


[Home](#) > [Journal of Business Ethics](#) > [Article](#)

Mainstreaming and its Discontents: Fair Trade, Socially Responsible Investing, and Industry Trajectories

Published: 27 June 2014

Volume 130, pages 601–618 (2015) [Cite this article](#)[Save article](#)[View saved research](#) >



[Journal of Business Ethics](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →[Curtis Child](#) ¹ **3150** Accesses  **35** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

Over time, according to popular and academic accounts, alternative trade initiatives [such as fair trade, organics, forest certification, and socially responsible investing (SRI)] almost invariably lose their oppositional stance and go mainstream. That is, they lose their alternative, usually peripheral, and often contrarian character. In this paper, I argue that this is not always the case and that the path to going mainstream is not always an unproblematic one. I observe that while scholars have documented various aspects of specific alternative trade initiatives, little comparative work has been done to theorize their different trajectories. To advance the scholarship, I compare two alternative trade

movements, fair trade and SRI, and develop three hypotheses—the relation motivations hypothesis, the material interests hypothesis, and the organization of credibility hypothesis—that explain why going mainstream has been so hotly contested in the fair trade movement but not the SRI movement. I articulate these hypotheses and evaluate their merits.

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.

Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

Subscribe and save

Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

[View plans](#) →

Buy Now

[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)

Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.


[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others




The magnificent Dipterocarps: précis for an Epitaph?

Article | 14 June 2021



How do insider trading incentives shape nonfinancial disclosures? Evidence from product and business

Article | Open access
20 August 2022



Heterodox modeling: practicing well-tuned provisioning or commoning with networked multi-agent

Article | Open access
24 October 2023

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Labor Movements](#)

[New Religious Movements](#)

[Religion and Sustainability](#)

[Social Movements](#)

[Sustainable Growth](#)

[Organizational Dynamics and Market Categorization](#)

Notes

1. Fair Trade USA is not the only labeling initiative. Others include Rainforest Alliance, UTZ Certified, and IMO Fair for Life. However, because of its historical affiliation with the global fair trade structure (i.e., FLO), as well as its remarkable growth, Fair Trade USA has represented to many—for good or ill—the face of fair trade.

FLO has coordinated fair trade efforts on the international stage (Fairtrade Labeling Organizations International [2010](#)) but not without controversy. One of FLO’s responsibilities is to establish the minimum price per pound of coffee, which it first did in 1988 (Jaffee [2012](#)). Some complain that its pricing structure has failed to keep pace with producers’ needs. (The floor price it initially established—\$1.26 for washed Arabica, \$1.41 for certified organic—was not tied to inflation and did not change for nearly two decades, eroding much of its initial significance. At the time of writing, the FLO and Fair Trade

USA minimum prices were \$1.60 for Arabica and \$1.90 for certified organic.) Other debates center on how fair trade should be defined and whether small farmers have a substantial enough say in FLO operations (Reed [2009](#); Reed et al. [2010](#)).

2. Although I focus primarily on the mutual fund industry as one window into the movement, mutual funds are only one type of actor within the broader movement. Other participants include insurance companies, banks, pension plans, and others in the financial sector (Nicholls [2010](#); Richardson and Cragg [2010](#)).
3. For Weber, value-rational action is behavior motivated by a commitment to a certain value (Collins [1986](#); Swedberg [2005](#); Weber [1978](#)). It is different from instrumentally rational action, or action that has an explicit means-end quality (I choose to do x instead of y so that I can obtain z). Value-rationality is manifest in the way that many practitioners in alternative trade initiatives are deeply committed to the justice-oriented ideals of the movement. For example, paying higher than market value for bags of coffee, or choosing to work only with cooperative farming groups, is value-rational when a roaster does so because she feels it is the ethically right thing to do. The fact that trading exclusively in fair trade may ultimately hurt her business and may not have a measurable difference in farming communities is secondary to the fact that she feels compelled to act in accordance with her values.

References

Alvesson, M., & Kärreman, D. (2011). *Qualitative research and theory development: Mystery as method*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Bartley, T. (2007). Institutional emergence in an era of globalization: The rise of transnational private regulation of labor and environmental conditions. *American Journal of Sociology*, *113*, 297-351.

Bauman, Z. (2001). *Modernity and the Holocaust*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Buck, D., Getz, C., & Guthman, J. (1997). From farm to table: The organic vegetable commodity chain of Northern California. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 37, 3–20.

Campbell, D. (2001). Conviction seeking efficacy: Sustainable agriculture and the politics of co-optation. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 18, 353–363.

Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications Ltd.

Child, C. (2012). Chasing the double-bottom line: Fair trade and the elusive win-win. In B. Gidron & H. Hasenfeld (Eds.), *Social enterprises: An organizational perspective*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Child, C. (forthcoming). Bulwarks against market pressures: Value rationality in the for-profit pursuit of social value. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*.

Coleman, J. S. (1994). A rational choice perspective on economic sociology. In N. J. Smelser & R. Swedberg (Eds.), *The handbook of economic sociology*. Princeton, NJ: Russell Sage Foundation.

Collins, R. (1986). *Max Weber: A skeleton key*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Cooperative Coffees. (n.d.) "History." Retrieved May 1, 2011, from <http://www.coopcoffees.com/who/about-cc/history-of-cc>.

Counsell, S., & Terje, K. (2002). *Trading in credibility: The myth and reality of the*

Forest Stewardship Council. The Rainforest Foundation. Retrieved June 24, 2013, from <http://www.rainforestfoundationuk.org/files/TradinginCredibilityfullreport.pdf>.

Davis, G. F., & Zald, M. N. (2005). Social change, social theory, and the convergence of movements and organizations. In G. F. Davis, D. McAdam, W. R. Scott, & M. N. Zald (Eds.), *Social movements and organization theory*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Doherty, B., Davies, I. A., & Tranchell, S. (2013). Where now for fair trade? *Business History*, 55, 161-189.

Domini, A. L. (1992). What is social investing? Who are social investors? In P. D. Kinder, S. D. Lydenberg, & A. L. Domini (Eds.), *The social investment almanac: A comprehensive guide to socially responsible investing*. New York, NY: Henry Holt and Co.

Domini, A. L., & Kinder, P. D. (1984). *Ethical investing*. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley Publishing Company.

Drimmelen, R. (2000). The flight of the Bumblebee: 25 years of Oikocredit. *The Ecumenical Review*, 52, 432-441.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1985). Control: organizational and economic approaches. *Management Science*, 31, 134-149.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14, 532-550.

Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I., & Shaw, L. L. (2011). *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes* (2nd ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

Equal Exchange. (2010). Institute for marketecology to certify select fair trade products for Equal Exchange. Retrieved May 5, 2011, from <http://www.equalexchange.coop/institute-for-marketecology-to-certify-select-fair-trade-products-for-equal-exchange>.

Espeland, W. N., & Sauder, M. (2007). Rankings and reactivity: How public measures recreate social worlds. *American Journal of Sociology*, 113, 1-40.

Fair Trade Original. (n.d.) History. Retrieved May 3, 2011, from <http://fairtrade.nl/EN/MainExtendedContent/About-Fair-Trade-Original/History.aspx>.

Fairtrade Labeling Organizations International. (2010). *Growing stronger together: Annual report 2009-2010*. Retrieved May 3, 2011, from <http://www.fairtrade.net>.

Fridell, G. (2007). *Fair trade coffee: The prospects and pitfalls of market-driven social justice*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Geertz, C. (1973). Thick description: Toward an interpretive theory of culture. In *The interpretation of cultures*. New York: Basic Books.

Glickman, L. B. (2004). Buy for the sake of the slave: Abolitionism and the origins of American consumer activism. *American Quarterly*, 56, 889-912.

Goldthorpe, J. H. (1998). Rational action theory for sociology. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 49, 167-192.

Goodman, D. (2008). The international coffee crisis: A review of the issues. In C.

Bacon, V. E. Mendez, S. R. Gliessman, D. Goodman, & J. A. Fox (Eds.), *Confronting the coffee crisis: Fair trade, sustainable livelihoods and ecosystems in Mexico and Central America* (pp. 3-26). Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Grant, A. M. (2007). Relational job design and the motivation to make a prosocial difference. *Academy of Management Review*, 32, 393-417.

Green, D. P., & Shapiro, I. (1996). The nature of rational choice theory. In D. P. Green & I. Shapiro (Eds.), *Pathologies of rational choice theory: A critique of applications in political science*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Guthman, J. (1998). Regulating meaning, appropriating nature: The codification of California organic agriculture. *Antipode*, 30, 135-154.

Haveman, H. A. (2009). The Columbia school and the study of bureaucracies: Why organizations have lives of their own. In P. Adler (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of sociology and organization studies: Classical foundations* (pp. 585-606). New York: Oxford University Press.

Heard, J. E. (1992). Fiduciary Duty and Institutional Shareholder Activism. In P. D. Kinder, S. D. Lydenberg, & A. L. Domini (Eds.), *The social investment almanac: A comprehensive guide to socially responsible investing*. New York: Henry Holt and Co.

Heimer, C. A. (2008). Thinking about how to avoid thought: Deep norms, shallow rules, and the structure of attention. *Regulation & Governance*, 2, 30-47.

Jaffee, D. (2007). *Brewing justice: Fair trade coffee, sustainability, and survival*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Jaffee, D. (2010). Fair trade standards, corporate participation, and social

movement responses in the United States. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 267–285.

Jaffee, D. (2012). Weak coffee: Certification and co-optation in the fair trade movement. *Social Problems*, 59, 94–116.

Jaffee, D., & Howard, P. H. (2009). Corporate cooptation of organic and fair trade standards. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 27, 387–399.

Kinder, P. D. (2005a). *Socially responsible investing: An evolving concept in a changing world*. Retrieved March 1, 2014, from <http://stage.greenbiz.com/sites/default/files/document/CustomO16C45F65148.pdf>.

Kinder, P. D. (2005b). *Values-based and value investing: The coming confluence*. Retrieved May 16, 2011, from <http://thebell.us/2011/05/values-based-value-investing-the-coming-confluence/>.

Kinder, P. D. (2011). *Values-based and value investing: The coming confluence*. Retrieved May 16, 2011, <http://thebell.us/2011/05/values-based-value-investing-the-coming-confluence/>.

Kinder, P., Lydenberg, S. D., & Domini, A. L. (1993). *Investing for good: Making money while being socially responsible*. New York: Harper Business.

Klooster, D. (2006). Environmental certification of forests in Mexico: The political ecology of a nongovernmental market intervention. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 96, 541–565.

Lareau, A. (2012). Using the terms hypothesis and variable for qualitative work: A critical reflection. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 74, 671–677.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Newbury Park: Sage.

Low, W., & Davenport, E. (2006). Mainstreaming fair trade: Adoption, assimilation, appropriation. *Journal of Strategic Marketing, 14*, 315–327.

Luker, K. (2008). *Salsa dancing into the social sciences: Research in an Age of Info-Glut*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Lydenberg, S. D. (2005). *Corporations and the public interest: Guiding the invisible hand*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.

March, J. G., Schulz, M., & Zhou, X. (2000). *The dynamics of rules: Change in written organizational codes*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Marx, K., & Engels, F. (1978). *The Marx-Engels Reader* (2nd ed.). New York: W.W. Norton and Company.

Meehan, P. (2007). To Burundi and Beyond for Coffee's Holy Grail. In *The New York Times* (pp. 8-8). New York: Arthur Ochs Sulzberger, Jr.

Merton, R. K. (1940). Bureaucratic structure and personality. *Social Forces, 18*, 560–568.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Moore, G. (2004). The fair trade movement: Parameters, issues and future research. *Journal of Business Ethics, 53*, 73–86.

Mutersbaugh, T. (2005). Just-in-space: Certified rural products, labor of quality, and regulatory spaces. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 21, 389-402.

Nicholls, A. (2010). The institutionalization of social investment: the interplay of investment logics and investor rationalities. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, 1, 70-100.

Pax World Mutual Funds. (n.d.) *Pax history*. Retrieved June 18, 2011, from <http://www.paxworld.com/about/welcome-from-the-president/pax-history/>.

Raynolds, L. T. (2000). Re-embedding global agriculture: The international organic and fair trade movements. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 17, 297-309.

Raynolds, L. T. (2009). Mainstreaming fair trade coffee: From partnership to traceability. *World Development*, 37, 1083-1093.

Raynolds, L. T., Murray, D. L., & Wilkinson, J. (2007). *Fair trade: The challenges of transforming globalization*. London: Routledge.

Reed, D. (2009). What do corporations have to do with fair trade? Positive and normative analysis from a value chain perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 86, 3-26.

Reed, D., Thomson, B., Hussey, I., & LeMay, J.-F. (2010). Developing a normatively grounded research agenda for fair trade: Examining the case of Canada. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 151-179.

Renard, M. C. (2003). Fair trade: Quality, market and conventions. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 19, 87-96.

Richardson, B. J. (2008). *Socially responsible investment law*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Richardson, B. J. (2009). Keeping ethical investment ethical: Regulatory issues for investing for sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87, 555-572.

Richardson, B. J., & Cragg, W. (2010). Being virtuous and prosperous: SRI's conflicting goals. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92, 21-39.

Robinson, P. (2011a). The slow trade movement: Fair trade is not for sale! Retrieved May 5, 2011, from <http://smallfarmersbigchange.coop/2011/02/10/the-slow-trade-movement-fair-trade-is-not-for-sale/>.

Robinson, P. (2011b). To tell the truth: Who owns fair trade? Retrieved May 5, 2011, from <http://smallfarmersbigchange.coop//02/01/to-tell-the-truth-who-owns-fair-trade-2/>.

Rogers, T. (2004). Small coffee brewers try to redefine fair trade. In T. Christian (Ed.), *The Christian Science Monitor*. Boston, MA: The First Church of Christ, Scientist.

Sauder, M., & Espeland, W. N. (2009). The discipline of rankings: Tight coupling and organizational change. *American Sociological Review*, 74, 63-82.

Securities and Exchange Commission. (2008). *In the Matter of Pax World Management Corp., Respondent*. Retrieved September 7, 2010, from <http://www.sec.gov/litigation/admin/2008/ia-2761.pdf>.

Silbey, S., Huising, R., & Coslovsky, S. V. (2009). The "sociological citizen": Relational interdependence in law and organizations. *L'Année Sociologique*, 59, 201-229.

Sparkes, R. (2002). *Socially responsible investment: A global revolution*. Padstow: Wiley.

Sparkes, R., & Cowton, C. J. (2004). The maturing of socially responsible investment: A review of the developing link with corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 52, 45–57.

Swedberg, R. (2005). *The Max Weber Dictionary: Key words and central concepts*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Teoh, S. H., Welch, I., & Paul Wazzan, C. (1999). The effect of socially activist investment policies on the financial markets: Evidence from the South African Boycott. *Journal of Business*, 72, 35–89.

Thompson, C. J., & Coskuner-Balli, G. (2007). Countervailing market responses to corporate co-optation and the ideological recruitment of consumption communities. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 34, 135–152.

Vogel, D. (1978). *Lobbying the corporation: Citizen challenges to business authority*. New York: Basic Books.

Vogel, D. (2005). *The market for virtue: The potential and limits of corporate social responsibility*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.

Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology* (Vol. 1). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Weiss, R. S. (1994). *Learning from strangers: The art and method of qualitative interview studies*. New York: The Free Press.

Weissman, M. (2008). *God in a cup: The obsessive quest for the perfect coffee*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Wilkinson, J. (2007). Fair trade: Dynamic and dilemmas of a market oriented global social movement. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 30, 219–239.

Acknowledgments

For helpful comments, thanks to Sage Christianson, Todd Goodsell, Wade Hollingshaus, Ben Richardson, Joe Galaskiewicz, and participants in the Social Enterprise@Goizueta Research Colloquium at Emory University.

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Department of Sociology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA

Curtis Child

Corresponding author

Correspondence to [Curtis Child](#).

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Child, C. Mainstreaming and its Discontents: Fair Trade, Socially Responsible Investing, and Industry Trajectories. *J Bus Ethics* 130, 601–618 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2241-5>

Received
06 October 2013

Accepted
19 May 2014

Published
27 June 2014

Issue date
September 2015

DOI
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2241-5>

Keywords

[Fair trade](#)

[Socially responsible investing](#)

[Alternative trade](#)

[Social enterprise](#)

[Mainstreaming](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research