

Sage Journals

We value your privacy

We and our [partners](#) store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1467 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only. You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.

ACCEPT ALL

MORE OPTIONS

DECLINE ALL

Budzynska K., Rocci A., Yaskorska O. (2014). Financial dialogue games: A protocol for earnings conference calls. In Parsons S., Oren N., Reed C., Cerutti F. (Eds.), *Computational models of argument: Proceedings of COMMA 2014* (pp. 19-30). Amsterdam, Netherlands: IOS Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Burgoon J., Mayew W. J., Giboney J. S., Elkins A. C., Moffitt K., Dorn B., . . . Spitzley L. (2016). Which spoken language markers identify deception in high-stakes settings? Evidence from earnings conference calls. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 35, 123-157.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Bushee B. J., Matsumoto D., Miller G. S. (2003). Open versus closed conference calls: The determinants and effects of broadening access to disclosure. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 34, 149-180.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Cheng W., Ho J. (2015). A corpus study of bank financial analyst reports: Semantic fields and metaphors. *Journal of Business Communication*, 54, 258-282.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Clatworthy M., Jones M. J. (2003). Financial reporting of good news and bad news: Evidence from accounting narratives. *Accounting and Business Research*, 33, 171-185.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Crawford Camiciottoli B. (2009). "Just wondering if you could comment on that": Indirect requests for information in corporate earnings calls. *Text & Talk*, 29 (6), 661-681.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Crawford Camiciottoli B. (2011). Ethics and ethos in financial reporting: Analyzing persuasive language in earnings calls. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 74 (3), 298-312.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Crawford Camiciottoli B. (2013). Rhetoric in financial discourse. *A linguistic analysis of ICT-mediated disclosure genres*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Rodopi.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Crawford Camiciottoli B. (2014). Pragmatic uses of person pro-forms in intercultural financial discourse: A contrastive case study of earnings calls. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 11 (4), 521-545.

[Google Scholar](#)

Crawford Camiciottoli B. (2017, January-April). Investigating metaphor and metonymy in oral financial discourse: A corpus-driven study. *Textus*, 1, 155-168.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dafouz-Milne E. (2008). The pragmatic role of textual and interpersonal metadiscourse markers in the construction and attainment of persuasion: A cross-linguistic study of newspaper discourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 40, 95-113.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Davis A. K., Ge W., Matsumoto D., Zhang J. L. (2015). The effect of manager-specific optimism on the tone of earnings conference calls. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 20, 639-673.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Doran J. S., Peterson D. R., Price S. M. (2012). Earnings conference call content and stock price: The case of REITs. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 45, 402-434.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Ettredge M., Richardson V. J., Scholz S. (2002). Dissemination of information for investors at corporate web sites. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 21, 357-369.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Fox J. (2015, January 30). Searching for meaning in earnings calls. *Bloomberg View*. Retrieved from <http://origin-www.bloombergview.com/articles/2015-01-30/caterpillar-apple-facebook-mcdonald-s-earnings-conference-calls>

[Google Scholar](#)

Frankel R., Johnson M., Skinner D. J. (1999). An empirical examination of conference calls as a voluntary disclosure medium. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 37, 133-150.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Halbe D. (2012). "Who's there?" Differences in the features of telephone and face-to-face conferences. *Journal of Business Communication*, 49, 48-73.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Helleiner E. (2011). Understanding the 2007-2008 global financial crisis: Lessons for scholars of international political economy. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 14, 67-87.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hollander S., Pronk M., Roelofsen E. (2010). Does silence speak? An empirical analysis of disclosure choices during conference calls. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 48, 531-563.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Holmes J. (1984). Modifying illocutionary force. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 8, 345-365.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hutchby I., Wooffitt R. (2008). *Conversation analysis* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, England: Polity Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hyland K. (1998). Persuasion and context: The pragmatics of academic metadiscourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30, 437-455.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Hyland K. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring interaction in writing*. London, England: Bloomsbury.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kozubíková Šandová J. (2012). Pragmatic functions of speaker-oriented boosters in political interviews. In Trušník R., Nemčoková K., Bell G. J. (Eds.), *Theories and practices: Proceedings of the third International Conference on Anglophone Studies* (pp. 69-182). Zlín, Czech Republic: Tomas Bata University.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lakoff R. T. (1982). Persuasive discourse and ordinary conversation, with examples from advertising. In Tannen D. (Ed.), *Analyzing discourse: Text and talk* (pp. 25-42). Washington, DC: Georgetown University

Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Larcker D. F., Zakolyukina A. A. (2012). Detecting deceptive discussions in conference calls. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 50, 495-540.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Leech G. (1983). *Principles of pragmatics*. London, England: Longman.

[Google Scholar](#)

Li F. (2010). The information content of forward-looking statements in corporate filings: A naïve Bayesian machine learning approach. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 48, 1049-1102.

[Crossref](#)

[Web of Science](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Martin J. R., White P. R. R. (2005). *The language of evaluation: Appraisal in English*. Basingstoke, England: Palgrave MacMillan.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

National Investor Relations Institute. (2015). *NIRI 2014 Earnings Call Practices Survey*. Retrieved from <http://www.niri.org/resources/publications/niri-analytics>

[Google Scholar](#)

Palmieri R. (2008). Argumentative dialogues in mergers and acquisitions (M&As): Evidence from investors and analysts conference calls. *L'analisi Linguistica e Letteraria*, 16, 859-872.

[Google Scholar](#)

Palmieri R., Rocci A., Kudrautsava N. (2015). Argumentation in earnings conference calls: Corporate standpoints and analysts' challenges. *Studies in Communication Sciences*, 15, 120-132.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Price S. M., Doran J. S., Peterson D. R., Bliss B. A. (2012). Earnings conference calls and stock returns: The incremental informativeness of textual tone. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 36, 992-1011.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Rayson P. (2008). From key words to key semantic domains. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 13, 519-549.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Rayson P. (2009). *Wmatrix: A web-based corpus processing environment*. Retrieved from <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.28.8248&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

[Google Scholar](#)

Roelofsen E. (2010). *The role of analyst conference calls in capital markets* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Erasmus University, Rotterdam, Netherlands.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ryan T. M., Jacobs C. A. (2005). *Using investor relations to maximize equity valuation*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

[Google Scholar](#)

Searle J. (1975). A classification of illocutionary acts. *Language in Society*, 5, 1-23.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Skinner D. J. (2003). Should firms disclose everything to everybody? A discussion of "Open versus closed conference calls: the determinants and effects of broadening access to disclosure". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 34 (1-3), 181-187.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Tasker S. C. (1998). Technology company conference calls: A small sample study. *Journal of Financial Statement Analysis*, 4, 6-14.

[Google Scholar](#)

Thomas J. (1997). Discourse in the marketplace: The making of meaning in annual reports. *Journal of Business Communication*, 34, 47-66.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

van Eemeren F. H. (2016). Identifying argumentative patterns: A vital step in the development of pragma-dialectics. *Argumentation*, 30, 1-23.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

van Eemeren F. H., Grootendorst R., Henkemans F. S. (1996). *Fundamentals of argumentation theory: A handbook of historical backgrounds and contemporary developments*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

[Google Scholar](#)

Vázquez Orta I., Giner D. (2009). Writing with conviction: the use of boosters in modelling persuasion in academic discourses. *Revista Alicantina de Estudios Ingleses*, 22, 219-237.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Williams C. C. (2008). Towards a taxonomy of corporate reporting strategies. *Journal of Business Communication*, 45, 232-264.

[Crossref](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Biographies

Belinda Crawford Camiciottoli is an associate professor of English language and linguistics at the University of Pisa. Her research focuses on corpus-assisted analysis of discourse in professional

settings. She has published in leading journals, including *Business Communication Quarterly*, *Discourse & Communication*, *Text & Talk*, and *Management Decision*.

Similar articles:

 Restricted access

[Ethics and Ethos in Financial Reporting: Analyzing Persuasive Language in Earnings Calls](#)

Show details 

 Restricted access

[Earnings calls: Exploring an emerging financial reporting genre](#)

Show details 

 Restricted access

[A Corpus Study of Bank Financial Analyst Reports: Semantic Fields and Metaphors](#)

Show details 

[View more](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Research Methods

Book chapter

[Discourse Analysis](#)

Show details 

SAGE Knowledge

Book chapter

[Analysis Considerations for Focus Group Research](#)

Show details 

SAGE Research Methods

Book chapter

[Transcribing Talk-in-Interaction](#)

Show details 

[View more](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[View full text](#) | [Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

[CQ Library](#)

Elevating debate

[Sage Data](#)

Uncovering insight

[Sage Business Cases](#)

Shaping futures

[Sage Campus](#)

Unleashing potential

[Sage Knowledge](#)

Multimedia learning resources

[Sage Research Methods](#)

Supercharging research

[Sage Video](#)

Streaming knowledge

[Technology from Sage](#)

Library digital services

We value your privacy We and our partners store and/or access information on a device, such as cookies and process personal data, such as unique identifiers and standard information sent by a device for personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development. With your permission we and our partners may use precise geolocation data and identification through device scanning. You may click to consent to our and our 1467 partners' processing as described above. Alternatively you may click to refuse to consent or access more detailed information and change your preferences before consenting. Please note that some processing of your personal data may not require your consent, but you have a right to object to such processing. Your preferences will apply to this website only.

You can change your preferences or withdraw your consent at any time by returning to this site and clicking the "Privacy" button at the bottom of the webpage.