations useful to donors? A review of charitable giving and value relevance. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 22, 104-129.

[Google Scholar](#)

Robbins W., Austin K. (1986). Disclosure quality in governmental financial reports. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 24, 412-421.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Rose-Ackerman S. (1987). Ideal versus dollars: Donors, charity managers, and government grants. *Journal of Political Economy*, 95, 810-823.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Saxton G. D., Guo C. (2011). Accountability online: Understanding the Web-based accountability practices of nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 40, 270-295.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Schipper K. (1981). Discussion of voluntary corporate disclosure: The case of interim reporting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 19(Suppl.), 85-88.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Skinner D. J. (1994). Why firms voluntarily disclose bad news. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32(1), 38-60.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

Tinkelman D. (1999). Factors affecting the relation between donations to not-for-profit organizations and an efficiency ratio. *Research in Government and Nonprofit Accounting*, 10, 135-161.

Trussel J. M., Parsons L. M. (2008). Financial reporting factors affecting donations to charitable organizations. *Advances in Accounting*, 23, 263-285.

Watts J. W., Zimmerman J. L. (1986). *Positive accounting theory*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Zhuang J., Saxton G. D., Wu H. (in press). Publicity vs. impact in nonprofit disclosures and donor preferences: A Sequential game with one nonprofit organization and N donors. *Annals of Operations Research*.

Biographies

Gregory D. Saxton is a research assistant professor in the Department of Communication at the University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, New York, United States. His research on technology and management, new media and organizational communication, and nonprofit organizations has been published in such journals as *Public Administration Review*, *Social Science Quarterly*, *Nonprofit Policy Forum*, the *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *Public Performance and Management Review*, and the *American Review of Public Administration*.

Jenn-Shyong Kuo is an associate professor in the Department of Accounting at National Taipei University, Taipei, Republic of China. His interests are in not-for-profit and governmental accounting and performance evaluation.

Yi-Cheng Ho is an associate professor in the Department of Public Finance at National Chengchi University. Her interests are in not-for-profit and governmental accounting and public choice.

Similar articles:

 Restricted access

[Can Resource Dependence and Coercive Isomorphism Explain Nonprofit Organizations' Compliance With Reporting Standards?](#)

Show Details 

 Restricted access

[Determinants and Consequences of Nonprofit Transparency](#)

Show Details 

 Restricted access

[Internet Disclosure by Nonprofit Organizations: Empirical Evidence of Nongovernmental Organizations for Development in Spain](#)

Show Details 

[View More](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Financial Issues for Leaders](#)

Show Details 

SAGE Knowledge

Entry

[Disclosure](#)

Show Details 

SAGE Knowledge

Literature review

[Corporate Governance and Financial Reporting](#)

Show Details 

[View More](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[View full text](#) | [Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1491 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

