Exploring consumer conflict management in service encounters

Save article

View saved research >

Michael B. Beverland ✉[1], Steven M. Kates[2], Adam Lindgreen[3] & Emily Chung[1]

Abstract

Consumer researchers have yet to examine how consumers frame and deal with conflict. Understanding how consumers manage conflict is essential for service providers seeking to effectively recover instances of service failure, and avoid the costs associated with increasing instances of consumer anger. Using a modified grounded theory approach, we develop a model of consumer conflict management drawing on 39 informant accounts of service failures. The emergent model proposes that consumers' conflict style is related to whether conflict is framed in task or personal terms. Task-framed conflicts resulted in more productive conflict styles than those framed in personal terms. Self vs. other orientation moderated

the relationship between conflict frame and conflict style. These findings help us better understand the nature of consumer conflict and identify the importance of carefully targeting service recovery efforts to reduce instances of anger.

ℹ This is a preview of subscription content, log in via an institution ↗ to check access.

Access this article

Log in via an institution →

Subscribe and save

✓ Springer+   from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

**View plans →**

Buy Now

Buy article PDF 39,95 €

Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.

Institutional subscriptions →

Similar content being viewed by others

Customer engagement in service

Article | 07 October 2017

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

Counseling Psychology   Consumer Behavior   Customer Relationship Management

Dispute Resolution, Mediation, Arbitration   Mediation and Conflict Management

Peace and Conflict Studies   Service Recovery Dynamics in Customer Experience Management

Notes

1. To facilitate articulation of unconscious meanings and motivations, simple projective techniques were employed; informants were shown pictures from magazines including depictions of people, nature scenes, and products. Pictures included that of an eye, people having coffee, two women kickboxing, and approximately thirty others. Probes were based on informants' own accounts and concepts from the literature (e.g., disconfirmation, forgiveness, and switching).

References

Aaker, J., Fournier, S., & Brasel, A. S. (2004). When good brands do bad. *Journal of Consumer Research, 31*(1), 1–16.

Article   Google Scholar

Adair, W. L., Okumura, T., & Brett, J. M. (2001). Negotiation behavior when

cultures collide: the United States and Japan. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(3), 371–385.

Article **Google Scholar**

Andreassen, T. W. (2001). From disgust to delight: do customers hold a grudge? *Journal of Service Research, 4*(1), 39–49.

Article **Google Scholar**

Ariely, D. (2007). The customer's revenge. *Harvard Business Review, 85*, 31–42.

Google Scholar

Barclay, D. W. (1991). Interdepartmental conflict in organizational buying: the impact of the organizational context. *Journal of Marketing Research, 28*(2), 145–159.

Article **Google Scholar**

Bechwati, N. N., & Morrin, M. (2003). Outraged consumers: getting even at the expense of getting a good deal. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 13*(4), 440–453.

Article **Google Scholar**

Bolton, R. N., Smith, A. K., & Wagner, J. (2003). Striking the right balance: designing service to enhance business-to-business relationships. *Journal of Service Research, 5*(4), 271–293.

Article **Google Scholar**

Bonifield, C., & Cole, C. (2007). Affective responses to service failure: anger, regret, and retaliatory versus conciliatory responses. *Marketing Letters, 18*, 85–99.

Article **Google Scholar**

Bougie, R., Pieters, R., & Zeelenberg, M. (2003). Angry customers don't come back, they get back: the experience and behavioral implications of anger and dissatisfaction in services. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 31*(4), 377–393.

Article   Google Scholar

Boyd, H. C., III, & Helms, J. E. (2005). Consumer entitlement: theory and measurement. *Psychology and Marketing, 22*(3), 271–286.

Article   Google Scholar

Fischer, E., & Otnes, C. C. (2006). Breaking new ground: Developing grounded theories in marketing and consumer behavior. In W. Russell (Ed.), *Handbook of qualitative research methods in marketing* (pp. 19–30). Belk Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.

Google Scholar

Flint, D. J., Woodruff, R. B., & Gardial, S. F. (2002). Exploring the phenomenon of customers' desired value change in a business-to-business context. *Journal of Marketing, 66*(4), 102–117.

Article   Google Scholar

Fournier, S. (1998). Consumers and their brands: developing relationship theory in consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Research, 24*, 343–373.

Article   Google Scholar

Friedman, R. A., Tidd, S. T., Currall, S. C., & Tsai, J. C. (2000). What goes around comes around: the impact of personal conflict style on work conflict and stress. *International Journal of Conflict Management, 11*(1), 32–55.

Article   Google Scholar

Grandey, A. A., Dickter, D. N., & Sin, H.-P. (2004). The customer is *not* always right: customer aggression and emotion regulation of service employees. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 25*, 397–418.

Article   Google Scholar

Grandey, A. A., Kern, J. H., & Frone, M. R. (2007). Verbal abuse from outsiders versus insiders: comparing frequency, impact on emotional exhaustion, and the role of emotional labor. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 12*(1), 63–79.

Article   Google Scholar

Grégoire, Y., & Fisher, R. (2006). The effects of relationship quality on customer retaliation. *Marketing Letters, 17*, 31–46.

Article   Google Scholar

Grégoire, Y., & Fisher, R. J. (2008). Customer betrayal and retaliation: when your best customers become your worst enemies. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science, 36*(3), 247–261.

Article   Google Scholar

Grégoire, Y., Tripp, T. M., & Legoux, R. (2009). When customer love turns into lasting hate: the effects of relationship strength and time on customer revenge and avoidance. *Journal of Marketing* forthcoming.

Heider, F. (1958). *The psychology of interpersonal relations*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Book   Google Scholar

Hess, R. L., Jr., Ganesan, S., & Morrin, M. (2003). Service failure and recovery:

the impact of relationship factors on customer satisfaction. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 31*(2), 127–145.

Article   Google Scholar

Hirschman, A. O. (1970). *Exit, voice, and loyalty: Responses to decline in firms, organizations, and states*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Google Scholar

Koza, K. L., & Dant, R. P. (2007). Effects of relationship climate, control mechanism, and communications on conflict resolution behavior and performance outcomes. *Journal of Retailing, 83*(3), 279–296.

Article   Google Scholar

Macintosh, G., & Stevens, C. (2006). Consumer conflict management strategies in everyday service encounters. *Advances in Consumer Research, 33*, 279–280.

Google Scholar

Maxham, J. G., III, & Netemeyer, R. G. (2002). Longitudinal study of complaining customers' evaluations of multiple service failures and recovery effort. *Journal of Marketing, 66*, 57–71.

Article   Google Scholar

McColl-Kennedy, J. R., & Sparks, B. A. (2003). Application of fairness theory to service failures and service recovery. *Journal of Service Research, 5*(3), 251–266.

Article   Google Scholar

McCracken, G. (1986). *The long interview*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Google Scholar

McGregor, J. (2008). Consumer vigilantes; memo to corporate america: hell hath no fury like a customer scorned. *Business Week* March 3rd: 38–58.

Google Scholar

Nyer, P. U. (1997). A study of the relationships between cognitive appraisals and consumption emotions. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 25*(4), 296–204.

Article **Google Scholar**

Pinkley, R. L. (1990). Dimensions of conflict frame: disputant interpretations of conflict. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*(2), 117–126.

Article **Google Scholar**

Pinkley, R. L., & Northcraft, G. B. (1994). Conflict frames of reference: implications for dispute processes and outcomes. *Academy of Management Journal, 37*(1), 193–205.

Article **Google Scholar**

Posthuma, R. A., White, G. O., III, Dworkin, J. B., Yánez, O., & Swift, M. S. (2006). Conflict resolution styles between co-workers in US and Mexican cultures. *International Journal of Conflict Management, 17*(3), 242–260.

Article **Google Scholar**

Purohit, Y. S., & Simmers, C. A. (2006). Power distance and uncertainty avoidance: a cross-national examination of their impact on conflict management modes. *Journal of International Business Research, 5*(1), 1–19.

Google Scholar

Rahim, M. A. (1986). *Managing conflicts in organizations*. New York: Praeger.

Schneider, B., & Bowen, D. E. (1999). Understanding customer delight and outrage. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 41*, 35–45.

Google Scholar

Singh, J. (1990). Voice, exit, and negative word-of-mouth behaviors: an investigation across three service categories. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 18*(1), 1–15.

Article   Google Scholar

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Google Scholar

Tax, S. S., Brown, S. W., & Chandrashekaran, M. (1998). Customer evaluations of service complaint experiences: implications for relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing, 62*(2), 60–76.

Article   Google Scholar

Ward, J. C., & Ostrom, A. L. (2006). Complaining to the masses: the role of protest framing in customer-created complaint web sites. *Journal of Consumer Research, 33*, 220–230.

Article   Google Scholar

Weiner, B. (1973). *Theories of motivation*. Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.

Google Scholar

Zaltman, G. (2004). *How customers think*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business

School Press.

Google Scholar

Zourrig, H., Chebat, J.-C., & Toffoli, R. (2009). Consumer revenge behavior: a cross-cultural perspective. *Journal of Business Research, 62*(10), 995–1001.

Article   Google Scholar

Acknowledgments

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

School of Economics, Finance & Marketing, RMIT University, GPO Box 2476V, Melbourne, Vic, 3001, Australia

Michael B. Beverland & Emily Chung

Department of Marketing, Simon Fraser University, FBA, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC, Canada, V5A 1S6

Steven M. Kates

Hull University Business School, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK

Adam Lindgreen

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Michael B. Beverland.

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Keywords

[Consumer conflict styles](#)   [Service recovery](#)   [Brand relationships](#)   [Grounded theory](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author

Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research