


Impact Factor: **1.0**

5-Year Impact Factor:

 Contents More

Abstract

Discussion has been ongoing regarding the merits of earmarking or a general fund financing based on public goods, earmarked taxes may be destabilizing and cause fluctuations. The underlying mechanism in favor of earmarked taxes against general fund financing is that general fund financing creates intersectoral externalities and strategic complementarities that are sufficiently large to exert endogenously persistent and recurring fluctuations in aggregate activities in the absence of shocks to fundamentals. Earmarked taxing generates only sector-specific externalities that are too small to exert local indeterminacy. In a calibrated version, we compute the level of long-run welfare, and the results reflect favorably on the use of earmarked taxing.



Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access 

1.
1. See Wagner (1991, 110) for gasoline taxes and vehicle fees, Stiglitz (1988, 177) for airport and airline ticket taxes and tolling, and Bailey (1995, 216) for road fuel duties, road fund license fees, television licensing fees, hotel bed taxes, cigarette taxes, carbon taxes, and air pollution fees.
2.
2. In the United States, for example, the fraction of earmarked tax in the state tax dropped from 51.4 percent in 1954 to 41.1 percent in 1964, to 23 percent in 1979 (Wyrick and Arnold 1989), and to a stable

24 percent in 2000 (Novarro 2002). In Canada, the fraction of earmarked tax was 36 percent at the federal level and 25 percent at the provincial level (Hickling Corporation 1991). Finally, in Japan, only 20.6 percent of indirect tax was earmarked for specific appropriations of government-provided services in the 1990 fiscal year (Ishi 2001).

3.

3. There is growing literature that analyzes aggregate economic stability of government policy rules. See, for example, the study of balanced-budget fiscal policy rules by SchmittGrohé and Uribe (1997) and of the inflation-forecast targeting rule by Benhabib, Schmitt-Grohe, and Uribe (2001).

4.

4. Recent studies concerning public goods such as Barro (1990), Glomm and Ravikumar (1994), and Chen (2003) have adopted the production specification, while other studies such as Cazzavillan (1996), Bianconi and Turnovsky (1997), and Devereux and Wen (1998) have used the utility strategy. Chen (2006) used both types of specification.

5.

5. In addition to externality, local indeterminacy may arise from distortionary factor taxation with two sectors (Bond, Wang, and Yip 1996), from the presence of increasing returns with one sector (Farmer and Guo 1994) and trade (Nishimura and Shimomura 2002). See Benhabib and Farmer (1999) for a survey of the literature.

6.

6. See also Benhabib and Farmer (1996), Benhabib and Nishimura (1998), Harrison (2001), Weder (2001), Benhabib, Nishimura, and Venditti (2002), and Nishimura and Venditti (2002), among others.

7.

7. Although a fraction of the government's revenue may be from consumption, the assumption of output tax is innocuous. To allow for a consumption tax in our model, two consumption goods are necessary. In the environment with two consumption goods in section 3 below, if the output taxation is replaced by a consumption tax, the underlying dynamic structure is the same and the results remain unchanged. We thus maintain the taxation of output throughout the article. Literature concerning the output taxation in an endogenous growth model starts from Barro (1990) and Rebelo (1991).

8.

8. In this presentation, earmarking regime is reduced to $G_X = T_X$ and $G_Y = T_Y$ when $\theta = Z = 1/2$.

9.

9. Together equations (6a,b) and (8a,b), the production functions (1a) and (1b) are $X = (sK)^{1-\alpha} (uT_X)^{\alpha} y (vT_Y)^{\alpha} (1-y)$; and $Y = [(1-s)K]^{1-b} [(1-u)T_X]^b Z [(1-v)T_Y]^b (1-Z)$:

10.

10. Alternatively, if $\sigma < 1-b$, the $R(s)$ is zero only at $s=0$, with the value being negative and decreasing in s and approaching to negative infinity as s is close to 1. In general $\sigma \geq 1$, this case is less interesting.

11.

11. Let $s \equiv [\varphi^2 + b(1-\alpha)] - [\varphi^2 + b(1-\alpha)]^2 - 4\varphi^2 b(1-\alpha)[1-(1-b)/\sigma] / 2\varphi^2$: Then, $R(s)$ is the maximal value. It is obvious that $R(s) > R(\beta) = (1-\tau)\Phi(\sigma-1)^b(1-b)$: Two BGPs are obtained if $L \leq R(s)$: It suffices to require $L \leq R(b)$; from which condition A is obtained.

12.

12. $q_{qs} = -(1-t)a + \varphi_4(1-s)\gamma(\sigma-1) + \varphi_4\sigma(1-s)^{1-s}\gamma(\sigma-1) = \sigma s(1-s)^{\sigma} \sigma(1-s) + \sigma[\sigma(1-s) + \gamma(\sigma-1)]N(s)g$; where $N(s) \equiv s^{1-s}$: If $\sigma(1-s) + \gamma(\sigma-1) \geq 0$, then $\partial RH(s)/\partial s < 0$: Alternatively, in a less plausible case where $\sigma(1-s) + \gamma(\sigma-1) < 0$, we may use $s=0$ to rewrite $N(s) = (1-s) - 1/\Phi_2(1-t-d$

$-\rho) + \delta$: Then, under condition B, $N(s) < (1-s)$. That means $\partial RH(s)/\partial s < -(1-\tau)/\sigma s(1-s)\{\sigma s(1-s) + [\sigma(1-s) + \gamma(\sigma-1)](1-s)\} = -(1-\tau)\phi_{2\sigma s} < 0$ under condition B. As a consequence, $RH(s)$ is decreasing in s under condition B.

13.

13. To summarize the benchmark parameter values, economic growth rate = 0.02, $t = 0.2$, $\delta = 0.05$, $\rho = 0.025$, $\sigma = 2$, $\theta = \eta = 0.5$, $u = v = 0.5$, $\alpha = b = 0.8$, a (earmarking) = 0.2179 and a (general fund) = 0.2064.

14.

14. To summarize the benchmark parameter values, economic growth rate = 0.02, $t = 0.2$, $\delta = 0.05$, $\rho = 0.025$, $\sigma = 2$, $\theta = \eta = 0.5$, $u = v = 0.5$, $\gamma = 0.3$, and $a = 0.1512$.

15.

15. We thank an anonymous referee for bringing this point to our attentions.

References

Bailey, S.J. 1995. *Public sector economies: Theory, policy and practice*, Hampshire: Macmillan.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Barro, R.J. 1990. Government spending in a simple model of endogenous growth . *Journal of Political Economy* 98:S103-25.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Ben-Gad, Michael. 2003. Fiscal policy and indeterminacy in models of endogenous growth. *Journal of Economic Theory* 108:322-44.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Benhabib, J., R. Farmer. 1996. Indeterminacy and sector specific externalities . *Journal of Monetary Economics* 37:397-419.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

---. 1999. *Indeterminacy and sunspots in macroeconomics*. In *Handbook of macroeconomics*, ed. J. B. Taylor and M. Woodford, 387-448. Amsterdam : Elsevier Science.

[Google Scholar](#)

Benhabib, J., Q. Meng, and K. Nishimura. 2000. Indeterminacy under constant returns to scale in multisector economics. *Econometrica* 68:1541-8.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Benhabib, J., K. Nishimura. 1998. Indeterminacy and sunspots with constant returns . *Journal of Economic Theory* 81:58-96.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Benhabib, J., K. Nishimura, and A. Venditti. 2002. Indeterminacy and cycles in two-sector discrete-time model. *Economic Theory* 20:217-35.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Benhabib, J., R. Perli. 1994. Uniqueness and indeterminacy: On the dynamics of endogenous growth. *Journal of Economic Theory* 63:113-42.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Benhabib, J., S. Schmitt-Grohe, and M. Uribe. 2001. Monetary policy and multiple equilibrium . *American Economic Review* 91:167-86.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Bianconi, M., S. Turnovsky 1997. International effects of government expenditure in international economies. *Canadian Journal of Economics* 30:57-84.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Boldrin, M., A. Rusticini 1994. Indeterminacy of equilibria in models with infinitely-lived agents and external effects. *Econometrica* 62:3223-42.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bond, E.W., P. Wang, and C. Yip. 1996. A general two-sector model of endogenous growth with human and physical capital: Balanced growth and transitional dynamics . *Journal of Economic Theory* 68:149-73.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Bös, D. 2000. Earmarked taxation: Welfare versus political support . *Journal of Public Economics* 75:439-62.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Brett, C., M. Keen 2000. Political uncertainty and the earmarking of environmental taxes. *Journal of Public Economics* 75:315-40.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Browning, E.K. . 1975. Collective choice and general fund financing. *Journal of Political Economy* 83:377-90.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Buchanan, J.M. 1963. The economics of earmarked taxes. *Journal of Political Economy* 71:457-69.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Butler, M. 2000. The political feasibility of pension reform options: The case of Switzerland. *Journal of Public Economy* 75:389-416.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Cazzavillan, G. 1996. Public spending, endogenous growth, and endogenous fluctuations . *Journal of Economic Theory* 71:394-415.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Chen, B.-L. 2003. Tax evasion in a model of endogenous growth. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 6:381-403.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

---. 2006. Economic growth with optimal public spending composition . *Oxford Economic Papers* 58:123-36.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Chen, B.-L., and S.-F. Lee. 2007. Congestible public goods and indeterminacy: A two-sector endogenous growth model. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 31:2486-518.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Devereux, M., J.F. Wen. 1998. Political instability, capital taxation and growth . *European Economic Review* 42:1635-52.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Druegeon, J.P., O. Poulsen, and A. Venditti. 2003. On intersectoral allocations, factor substitutability and multiple long-run growth paths. *Economic Theory* 21:175-83.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Farmer, R., and J.-T. Guo. 1994. Real business cycles and the animal spirits hypothesis. *Journal of Economic Theory* 63:42-72.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Glomm, G., B. Ravikumar. 1994. Public investment in infrastructure in a simple growth model. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 18:1173-88.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Goetz, C.-J. 1968. Earmarked taxes and majority rule budgetary processes . *American Economic Review* 58:128-36.

[Google Scholar](#)

Harrison, S.G. 2001. Indeterminacy in a model with sector-specific externalities . *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 25:747-64.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hickling Corporation. 1991. Earmarking of revenues and program management. Prepared for Royal Commission on Passenger Transport, Ottawa.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ishi, H. 2001. *The Japanese tax system*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Marsilliani, L., and T. Renstrom. 2000. Time inconsistency in environmental policy: Tax earmarking as a commitment solution. *Economic Journal* 110:C123-38.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

McMahon, W.W., C.M. Sprenkle. 1972. Earmarking and the theory of public expenditure . *National Tax Journal* XXV:229-30.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Mino, K. 2001. Indeterminacy and endogenous growth with social constant returns. *Journal of Economic Theory* 97:203-22.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Mulligan, C., and X. Sala-i-Martin . 1993. Transitional dynamics in two-sector models of endogenous growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 108:737-73.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Nishimura, K., K. Shimomura. 2002. Trade and indeterminacy in a dynamic general equilibrium model. *Journal of Economic Theory* 105:244-60.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Nishimura, K., A. Venditti. 2002. Intersectoral externalities and indeterminacy. *Journal of Economic Theory* 105:140-57.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Novarro, N.K. 2002. *Does earmarking matter? The case of state lottery profits and educational spending*, SIEPR discussion paper No. 02-19.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rebelo, S. 1991. Long-run policy analysis and long-run growth. *Journal of Political Economy* 99:500-21.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Schmitt-Grohe, S., and M. Uribe . 1997. Balanced-budget rules, distortionary taxes, and aggregate instability. *Journal of Political Economy* 105:976-1000.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Stiglitz, J.E. 1988. *Economics of the public sector*. New York: W. W. Norton.

[Google Scholar](#)

Teja, R.S. 1988. The case for earmarked taxes. *IMF Staff Papers* 35:523-33.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Teja, R.S., and B. Bracewell-Milnes . 1991. *The case for earmarked taxes: Government spending and public choice. Research Monograph*. London: Institute of Economic Affairs .

[Google Scholar](#)

Turnovsky, S.J. 2000. Fiscal policy, elastic labor supply, and endogenous growth . *Journal of Monetary Economics* 45:185-210.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Wagner, R.E. 1991. *Charging for government: User charges and earmarked taxes in principle and practice*. London: Routledge.

[Google Scholar](#)

Weder, M. 2001. Indeterminacy in a small open economy Ramsey growth model . *Journal of Economic Theory* 98:339-56.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Wyrick, T.L., R.A. Arnold. 1989. Earmarking as a deterrent to rent-seeking. *Public Choice* 60:283-91.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Similar articles:



Restricted access

[Innovation Driven Economic Growth in Multiple Regions and Taxation: A Dynamic Analysis](#)

Show Details ▾



Restricted access

[On Dynamic Tax Reform with Regime Switching](#)

Show Details ▾



Restricted access

[Cities in Competition: Equity Issues](#)

Show Details ▾

[View More](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Public Finance](#)

Show Details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Public Goods](#)

Show Details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[A System Designed not for Yesterday, but for Today: New Labour and Welfare](#)

Show Details ▾

[View More](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services