SPRINGER NATURE Link

O Search

<u>Home</u> > <u>International Tax and Public Finance</u> > Article

Income splitting and anti-avoidance legislation: evidence from the Canadian "kiddie tax"

Published: 06 November 2014

Volume 22, pages 909–931, (2015) Cite this article

International Tax and Public Finance

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

- > Store and/or access information on a device
- Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

Accept all cookies

Reject optional cookies

Manage preferences



much larger than the increase in capital gains income. Hence, our analysis suggests that the "kiddie tax" is an effective method to deter income splitting.



Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

Income and child maltreatment in unmarried families: evidence from the earned income tax credit	t <u>The Role of Family Income</u> <u>Dynamics in Predicting</u> <u>Trajectories of Internalizing</u> and Externalizing Problems	<u>Deprivation on Children's Life</u> <u>Satisfaction: Evidence from</u> <u>Longitudinal Data for Englan</u>
Article 29 September 2016	Article 27 June 2016	ArticleOpen access05 October 2021

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles and news from researchers in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

Business Taxati	on and Tax Law	Children, Yout	<u>h and Family Policy</u>	European Economic Law
Financial Law	Fiscal Law	Taxation Policy		

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

(Complete Report) available through the IRS website.

4. Although it would be preferable to have available age groups that are completely consistent with the effective age of "kiddie tax" legislation (i.e., 17 and under), we believe that our empirical age groups are acceptable. The percentage of the under-20 population aged 18 and 19 is between 10.0 and 11.9 % from 1997 to 2009 (*Statistics Canada*, CANSIM tables), and thus, any miscategorization in our provincial analysis is relatively minor and would bias against potential findings. If more income is split with children 17 and under, the inclusion of 18- and 19-year-olds lowers the average income share for the under-20 group and reduces the difference between minor and non-minor children. Conversely, if more income is split with children 18 and over, then the inclusion of 18- and 19-year-olds in the under-20 age group raises the average share. Again, the result is a smaller difference between minor and

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

- 8. Capital gains are taxed when realized and no legislation exists to prevent a minor child from holding transferred property until they reach the age of 18, when attribution in Canada normally ceases to apply (Donnelly et al. 2000). As a caveat, however, a gift of shares typically results in immediate taxation to the transferor on any unrealized capital gains. Furthermore, capital gains can be volatile and difficult to predict, and could result in losses, likely making it a less preferred activity prior to "kiddie tax" legislation.
- 9. Provincial data are not available prior to 1997. We exclude 1997 from the provincial sample because, conceptually, it may not be comparable to the other years in the pre-legislation period. The Supreme Court decision in *Neuman*, released early in 1998, overturned an earlier lower-court decision (to the

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

implicitly changes throughout the sample period (e.g., some minor children will become non-minor children). What is relevant is the total share of income each respective age group implicitly chooses to report in each year or period of the sample. The income shares are not cumulative, so the amounts reported each year, where applicable, represent a decision in that year about whether or not to shift income.

- 13. For example, Slemrod (<u>1996</u>) and Saez (<u>2004</u>) also employ a simple multivariate regression model where the dependent variable is the total taxable income of a group as a share of total taxable income with respect to a given province/state in a specific year.
- 14. Population figures are from Statistics Canada, CANSIM database, table

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

- 17. In 1988, the capital gains inclusion rate increased from one-half to twothirds, and in 1990, it increased again to three-fourths. In 2000, it was reduced twice, from three-fourths to two-thirds, and from two-thirds back to one-half.
- 18. This specification is validated by likelihood ratio tests based on Box-Cox regressions that do not reject the use of a log specification. Although a power transformation is most suitable, the empirical results and model fit are highly similar with either a log or power transformation. For ease of interpretation, we report the semi-log specification.

19. Our results might be skewed, given that most observations occur post-

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies	
Reject optional cookies	
Manage preferences	

- 22. Saskatchewan is the omitted province in our model.
- 23. Data on dividends in the Report are recorded as taxable amounts, which reflect a dividend gross-up. We have removed the gross-up in our data to reflect actual dividends paid. Different gross-up rates have been available in Canada over our sample period: 1/3 for 1975–1977 and 1987; 1/2 for 1978–1986, 1/4 for 1998–2005, and both 1/4 and 9/20 for 2006–2009. We use our previously noted decomposition technique for eligible and non-eligible dividends to calculate the actual dividends paid for 2006–2009.

24. Refer to <u>http://www.cra-arc.gc.ca/tx/ndvdls/fq/txrts-eng.html</u> for more details.

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

legislation. Thus, the conclusion that the legislation has a large and statistically significant negative effect on minors' share of dividend income persists where non-minor children are not used as a control group.

28. The sum of $(\operatorname{LEG}_\operatorname{it})$ and \langle

(\textit{DAGE36}_\textit{ijt}*\textit{DLEG}_\textit{it}\) (with Kennedy's
adjustment) implies that the adult age group has a 13.5 % increase in its
share of dividend income from the pre-legislation period to the postlegislation period.

29. The sum of coefficients \(\textit{DLEG}_\textit{it}\) and \ (\textit{DAGE1}_\textit{ijt}*\textit{DLEG}_\textit{it}\) for capital gains, while positive, is not statistically significant at conventional levels. Thus, an

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

- 32. If we measure these revenue estimates using the total change for minor children (i.e., \(\beta _{1}\) + \(\beta _{3}\), with Kennedy's adjustment), dividend income decreases by \$660 million and capital gains increase by \$23 million. Thus, the offset of increased revenue from capital gains splitting would be 3 %.
- 33. As an alternative, untabulated test, we re-estimate Eq. (1) without observations from 1999. The coefficient estimates of \ (\textit{DLEG}_\textit{it}\), \ (\textit{DLEG}_\textit{it}\), \ (\textit{DAGE1}_\textit{it}\), and \ (\textit{DAGE36}_\textit{ijt}*\textit{DLEG}_\textit{it}\), are nearly identical to the respective coefficients reported in Table 4.

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies	
Reject optional cookies	
Manage preferences	

Corley, T. (2010). *Kiddie Tax rules and planning strategies*. Kiddie Tax Rules and Planning Strategies EzineArticles.com January 9, 2010. Accessed 2 Nov 2014. <u>http://ezinearticles.com/?Kiddie-Tax-Rules-and-Planning-Strategies&id=3549353</u>.

Donnelly, M., Magee, J., & Young, A. (2000). Income splitting and the new kiddie tax: major changes for minor children. *Canadian Tax Journal*, *48*(4), 979.

Google Scholar

Dunsky, R. M., & Follain, J. R. (2000). Tax-induced portfolio reshuffling: The case of the mortgage interest deduction. *Real Estate Economics*, *28*(4), 683.

Article Google Scholar

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences



Macnaughton, A., & Matthews, T. (1999). Is the income-splitting tax needed? Some empirical evidence. *Canadian Tax Journal*, 47(5), 1164.

Google Scholar

Maki, D. M. (1996). Portfolio shuffling and tax reform. *National Tax Journal*, *49*(3), 317.

Google Scholar

Saez, E. (2004). Reported incomes and marginal tax rates, 1960–2000: Evidence and policy implications. In J. Poterba (Ed.), *Tax policy and the economy*, Vol. 18,

pp. 117-174.

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies	
Reject optional cookies	
Manage preferences	

Acknowledgments

Bauer acknowledges the Canada Revenue Agency for providing early *Income Statistics* data. We thank workshop participants at the School of Accounting and Finance, University of Waterloo, and participants at the Graduate Student Research Conference, University of Waterloo for providing helpful comments and suggestions.

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Department of Accountancy, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL, 61820, USA

Androw M. Dou

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

Bauer, A.M., Macnaughton, A. & Sen, A. Income splitting and anti-avoidance legislation: evidence from the Canadian "kiddie tax". *Int Tax Public Finance* **22**, 909–931 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-014-9342-z

Published	Issue Date
06 November 2014	December 2015
DOI	
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-0	14-9342-z

Кеум	vords					
<u>"Kiddi</u>	ie tax"	Incom	<u>e shifting</u>	Income splitting	Anti-avoidance	<u>Canada</u>
JEL (Class	ificat	ion			
D13	H24	H31	K34			

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences

Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 93 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to nature.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Accept all cookies
Reject optional cookies
Manage preferences