Reaching nirvana with a defaultable asset?

Published: 01 June 2017
Volume 40, pages 31–52, (2017) Cite this article

Decisions in Economics and Finance

Aims and scope →
Submit manuscript →

Article | Open access
12 February 2025

Chapter | © 2018

Article | 03 September 2016

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles and news from researchers in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

Behavioral Finance
Capital Markets
Mathematical Finance
Microfinance
Risk Theory
Calculus of Variations and Optimization

Notes

1. Cox and Huang (1989) require the global Lipschitz continuity of the diffusive

approach to the standard Kim and Omberg (1996) portfolio problem with a non-defaultable risky asset.

6. There are parameter values that make the investor with $\phi >1$ take a net short position in the risky defaultable asset.

7. Similar investment-horizon effects have been found in the literature on dynamic portfolio choice with a risky non-defaultable asset characterized by a mean-reverting drift and a constant volatility [see, for example, Koijen et al. (2009)].

8. The functions U and V are conjugate if and only if $U(w)=\inf _{y>0}(V(y)+wy)$ and $V(y)=\sup _{w>0}(U(w)-wy)$.

Article Google Scholar

Branger, N., Larsen, L.S., Munk, C.: Robust portfolio choice with ambiguity and learning about return predictability. J. Bank. Finance **37**(5), 1397–1411 (2013)

Article Google Scholar

Buch, C., Eickmeier, S., Prieto, E.: Macroeconomic factors and microlevel bank behavior. J. Money Credit Bank. **46**(4), 715–751 (2014)

Article Google Scholar

Campi, L., Sbuelz, A.: Closed-form pricing of benchmark equity default swaps under the CEV assumption. Risk Lett. **1**, ISSN: 1740-9551 (2005) variance model. Financ. Eng. Jpn. Mark. **9**(2), 85–99 (2002)

Google Scholar

Della Corte, P., Sarno, L., Tsiakas, I.: Volatility and correlation timing in active currency management. In: James, J., Marsh, I.W., Sarno, L. (eds.) Handbook of Exchange Rates. Wiley, Hoboken NJ, USA (2012). doi:10.1002/9781118445785.ch15

Dell'Ariccia, G., Laeven, L., Marquez, R.: Monetary policy, leverage, and bank risk-taking. J. Econ. Theory **149**, 65–99 (2014)

Article Google Scholar

(forthcoming)

Gennaioli, N., Martin, A., Rossi, S.: Sovereign default. Domestic banks, and financial institutions. J. Finance **69**(2), 819–866 (2014)

Article Google Scholar

Gollier, C.: Discounting an uncertain future. J. Public Econ. **85**, 149–166 (2002)

Article Google Scholar

Gozzi, F., Russo, F.: Verification theorems for stochastic optimal control problems via a time dependent Fukushima–Dirichlet decomposition. Stoch. Process. Appl. **116**(11), 1530–1562 (2006)

Article Google Scholar

Kacperczyk, M., Schnabl, P.: How safe are money market funds? Q. J. Econ. **128**, 1073–1122 (2013)

Article Google Scholar

Kim, T.S., Omberg, E.: Dynamic nonmyopic portfolio behavior. Rev. Financ. Stud. **9**, 141–161 (1996)

Article Google Scholar

Klebaner, F., Lipster, R.: When a stochastic exponential is a true martingale. Extension of a method of benes. Theory Probab. Appl. **58**(1), 38–62 (2014) results and applications to interest rate risk, stock volatility risk, and growth/value tilts. J. Econ. Dyn. Control **36**(2012), 266–293 (2012)

Article Google Scholar

Lioui, A., Poncet, P.: International asset allocation: a new perspective. J. Bank. Finance **27**(11), 2203–2230 (2003)

Article Google Scholar

Liu, J.: Portfolio selection in stochastic environments. Rev. Financ. Stud. **20**, 1–39 (2007)

Article Google Scholar

Article Google Scholar

Weitzman, M.L.: Why the far-distant future should be discounted at its lowest possible rate. J. Environ. Econ. Manag. **36**, 201–208 (1998)

Article Google Scholar

Weitzman, M.L.: On modeling and interpreting the economics of catastrophic climate change. Rev. Econ. Stat. **91**, 1–19 (2009)

Article Google Scholar

Acknowledgements

A Appendix

1.1 A.1 Proof of proposition 3.1

The problem of utility maximization can be written as $J(w)=\left(e^{rT}\right) ^{1-\phi }u(w)$ where u is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} u(w)=\sup _{{\tilde{W}}\in {\tilde{\mathcal {W}}}(w)}E[U({\tilde{W}}_{T})]. \end{aligned}$$

(A.1)

We apply to problem (A.1) the duality approach developed by Kramkov and Schachermayer (1999, 2003). To this aim, we observe that the utility function U satisfies Inada conditions [equation (2.4) in Kramkov and Schachermayer (1999)].

$$\begin{aligned} {\tilde{W}}^{*} =-V^{\prime }(y\eta)=\left(\left(\frac{w}{E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] }\right) ^{-\phi }\eta \right) ^{-\frac{1}{\phi }} =w\frac{\eta ^{-\frac{1}{\phi }}}{E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi } }\right] }. \end{aligned}$$

The value function is then

$$\begin{aligned} J(w)&=\left(e^{rT}\right) ^{1-\phi }E\left[U({\tilde{W}}^{*})\right] =U(we^{rT})E\left[\left(\frac{\eta ^{-\frac{1}{\phi }}}{E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] }\right) ^{1-\phi }\right] \\&=U(we^{rT})\, \left({E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] }\right) ^{\phi }\\&=U(we^{rT})\left(E\left[\exp \left(\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }\int _{0}^{T}\sqrt{Y_{t}}dZ_{t}+\frac{1-\phi }{2\phi }\int _{0}^{T}Y_{t}dt\right) \right] \right) ^{\phi }\\&=U(we^{rT})F(T,y). \end{aligned}$$

(A.2)

The random variable L_{T} in (A.2) is the Radon–Nikodym density of a probability measure equivalent to ${\mathbb {P}}$. In fact, Theorem[9] 2.3 in Delbaen and Shirakawa (2002) applied to $S^{DS}=Y,$ $\rho ^{DS}=0.5,$ $\,r^{DS} =b>0,\ \sigma ^{DS}=2\sqrt{c\phi },$ $\mu ^{DS}=b+2a\sqrt{c\phi }$, and $\theta ^{DS}=a$ implies that $\eta _{T}^{DS}=L_{T}$ is the Radon–Nikodym density of an equivalent probability measure ${\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}$ equivalent to ${\mathbb {P}}.$ Girsanov's theorem implies then that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_{t}=Z_{t}-\int _{0}^{t}a\sqrt{Y_{s}}ds \end{aligned}$$

(A.3)

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t}=\exp \left(\frac{a^{2}+a}{2}\int _{0}^{t}Y_{s}ds\right) G(T-t,Y_{t}) \end{aligned}$$

(A.6)

where $G(t, y)$ is a $\mathcal {C}^{1,2}$ function to be determined in such a way that $G(0,y)=1$ and M is a ${\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}$-martingale. In particular, Eq. (A.6) implies for $t=0$ that $M_{0}=G(T,y)$ and for $t=T$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{T}=\exp \left(\frac{a^{2}+a}{2}\int _{0}^{T}Y_{t}dt\right) G(0,Y_{T})=\exp \left(\frac{a^{2}+a}{2}\int _{0}^{T}Y_{t}dt\right) , \end{aligned}$$

since $G(0,\cdot)=1.$ The martingality condition $M_{0}=E^{{\hat{\mathbb {Q}}} }[M_{T}]$ yields then

(A.8)

Equation (A.8) is a Riccati equation whose solution is

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(t\right) =\frac{(a^{2}+a)(e^{\sqrt{q}t}-1)}{\sqrt{q}+b+e^{\sqrt{q} t}\left(\sqrt{q}-b\right) }. \end{aligned}$$

Since M_{t} has 0 drift in the Ito decomposition under ${\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}$, M_{t} is a ${\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}$ local martingale. To conclude that M_{t} is a martingale we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak {z}_{t}=\frac{M_{t}}{M_{0}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a ${\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}$ *local* martingale as well, and show that $\mathfrak {z}_{t}$ is a ${\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}$ martingale. To this aim, we first

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak {z}_{t}=1+\int _{0}^{t}\mathfrak {z}_{s}dm_{s}$$

with

$$m_{t}=\int _{0}^{t}2\sqrt{c\phi }g(T-s)\sqrt{Y_{s}}d\hat{Z}_{s}.$$

We apply Theorem 4.1 in Klebaner and Lipster (2014) to conclude that $\mathfrak {z}_{t}$ is a true martingale. In particular, with Klebaner and Lipster notations (4.2) at page 44

$$a_{s}(y)=by\quad b_{s}(y)=2\sqrt{c\phi }\sqrt{y}\qquad$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi (a^{2}+a)=a. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

1.3 A.3 Proof of proposition 3.3

In what follows, we will mainly work under the martingale measure $\mathbb {Q},$ whose density with respect to ${\mathbb {P}}$ is η in Eq. (2.3). We denote with $Z_{t}^{\mathbb {Q}}$ the $\mathbb {Q}$-Brownian motion

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{t}^{\mathbb {Q}}=Z_{t}+\int _{0}^{t}\sqrt{Y_{s}}ds. \end{aligned}$$

(A.10)

_{0}^{t}\sqrt{Y_{s}}ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof

It is easy to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L^{*}=\frac{L_{T}}{\eta }=\frac{\frac{d{\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}}{d{\mathbb {P}}}}{\frac{d\mathbb {Q}}{d{\mathbb {P}}}}=\frac{d{\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}}{d\mathbb {Q}}, \end{aligned}$$

and from the definitions of \(\eta \) in (2.3) and of *L* in (A.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{T}^{*}&=\frac{L_{T}}{\eta }=\exp \left(a\int _{0}^{T}\sqrt{Y_{s} }dZ_{s}-\frac{a^{2}}{2}\int _{0}^{T}Y_{s}ds+\int _{0}^{T}\sqrt{Y_{s}} dZ_{s}+\frac{1}{2}\int _{0}^{T}Y_{s}ds\right) \\&=\exp \left(\left(a+1\right) \int _{0}^{T}\sqrt{Y_{s}}dZ_{s} +\frac{1-a^{2}}{2}\int

_{0}^{t}\sqrt{Y_{s}}ds \quad \left(\hbox {with } a+1=\frac{1}{\phi }\right) , \end{aligned}$$

that proves the lemma. \(\square \)

Lemma A.2

Let \(M_{t}\) be the \({\hat{\mathbb {Q}}}\)-martingale defined in (A.6), namely

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t}=e^{\frac{a^{2}+a}{2}\int _{0}^{t}Y_{s}ds}e^{Y_{t}g(T-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} dM_{t}&=2\sqrt{c\phi Y_{t}}M_{t}g(T-t)d\hat{Z}_{t}\\&=2\sqrt{c\phi Y_{t}}M_{t}g(T-t)\left(dZ_{t}^{\mathbb {Q}}-

is the value at time T of a self-financing discounted portfolio, which admits the following representation under $\mathbb {Q}$

$$\begin{aligned} {\tilde{W}}^{*}=w+\int _{0}^{T}\psi _{t}^{*}\frac{d{\tilde{P}}_{t}}{\tilde{P}_{t}}=w+\int _{0}^{T}\frac{\xi \psi _{t}^{*}}{\sqrt{Y_{t}}}dZ_{t}^{\mathbb {Q}} \end{aligned}$$

(A.13)

since $d{\tilde{P}}_{t}={\tilde{P}}_{t}\sqrt{Y_{t}}\sigma _{t}dt+{\tilde{P}}_{t}\sigma _{t}dZ_{t}={\tilde{P}}_{t}\frac{\xi }{\sqrt{Y_{t}}}dZ_{t}^{\mathbb {Q}}$ from (2.1) and (A.10).

Therefore, we look for the Ito representation of ${\tilde{W}}^{*}(t)=E^{\mathbb {Q}}[{\tilde{W}}^{*}|\mathcal {F}_{t}]$ to derive $\psi ^{*}$

$$\begin{aligned} {\tilde{W}}_{t}^{*}&=E^{\mathbb {Q}} [{\tilde{W}}^{*}|\mathcal {F}_{t}]\\&=E^{\mathbb {Q}}\left[\left. w\frac{\eta ^{-\frac{1}{\phi }}}{E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] }\right| \mathcal {F}_{t}\right] \\&=\frac{w}{E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] }E^{\mathbb {Q} }\left[\left. \eta ^{-\frac{1}{\phi }}\right| \mathcal {F}_{t}\right] \\&=\frac{w}{E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] }L_{t}^{*} M_{t}\\&=\frac{w}{G(T,y)}L_{t}^{*}M_{t} \end{aligned}$$

because $G(T,y)=\left(F(T,y)\right) ^{\frac{1}{\phi }}={E\left[\eta ^{-\frac{1-\phi }{\phi }}\right] .}$ It follows that the differential of the $\mathbb {Q}$-martingale ${\tilde{W}}_{t}^{*}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d{\tilde{W}}_{t}^{*}&=\frac{w}{G(T,y)}d\left(L_{t}^{*}M_{t}\right) \\&=\frac{w}{G(T,y)}L_{t}^{*}M_{t}\left[\frac{1}{\phi }+2\sqrt{c\phi }g(T-t)\right] \sqrt{Y_{t}}dZ_{t}^{\mathbb {Q}}\quad \hbox \end{aligned}$$

Rights and permissions

About this article

Cite this article

Battauz, A., De Donno, M. & Sbuelz, A. Reaching nirvana with a defaultable asset?. *Decisions Econ Finan* **40**, 31–52 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10203-017-0192-x

Received	Accepted	Published
07 October 2016	18 May 2017	01 June 2017

Issue Date

November 2017

DOI