


[Home](#) > [Journal of Business Ethics](#) > [Article](#)

Corporate Social Responsibility, Investor Behaviors, and Stock Market Returns: Evidence from a Natural Experiment in China


| Published: 01 January 2011

| Volume 101, pages 127–141, (2011) [Cite this article](#)[Save article](#) [View saved research](#) >[Journal of Business Ethics](#)[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →[Maobin Wang](#) ¹, [Chun Qiu](#)² & [Dongmin Kong](#)³ **4392** Accesses  **161** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

This article studies how financial investors respond to firms' corporate social responsibility (CSR) performance in terms of their investing behaviors, and how such behaviors change contingent on an event that provokes their attention and concerns to CSR. Using the melamine contamination incident in China as a natural experiment, it is found that neither the individual investors' nor the institutional investors' behaviors are influenced by firms' CSR performance before the incident. Nevertheless, in the post-event period, institutional investors' behaviors are significantly influenced by firms' CSR performance that exceeds a certain threshold. Furthermore, such an effect diminishes for a better CSR performance.

In comparison, the authors do not find any effects of CSR performance on individual investors, either before the event or after the event. Finally, firms' performance and investors' behaviors jointly affect firms' stock returns after the event but not before the event. This article reconciles the mixed findings in the literature on the effect of firms' CSR performance on their financial performance by showing that such an effect exists in a contingent manner. Furthermore, the authors show that a too low or a too high CSR performance could lead to undesirable responses from investors. Therefore, managers should pay attention to optimizing firms' CSR activities.

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.

Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

Subscribe and save

Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

[View plans](#) →

Buy Now


[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)

Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.


[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others




The effect of institutional investors' distraction on firms' corporate social responsibility engagement: evidence from...

Article | 12 May 2020



Institutional Investors with Disciplinary History and CSR Behavior of Investee Firms

Article | Open access
07 January 2025



Corporate social responsibility and firm financial performance: the moderating effects of size and industry...

Article | 28 April 2022

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Behavioral Economics](#)

[Behavioral Finance](#)

[Business Ethics](#)

[Corporate Social Responsibility](#)

[Experimental Economics](#)

[Motivated Behavior](#)

[Corporate Environmental Responsibility and Sustainable Development](#)

References

Acharya, V., L. Pedersen. 2005. Asset Pricing with Liquidity Risk. *Journal of Financial Economics* 77(3). 75-410.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bauer, R., J. Derwall, R. Otten. 2007. The Ethical Mutual Fund Performance Debate: New Evidence from Canada. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 70(2). 111-124.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Bauer, R., K. Koedijk, R. Otten. 2005. International Evidence on Ethical Mutual Fund Performance and Investment Style. *Journal of Banking & Finance*. 29(7).

Bauer, R., R. Otten, A. T. Rad. 2006. Ethical Investing in Australia: Is There a Financial Penalty? *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*. **14**. 33-48.

Beber, A., M. W. Brandt, K. A. Kavajecz: 2009, 'What Does Equity Sector Order Flow Tell us About the Economy', SSRN working paper.

Benson, K. L., T. J. Brailsford, J. E. Humphrey. 2006. Do Socially Responsible Fund Managers Really Invest Differently? *Journal of Business Ethics*. **65**(4). 337-357.

Campbell, J. Y., T. Ramadorai, A. Schwartz. 2009. Caught on Tape: Institutional Trading, Stock Returns, and Earnings Announcements. *Journal of Financial Economics*. **92**(1). 66-91.

Chao, L.: 2008, 'More Firms Tied to Tainted Formula', *Wall Street Journal*. September 17, 2008.

Chordia, T., R. Roll, A. Subrahmanyam. 2000. Commonality in Liquidity. *Journal of Financial Economics*. **56**(1). 3-28.

Chordia, T., R. Roll, A. Subrahmanyam. 2001. Market Liquidity and Trading Activity. *Journal of Finance*. **56**(2). 501-530.

Chordia, T., R. Roll, A. Subrahmanyam. 2002. Order Imbalance, Liquidity, and Market Returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*. **65**(1). 111-130.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Clarkson, M. B. E. 1995. A Stakeholder Framework for Analyzing and Evaluating Corporate Social Performance. *Academy of Management Review*. **20**(1). 92-117.

[Google Scholar](#)

Derwall, J., et al. 2005. The Eco-Efficiency Premium Puzzle. *Financial Analysts Journal*. **61**(2). 51-63.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Donaldson, T., L. E. Preston. 1995. The Stakeholder Theory of the Corporation - Concepts, Evidence, and Implications. *Academy of Management Review*. **20**(1). 65-91.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E. F., K. R. French. 1992. The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns. *Journal of Finance*. **47**(2). 427-465.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E., et al. 1969. The Adjustment of Stock Prices to New Information. *International Economic Review*. **10**. 1-21.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Freeman, R. E.: 1984, Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach. Pitman, Boston

[Google Scholar](#)

Friedman, M. 1962. *Capitalism and Freedom*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hamilton, S., H. Jo, M. Statman. 1993. Doing Well While Doing Good? The Investment Performance of Socially Responsible Mutual Funds. *Financial Analysts Journal*. **49**. 62-66.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Hill, R. P., et al. 2007. Corporate Social Responsibility and Socially Responsible Investing: A Global Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*. **70**(2). 165-174.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Hvidkjaer, S. 2008. Small Trades and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns. *Review of Financial Studies*. **21**(3). 1123-1151.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Jones, S., et al. 2008. The Investment Performance of Socially Responsible Investment Funds in Australia. *Journal of Business Ethics*. **80**(2). 181-203.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kennedy, E. P. 2003. *A Guide to Econometrics, 5th Edition*. blackwell Publishing, Oxford UK.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kolk, A., P. Hong, W. van Dolen: 2007, 'Corporate Social Responsibility in China: An Analysis of Domestic and Foreign Retailers' Sustainability Dimensions', *Business Strategy and the Environment*.

Kreander, N., et al. 2005. Evaluating the Performance of Ethical and Non-Ethical Funds: A Matched Pair Analysis. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*. **32**(7-8). 1465-1493.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kurtz, L. 2005. Answers to Four Questions. *Journal of Investing*. **14**. 125-139.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Lee, C., B. Radhakrishna. 2000. Inferring Investor Behavior: Evidence from Torq Data *Journal of Financial Markets*. **3**. 83-111.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Lee, C. M. C., M. J. Ready. 1991. Inferring Trade Direction from Intraday Data. *Journal of Finance*. **46**(2). 733-746.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Luo, X. M., C. B. Bhattacharya. 2009. The Debate over Doing Good: Corporate Social Performance, Strategic Marketing Levers, and Firm-Idiosyncratic Risk. *Journal of Marketing*. **73**(6). 198-213.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

MacKinlay, A. C. 1997. Event Studies in Economics and Finance. *Journal of Economic Literature*. **35**. 13-39.

[Google Scholar](#)

MacKinnon, J. G., H. White. 1985. Some Heteroscedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimators with Improved Finite Sample Properties. *Journal of Econometrics*. **29**. 53-57.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Margolis, J. D., J. P. Walsh. 2003. Misery Loves Companies: Rethinking Social Initiatives by Business. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. **48**(2). 268-305.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

McWilliams, A., D. Siegel. 1997. Event Studies in Management Research: Theoretical and Empirical Issues. *Academy of Management Journal*. **40**(3) 626-657.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

McWilliams, A., D. Siegel. 2001. Corporate Social Responsibility: A Theory of the Firm Perspective. *The Academy of Management Review*. **26**(1). 117-127.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mitchell, R. K., B. R. Agle, D. J. Wood. 1997. Toward a Theory of Stakeholder Identification and Salience: Defining the Principle of Who and What Really Counts. *Academy of Management Review*. **22**(4). 853-886.

[Google Scholar](#)

Pava, M. L., J. Krausz. 1996. The Association between Corporate Social-Responsibility and Financial Performance: The Paradox of Social Cost. *Journal of Business Ethics*. **15**(3). 321-357.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Peloza, J. 2006. Using Corporate Social Responsibility as Insurance for Financial Performance. *California Management Review*. **48**(2). 52- + .

[Google Scholar](#)

Porter, M. E., M. R. Kramer. 2002. The Competitive Advantage of Corporate Philanthropy. *Harvard Business Review*. **80**(12). 56- + .

[Google Scholar](#)

Posnikoff, J. F. 1997. Disinvestment from South Africa: They Did Well by Doing Good. *Contemporary Economic Policy*. **15**(1). 76-86.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Preston, L., D. O'Bannon. 1997. The Corporate Social-Financial Performance Relationship. *Business and Society*. **36**. 5-31.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Renneboog, L., J. Ter Horst, C. D. Zhang. 2008. Socially Responsible Investments: Institutional Aspects, Performance, and Investor Behavior. *Journal of Banking & Finance*. **32**(9). 1723-1742.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Rudd, A. 1981. Social-Responsibility and Portfolio Performance. *California Management Review*. **23**(4). 55-61.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ruf, B. M., et al. 2001. An Empirical Investigation of the Relationship between Change in Corporate Social Performance and Financial Performance: A Stakeholder Theory Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*. **32**(2). 143-156.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Solomon, R., K. Hansen. 1985. *It's a Good Business*. Atheneum, New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Solomon, J., A. Solomon and S. Norton: 2002, 'Socially Responsible Investment in the UK: Drivers and Current Issues', *Journal of General Management* **27**, 1-13

[Google Scholar](#)

Sparkes, R., C. J. Cowton. 2004. The Maturing of Socially Responsible Investment: A Review of the Developing Link with Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*. **52**(1). 45-57.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Teoh, S. H., I. Welch, C. P. Wazzan. 1999. The Effect of Socially Activist Investment Policies on the Financial Markets: Evidence from the South African Boycott. *Journal of Business*. **72**(1). 35-89.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Tirole, J. 2001. Corporate Governance. *Econometrica*. **69**(1). 1-35.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Tsoutsoura, M.: 2004, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance', Working Paper Series, Center for Responsible Business, UC Berkeley, <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/111799p2>.

Whetten, D. A., G. Rands and P. O. Godfrey: 2002, 'What are the Responsibilities of Business to Society?', in A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas and R. Whittington (eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management* (Sage Publications, London), pp. 373-408

Wood, D. J., R. E. Jones. 1995. Stakeholder Mismatching: A Theoretical Problem in Empirical Research on Corporate Social Performance. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*. **3**. 229-267.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Wright, P., S. P. Ferris. 1997. Agency Conflict and Corporate Strategy: The Effect of Divestment on Corporate Value. *Strategic Management Journal*. **18**(1). 77-83.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

School of Banking and Finance, University of International Business and Economics, Beijing, 100029, China

Maobin Wang

Desautels Faculty of Management, McGill University, Montreal, QC, H3A 1G5, Canada

Chun Qiu

Department of Finance, School of Economics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China

Dongmin Kong

Corresponding author

Correspondence to [Maobin Wang](#).

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Wang, M., Qiu, C. & Kong, D. Corporate Social Responsibility, Investor Behaviors, and Stock Market Returns: Evidence from a Natural Experiment in China. *J Bus Ethics* **101**, 127–141 (2011).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0713-9>

Published

Issue date

01 January 2011

June 2011

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0713-9>

Keywords

[corporate social responsibility](#)

[financial performance](#)

[stock markets](#)

[event study](#)

[investors' behaviors](#)

[emerging markets](#)

[Chinese stock market](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research