

Search



Home > Economics of Disasters and Climate Change > Article

# Regressivity in Public Natural Hazard Insurance: a Quantitative Analysis of the New Zealand Case

Original Paper | Published: 26 June 2019

Volume 3, pages 235–255, (2019) Cite this article



**Economics of Disasters and Climate** 

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

- > Store and/or access information on a device
- Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

Accept all cookies

Reject optional cookies

remedied with modifications to the programs' structure, and point to how other insurance schemes internationally are likely to also be regressive.

This is a preview of subscription content, log in via an institution [2] to check access.

#### Access this article

Log in via an institution  $\rightarrow$ 

#### Subscribe and save

Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Private Versus Public
Insurance for Natural Hazards:
Individual Behavior's Role in
Loss Mitigation

Model for Disaster Risk

Management: An Application

to Italy

A Public-Private insurance

Interregional Risk Sharing and Fiscal System for Natural Disasters

Chapter © 2016

Article Open access
12 September 2022

Chapter © 2025

#### **Explore related subjects**

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

Actuarial Mathematics Insurance Public Economics Public Finance Risk Theory

Statistics in Business, Management, Economics, Finance, Insurance

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

- 4. Kunreuther (2015) suggests that to address issues of equity and fairness, homeowners who cannot afford insurance could be given vouchers tied to loans for investing in loss reduction measures, but this kind of voucher program is yet to be implemented anywhere.
- 5. The three highest cost earthquakes in the Canterbury sequence are among the top 10 costliest earthquakes for 1980–2015 (by insured losses). Relative to damages, these events were at least twice as well insured as any of the others on the list.
- 6. For a 'semi-random' sample of 8000 houses for which we have complete data on all houses (those homes that are in the Residential Red Zone), more than 98% of homes were insured.

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

and Sutton (1992).

- 11. Wier et al. (2005), and Poterba (1991b).
- 12. In an 'actuarially fair' program, the two should be roughly equal.
- 13. Since the homeowners' policies in NZ are always 'all perils', and there are few disclosure requirments regarding premium prices, we do not know what is the earthquake-peril component of the overall premium that homeowners pay to their private insurers.
- 14. Part of the motivation for this was the slow rates of repair following the 1931

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

- 17. On September 4th, 2010, Canterbury was hit by a magnitude 7.1 earthquake. This was followed by a series of aftershocks, the most devastating of which was a 6.3 earthquake on February 22nd, 2011, which took 185 lives.
- 18. A meshblock is the smallest unit for which Statistics New Zealand collects data, with boundaries related to population. Censuses are conducted every 5 years. The 2011 census was postponed to 2013 because of the earthquakes.
- 19. The top income is censored at \$100,000. In 2006, there were 0.03% of meshblocks where the Med HH Income top censored at \$100,000, and in 2001 0.01%.

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

level (rather than summing all claims for a single property). The results were very similar, and are available upon request.

- 22. we should note here that quantile coefficients tell us about effects on the distribution, not on individuals.
- 23. One possible reason for the differences across countries is the degree of implicit insurance, in the form of post-disaster assistance, that citizens expect their government to provide (often based on past experiences). See for example Goeschl and Managi (2018).
- 24. Whether or not it is desirable is outside of the scope of this paper, as answer this question requires also an examination of various unintended

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Bin O, Bishop JA, Kousky C (2012) Redistributional effects of the national flood insurance program. Public Finance Review 40(3):360–380

**Article Google Scholar** 

Borren P, Sutton M (1992) Are increases in cigarette taxation regressive? Health Econ 1(4):245–253

**Article Google Scholar** 

Cameron AC, Miller DL (2015) A practitioner's guide to cluster-robust inference. J Hum Resour 50(2):317–372

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Henderson A (2010) 'Insurance - natural hazard insurance', Te Ara - the encyclopedia of New Zealand. <a href="http://www.TeAra.govt.nz/en/insurance/page-4">http://www.TeAra.govt.nz/en/insurance/page-4</a>. Accessed 8 June 2019

Howard J (2016) Socioeconomic effects of the National Flood Insurance Program. Springer

Johnson W (2006) Are public subsidies to higher education regressive? Education 1(3):288–315

**Google Scholar** 

Joint Committee on Taxation (2015) Fairness and Tax Policy (JCX-48-15)

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Kunreuther H, Pauly M (2009) Insuring Against Catastrophes. In: Diebold FX, Doherty NJ, Herring RJ (eds) The known, the unknown and the unknowable in financial risk management. Princeton University Press, Princeton

**Google Scholar** 

Lindley S, O'Neill J, Kandeh J, Lawson N, Christian R, O'Neill M (2011) Climate change, justice and vulnerability. Joseph Rowntree Foundation, York

**Google Scholar** 

Linnerooth-Bayer J, Surminski S, Bouwer L, Noy I, Mechler R (2018) Insurance as a response to loss and damage? In: Mechler et al (eds) loss and damage from

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Nguyen C, Noy I (2018) Insuring earthquakes: how would the Californian and Japanese insurance programs have fared after the 2011 New Zealand earthquake? Disasters (forthcoming)

NZ Treasury (2015) New Zealand's Future Natural Hazard Insurance Scheme: Proposed Changes to the Earthquake Commission Act 1993. Kaitohutohu Kaupapa Rawa the New Zealand Treasury

NZNSEE (1993) Changes to natural hazard insurance in New Zealand. Bulletin NZ National Society for Earthquake Engineering 26(4):437–444

**Google Scholar** 

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Paudel Y (2012) A comparative study of public—private natural hazard insurance systems: lessons from current practices. Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance: Issues and Practice 37(2):257–285

**Article Google Scholar** 

Poterba JM (1991a) Is the gasoline tax regressive? Tax policy and the economy 5:145–164

**Article Google Scholar** 

Poterba JM (1991b) Tax policy to combat global warming: on designing a carbon tax. In: Dornbusch R, Poterba JM (eds) Global warming: economic policy responses. MIT Press, Cambridge, pp 71–98

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Thumim J, Fahmy E, White V (2011) The distribution of UK household CO2 emissions: interim report. Joseph Rowntree Foundation, York

**Google Scholar** 

Wier M, Birr-Pedersen K, Jacobsen HK, Klok J (2005) Are CO 2 taxes regressive? Evidence from the Danish experience. Ecol Econ 52(2):239-251

**Article Google Scholar** 

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank QuakeCore (publication number #0372) and the Resilience National

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### **Rights and permissions**

Reprints and permissions

#### About this article

#### Cite this article

Owen, S., Noy, I. Regressivity in Public Natural Hazard Insurance: a Quantitative Analysis of the New Zealand Case. *EconDisCliCha* **3**, 235–255 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41885-019-00043-1

Received Accented Published

#### Your privacy, your choice

We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media.

By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains.

You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page.

We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes:

Store and/or access information on a device

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Accept all cookies** 

Reject optional cookies

# Find a journal **Publish with us** Track your research Your privacy, your choice We use essential cookies to make sure the site can function. We, and our 92 **partners**, also use optional cookies and similar technologies for advertising, personalisation of content, usage analysis, and social media. By accepting optional cookies, you consent to allowing us and our partners to store and access personal data on your device, such as browsing behaviour and unique identifiers. Some third parties are outside of the European Economic Area, with varying standards of data protection. See our **privacy policy** for more information on the use of your personal data. Your consent choices apply to springer.com and applicable subdomains. You can find further information, and change your preferences via 'Manage preferences'. You can also change your preferences or withdraw consent at any time via 'Your privacy choices', found in the footer of every page. We use cookies and similar technologies for the following purposes: Store and/or access information on a device Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development

**Navigation** 

Manage preferences

Accept all cookies

Reject optional cookies