

Impact Factor: **6.3**

5-Year Impact Factor:

Contents

... More

By clicking “Accept Non-Essential Cookies”, you agree to the storing of cookies on your device to enhance site navigation, analyze site usage, and assist in our marketing efforts. [Privacy Policy](#) [Cookie Policy](#)

Manage Cookies

Accept Non-Essential Cookies

Reject Non-Essential Cookies

Fi th Knowledge Management

Chris Marshall
Larry Prusak
David Shpilberg

Studies of recent failures of risk management suggest three underlying causes: dysfunctional culture, unmanaged organizational knowledge, and ineffective controls. The first and the last of these causes have been extensively discussed in the media. This article explores the importance of the second—knowledge management—as a more structured approach to: transferring knowledge to the business decision makers before it is needed, enabling the access of information as it is needed, and generating and testing new knowledge about the firm’s changing risk management requirements.

A Series of Risk Management Failures

In the aftermath of recent risk management failures—such as at Baring Securities, Kidder Peabody, and Metallgesellschaft Refining & Marketing—many commentators are searching for common themes. It is becoming clear that such failures are not isolated incidents. Even a casual observer of the financial press could name other well-known organizations that have recently suffered risk management embarrassments: companies such as Proctor & Gamble, Gibson Greetings, Air Products and Chemicals, financial firms such as Paine Webber and Showa Bank, and public institutions such as Orange County and the State of Wisconsin.¹ What's really behind this spectacular series of losses? Some critics blame the nature of the investment instruments themselves, demanding legislation to limit the use of derivatives. Many, resisting more government-imposed external controls, point to a need for improved internal controls for corporate and financial services' trading activities. Others believe the failures result from a misalignment of incentives within firms, encouraging individuals to assume risks with a personal upside but a corporate downside.



Get full access to this article

View all access and purchase options for this article.

Get Access 

Similar articles:



Restricted access

[Why Information Technology Inspired but Cannot Deliver Knowledge Management](#)

Show Details ▾



Restricted access

[Knowledge Management Across Various Hierarchical Levels in Indian Organizations-A Comparative Study](#)

Show Details ▾



Free access

[Intelligent Systems and Knowledge Management \(Part II\)](#)

Show Details ▾

[View More](#)

Sage recommends:

SAGE Knowledge

Literature review

[A Survey of Credit and Behavioural Scoring: Forecasting Financial Risk of Lending to Consumers](#)

Show Details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Literature review

[In Search of Organisational Creativity: The Role of Knowledge Management](#)

Show Details ▾

SAGE Knowledge

Literature review

[Knowledge Management and Knowledge Management Systems: Conceptual Foundations and Research Issues](#)

Show Details ▾

[View More](#)

You currently have no access to this content. Visit the [access options](#) page to authenticate.

[Download PDF](#)

Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

Sage Business Cases

Shaping futures

Sage Campus

Unleashing potential

Sage Knowledge

Multimedia learning resources

Sage Research Methods

Supercharging research

Sage Video

Streaming knowledge

Technology from Sage

Library digital services