


[Home](#) > [Small Business Economics](#) > Article

# Leveraged buyouts, private equity and jobs

| Published: 01 April 2010

| Volume 38, pages 419–430, (2012) [Cite this article](#)[Save article](#) [View saved research](#) >

## [Small Business Economics](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →[Kevin Amess](#) <sup>1</sup> & [Mike Wright](#)<sup>1,2</sup> **2552** Accesses  **43** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

## Abstract

Using a unique data set of 533 leveraged buyouts (LBOs) observed over the 1993–2004 period, covering all size ranges, the study conducts a systematic analysis to determine and quantify: (1) the effect of private equity (PE) and LBO governance on employment and (2) whether the size of the target firm impacts on post-buyout employment effects. After accounting for endogeneity, we find that LBOs, whether PE financed or not, do not have significantly different employment levels compared with a control sample of firms. Additionally, there are no employment effects contingent on the size of the target firm. The findings contrast with anecdotal claims of job destruction. The study therefore makes an important contribution to the debate on the impact of LBOs and PE.

## Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

## Subscribe and save

✓ Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

[View plans](#) →

## Buy Now

[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)

Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

## Similar content being viewed by others


**[The Impact of Long-Term Finance on Job Quality, Investments and Firm Performance: Cross-Country...](#)**

Article | Open access

28 December 2023

**[Business owners, employees, and firm performance](#)**

Article | 27 March 2018



**[Drivers of LBO Operating Performance: An Empirical Investigation in Asia](#)**

Chapter | © 2018

## Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Economic Sociology](#)

[Labor Economics](#)

[Labor and Population Economics](#)

[Mergers and Acquisitions](#)

[Sector and Industry Studies](#)

[Unemployment](#)

[Private Equity Performance and Investment Strategies](#)

## Notes

---

1. In 1999 the proportion of PE-financed LBOs was 57%. Later, in the second wave, however, PE firms focussed on larger deals. Nevertheless, the proportion of PE-financed LBOs in 2004 was 38% (CMBOR [2006](#)).
2. This arises as financiers are able to negotiate contractual conditions in the shareholders' agreement providing for such disclosure.
3. Note, if unobserved differences between buyouts and non-buyout firms are constant over time, they will be captured by the firm-specific fixed effects. We also adopt a more rigorous approach to differences between LBOs and non-LBOs by employing a generalised instrumental variable estimator.
4. Models with one-period lags of wages and output were estimated but were found not to be significant. In addition, autocorrelation tests revealed that they were not required in order to aid the removal of autocorrelation.
5. The number of contiguous years of data required is determined by the instrument set used, which is explained later.
6. The instruments used in each equation are  $n_{it}$ ,  $w_{it}$ ,  $y_{it}$  lagged  $t - 3$  to  $t - 5$  for the first-differenced equations, and  $\Delta n_{it-3}$ ,  $\Delta w_{it-3}$ ,  $\Delta y_{it-3}$  for the levels

equations. Appropriate instrument sets were selected using Hansen and serial correlation tests.

7. In order to control for the endogeneity of the LBO dummy variables we run a multinomial logit model and use the predicted probabilities as additional instruments. Measures relative to the industry average of output, wage rates, total assets and capital intensity are used as independent variables in the models.
8. The instruments used in each equation are  $n_{it}$ ,  $w_{it}$ ,  $y_{it}$  lagged  $t - 3$  to  $t - 5$ . Appropriate instrument sets were selected using Hansen and serial correlation tests.

## References

---

Acharya, V., Kehoe, C., & Reyner, A. (2009). Private equity vs PLC boards: A comparison of practices and effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 21(1), 45-56.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Amess, K. (2002). Management buyouts and firm-level productivity: Evidence from a panel of UK manufacturing firms. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 49(3), 304-317.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Amess, K. (2003). The effect of management buyouts on firm-level technical efficiency: Evidence from a panel of UK machinery and equipment manufacturers. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 51(1), 35-44.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Amess, K., Brown, S., & Thompson, S. (2007). Management buyouts, supervision and employee discretion. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 54(4), 447-474.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Amess, K., & Wright, M. (2007). The wage and employment effects of leveraged buyouts in the U.K. *International Journal of Economics and Business*, 14(2), 179-195.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *Review of Economic Studies*, 58(2), 277-297.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Blundell, R., & Bond, S. (1998). Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 87(1), 115-143.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Boucly, Q., Sraer, D., & Thesmar, D. (2009). Job creating LBOs. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1354087>. Accessed 28 March 2010.

CMBOR. (2006). *Quarterly review, Autumn 2006*. Nottingham: University of Nottingham, CMBOR.

Conyon, M., Girma, S., Thompson, S., & Wright, P. (2001a). Do hostile mergers destroy jobs? *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 45(4), 427-440.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Conyon, M., Girma, S., Thompson, S., & Wright, P. (2001b). Do wages rise or fall

following a merger? *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 66(5), 847–862.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Conyon, M., Girma, S., Thompson, S., & Wright, P. (2002). The impact of mergers and acquisitions on company employment in the United Kingdom. *European Economic Review*, 46(1), 31–49.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Cotter, J. F., & Peck, S. W. (2001). The structure of debt and active equity investors: The case of the buy-out specialist. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 59(1), 101–147.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Cressy, R., Malipiero, A., & Munari, F. (2007a). Playing to their strengths? Evidence that specialization in the private equity industry confers competitive advantage. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 13(4), 647–669.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Cressy, R., Munari, F., & Malipiero, A. (2007b). Creative destruction: evidence that buyouts cut jobs to raise returns. University of Birmingham working paper.

Cumming, D., Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2007). Private equity, leveraged buyouts and governance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 13(4), 439–460.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Davis, S., Lerner, J., Haltiwanger, J., Miranda, J., & Jarmin, R. (2008). Private equity and employment. In J. Lerner & A. Gurung (Eds.), *The global impact of private equity report 2008, globalization of alternative investments*. Working papers (Vol. 1, pp. 43–64). New York: World Economic Forum.

Fox, I., & Marcus, A. (1992). The causes and consequences of leveraged management buyouts. *Academy of Management Review*, 17(1), 62–85.

[Google Scholar](#)

Harris, R., Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2005). Assessing the impact of management buyouts on economic efficiency: Plant-level evidence from the United Kingdom. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 87(1), 148–153.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Hart, O. (1995). Corporate governance: Some theory and implications. *Economic Journal*, 105(430), 678–689.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Hochberg, Y., Ljungqvist, A., & Lu, Y. (2007). Whom you know matters: Venture capital networks and investment performance. *Journal of Finance*, 62(1), 251–301.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Holmstrom, B. (1989). Agency costs and innovation. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 12(3), 305–327.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

International Trade Union Confederation. (2007). *Where the house always wins: private equity, hedge funds and the new casino capitalism*. Brussels: International Trade Union Confederation.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jensen, M. C. (1986). Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance and takeovers. *American Economic Review*, 76(2), 323–329.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jensen, M. C. (1989). Eclipse of the public corporation. *Harvard Business Review*, 67(5), 61-75.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jensen, M. C. (1993). The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems. *Journal of Finance*, 48(3), 831-880.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kaplan, S. (1989). The effects of management buyouts on operating performance and value. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 24(2), 217-254.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kaplan, S. N., & Stromberg, P. (2009). Leveraged buyouts and private equity. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 23(1), 121-146.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Lichtenberg, F. R., & Siegel, D. (1990). The effects of leveraged buyouts on productivity and related aspects of firm behavior. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 27(1), 165-194.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Lutz, E., & Achleitner, A.-K. (2009). Angels or demons? Evidence on the impact of

private equity firms on employment. *Zeitschrift für Betriebswirtschaft*, 5, 53–81.

McGuckin, R. H., & Nguyen, S. V. (2001). The impact of ownership changes: A view from labour markets. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 19(5), 739–762.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Meuleman, M., Amess, K., Wright, M., & Scholes, L. (2009). Agency, strategic entrepreneurship, and the performance of private equity-backed buyouts. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 33(1), 213–239.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Montgomery, C. A., & Wernerfelt, B. (1988). Diversification, ricardian rents, and Tobin's q. *RAND Journal of Economics*, 19(4), 623–632.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Nickell, S. (1984). An investigation of the determinants of manufacturing employment in the United Kingdom. *Review of Economic Studies*, 51(4), 529–557.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Opler, T., & Titman, S. (1993). The determinants of leveraged buyout activity: Free cash flow vs. financial distress costs. *Journal of Finance*, 48(5), 1985–1999.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Palepu, K. G. (1990). Consequences of leveraged buyouts. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 27(1), 247–262.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Palich, L. E., Cardinal, L. B., & Miller, C. C. (2000). Curvilinearity in the

diversification-performance linkage: An examination of over three decades of research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(2), 155-174.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Scholes, M. L., Wright, M., Westhead, P., Burrows, A., & Bruining, H. (2007). Information sharing, price negotiation and management buyouts of private family-owned firms. *Small Business Economics*, 29(3), 329-349.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Seth, A., & Easterwood, J. (1993). Strategic redirection in large management buyouts. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(4), 251-273.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1988). Value maximization and the acquisition process. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2(1), 7-20.

[Google Scholar](#)

Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *Journal of Finance*, 52(2), 737-783.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Smith, A. J. (1990). Corporate ownership structure and performance: The case of management buyouts. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 27(1), 143-164.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Storey, D. J. (1994). *Understanding the small business sector*. London: Thomson Learning.

[Google Scholar](#)

Thompson, S., & Wright, M. (1995). Corporate governance: The role of restructuring transactions. *Economic Journal*, 105(430), 690–703.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Thompson, S., Wright, M., & Robbie, K. (1992). Management equity ownership, debt, and performance: Some evidence from UK management buyouts. *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 39(4), 413–430.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Treasury Select Committee. (2007). Private equity: Vol. 1 Report together with formal minutes. Tenth Report of Session 2006-07. HC567-1.

Weir, C., & Wright, M. (2007). Governance and takeovers: Are public to private transactions different from traditional acquisitions of listed corporations? *Accounting and Business Research*, 36(4), 289–308.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Wiersema, M. F., & Liebeskind, J. P. (1995). The effects of leveraged buyouts on corporate growth and diversification in large firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(6), 447–460.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Wiklund, J., Patzelt, H., & Shepherd, D. A. (2009). Building an integrative model of small business growth. *Small Business Economics*, 32(4), 351–374.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Williamson, O. (1964). *The economics of discretionary behavior: Managerial objectives in a theory of the firm*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

[Google Scholar](#)

Windmeijer, F. (2005). A finite sample correction for the variance of linear efficient two-step GMM estimators. *Journal of Econometrics*, 126(1), 25-51.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Wright, M., Burrows, A., Ball, R., Scholes, L., Meuleman, M., & Amess, K. (2007). *The implications of alternative investment vehicles for corporate governance: A survey of empirical research*. Report prepared for the Steering Group on Corporate Governance. Paris: OECD.

Wright, M., Hoskisson, R. E., & Busenitz, L. W. (2001). Firm rebirth: Buyouts as facilitators of strategic growth and entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Executive*, 15(1), 111-125.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Wright, M., Hoskisson, R. E., Busenitz, L. W., & Dial, J. (2000). Entrepreneurial growth through privatization: The upside of management buy-outs. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(3), 591-601.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wright, M., Thompson, S., & Robbie, K. (1992). Venture capital and management-led leveraged buyouts: A European perspective. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 7(1), 47-71.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Zahra, S. A. (1995). Corporate entrepreneurship and financial performance: The case of management leveraged buy-outs. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 10(3), 225-247.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

# Acknowledgement

---

The authors wish to thank Sourafel Girma and Wallace Mullin (discussant at the 6th Industrial Organization Society Conference, Washington) for helpful comments.

## Author information

---

### Authors and Affiliations

**Nottingham University Business School, Nottingham, UK**

Kevin Amess & Mike Wright

**Department of Economics, Finance and Control, EMLyon, 23, av. Guy de Collongue, BP 174, Ecully Cedex, 69132, France**

Mike Wright

### Corresponding author

Correspondence to [Kevin Amess](#).

## Rights and permissions

---

[Reprints and permissions](#)

## About this article

---

### Cite this article

Amess, K., Wright, M. Leveraged buyouts, private equity and jobs. *Small Bus Econ* **38**, 419–430 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-010-9280-9>

Accepted

10 March 2010

Published

01 April 2010

Issue date

May 2012

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-010-9280-9>

# Keywords

[Leveraged buyout](#)

[Private equity](#)

[Employment demand](#)

# JEL Classifications

[G34](#)

[J23](#)

[L23](#)

# Search

Search by keyword or author



# Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research