


[Home](#) > [Group Decision and Negotiation](#) > Article


Experimental Use of Strategic Choice Approach (SCA) by Individuals as an Architectural Design Tool

Published: 10 March 2018

Volume 27, pages 811–826, (2018) [Cite this article](#)[Save article](#) [View saved research](#) >

[Group Decision and Negotiation](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →

[Elena Todella](#)  orcid.org/0000-0001-9107-7000¹, [Isabella Maria Lami](#) orcid.org/0000-0002-6468-3184²
& [Alessandro Armando](#) orcid.org/0000-0003-0399-9764¹

 **913** Accesses  **25** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

The paper proposes the use of the Strategic Choice Approach as a way of structuring the architectural design process, done by individuals and partly supported by meetings and interviews with DMs, experts, and stakeholders. The aim is to stimulate a debate around the use of SCA and its possible merging with architectural design, also analysing how the micro-processes involved in this merging can work in practice. We reflect on the possible use of SCA to determine prescriptive conditions on physical form at a scale that is still intermediate between the single building and the urban tissue: the method is employed as a design tool to provide alternative transformation scenarios. It represents a way of

approaching the challenge of planning in an uncertain world, eliciting guidelines and strategies, and furthermore it produces an architectural project or transformation in a physical sense. Moreover, by investigating what occurs during the different micro-processes with the interviewees, we focus on some behavioural issues and effects, in relation to the context, the models of the application and the different entities involved in the interventions. This proposal shows an application to a real-world problem, currently under debate by the City of Turin (Italy), the re-use of abandoned barracks located in a prestigious residential area.

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.

Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

Subscribe and save

Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

[View plans](#) →

Buy Now


[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)

Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others



A Stakeholder Analysis for the Adaptive Reuse Assessment of Architectural Heritage: Towards an Integrated...

Chapter | © 2020



A design student's *final cut*: experimenting with montage technique to generate a rich problem statement and...

Article | 09 May 2025



Open design: an actual topic in architectural education

Article | 09 July 2020

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Architecture](#)

[Archaeological Methodology](#)

[Decision Making](#)

[Landscape Architecture](#)

[Mixed Methods](#)

[Sociology of Architecture](#)

[Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis in Urban Sustainability](#)

References

Ackerman F, Eden C (2005) Using causal mapping with group support systems to elicit an understanding of failure in complex projects: some implications for organizational research. *Group Decis Negot* 14:355–376

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Ackermann F, Eden C (2011) Strategic management of stakeholders: theory and practice. *Long Range Plan* 44:179–196

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Albrechts L, Balducci A (2013) Practicing strategic planning: in search of critical

features to explain the strategic character of plan. Plan Rev 49(3):16-27

[Google Scholar](#)

Armando A, Durbiano G (2017) Teoria del progetto architettonico. Dai disegni agli effetti, Carocci, Roma

[Google Scholar](#)

Armando A, Bonino M, Frassoldati F (2015) Watersheds. A narrative of urban recycle. Sandu Publishing Co, Guangzhou

[Google Scholar](#)

Coelho D, Antunes CH, Martins AG (2010) Using SSM for structuring decision support in urban energy planning. Technol Econ Dev Econ 16:641-653

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Eden C, Jones S, Sims D, Smithin T (1981) The intersubjectivity of issues and issues of intersubjectivity. J Manag Stud 18(1):37-47

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Faludi A (2000) The performance of spatial planning. Plan Pract Res 15(4):299-318

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Faludi A, van der Valk A (1994) Rule and order: Dutch planning doctrine in the twentieth century. Geo J Libr 28:105

[Google Scholar](#)

Ferraris M (2009) Documentalità. Perché è necessario lasciar tracce, Laterza,

Franco LA (2006) Forms of conversation and problem structuring methods: a conceptual development. J Oper Res Soc 57:813-821

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Franco LA, Montibeller G (2010) Facilitated modelling in operational research. Eur J Oper Res 205:489-500

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Fregonara E, Curto R, Grosso M, Mellano P, Rolando D, Tulliani JM (2013) Environmental technology, materials science, architectural design, and real estate market evaluation: a multidisciplinary approach for energy-efficient buildings. J Urb Technol 20:57-80

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Friend JK (1993) Planning in the presence of uncertainty: principles and practice. J Jpn Soc Civ Eng 476:1-9

[Google Scholar](#)

Friend J, Hickling A (1987) Planning under pressure: the strategic choice approach, 1st edn. Pergamon, Oxford

[Google Scholar](#)

Friend J, Hickling A (2005) Planning under pressure: the strategic choice approach, 3rd edn. Pergamon, Oxford

[Google Scholar](#)

Giangrande A, Mortola E (2005) Neighbourhood renewal in Rome. Combining strategic choice with other design methods. In: Friend J, Hickling A (eds) Planning under pressure: the strategic choice approach, 3rd edn. Pergamon, Oxford, pp 322-326

[Google Scholar](#)

Hämäläinen RP, Luoma J, Saarinen E (2013) On the importance of behavioural operational research: the case of understanding and communicating about dynamic systems. Eur J Oper Res 228(3):623-634

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Latour B (1988) Science in action. How to follow scientists and engineers through society. Harvard University Press, Cambridge

[Google Scholar](#)

Latour B (2013) Cogitamus. Sei lettere sull'umanesimo scientifico, Il Mulino, Bologna

[Google Scholar](#)

Latour B, Woolgar S (1979) Laboratory life: the construction of scientific facts. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, CA

Mastop H, Faludi A (1997) Evaluation of strategic plans: the performance principle. Environ Plan 24:815-832

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Mingers J (2003) A classification of the philosophical assumptions of management science methods. J Oper Res Soc 54(6):559-570

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Mingers J (2011) Soft OR comes of age—but not everywhere!. Omega. Int J Manag Sci 39:729-741

[Google Scholar](#)

Mingers J, Rosenhead J (2001) Diverse unity: Looking inward and outward. In: Rosenhead J, Mingers J (eds) Rational analysis for a problematic world revisited: problem structuring methods for complexity, uncertainty and conflict. Wiley, Chichester

[Google Scholar](#)

Mingers J, Rosenhead J (2004) Problem structuring methods in action. Eur J Oper Res 152:530-554

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

O’Keefe R (2016) Experimental behavioural research in operational research: what we know and what we might come to know. Eur J Oper Res 249:899-907

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Rittel HWJ, Webber MM (1973) Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. Policy Sci 4:155-169

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Tavella E, Lami IM (2018) Negotiating perspectives and values through soft OR in the context of urban renewal. J Oper Res Soc.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/01605682.2018.1427433>

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

White L (2006a) Evaluating problem structuring methods: developing an approach to show the value and effectiveness of PSMs. J Oper Res Soc 57:842-

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

White L (2006b) Aesthetics in OR/systems practice: towards a concept of critical imagination as a challenge to systems thinking. *Syst Res Behav Sci* 23(6):779–791

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

White L (2016) Behavioural operational research: towards a framework for understanding behaviour in OR interventions. *Eur J Oper Res* 249:827–841

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Yaneva A (2009) *Laboratory life: the construction of scientific facts*. 010 Publishers, Rotterdam

Yaneva A (2012) *Mapping controversies in architecture*. Ashgate, Farnham

[Google Scholar](#)

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Architecture and Design Department, Politecnico di Torino, Viale Mattioli 39, 10125, Turin, Italy

Elena Todella & Alessandro Armando

Interuniversity Department of Regional and Urban Studies and Planning, Politecnico di Torino, Viale Mattioli 39, 10125, Turin, Italy

Isabella Maria Lami

Corresponding author

Correspondence to [Elena Todella](#).

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Todella, E., Lami, I.M. & Armando, A. Experimental Use of Strategic Choice Approach (SCA) by Individuals as an Architectural Design Tool. *Group Decis Negot* **27**, 811–826 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-018-9567-9>

Published

10 March 2018

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-018-9567-9>

Version of record

10 March 2018

Issue date

October 2018

Keywords

[Strategic Choice Approach](#)

[Architectural design](#)

[Decision processes](#)

Profiles

1. Elena Todella



[View author profile](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research

