

[Home](#) > [Journal of Business Ethics](#) > [Article](#)

Does Firm Size Comfound the Relationship Between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance?

| Published: September 2001

| Volume 33, pages 167–180, (2001) [Cite this article](#)



[Journal of Business Ethics](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →

[Submit manuscript](#) →

[Marc Orlitzky](#)¹

5675 Accesses **343** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

There has been some theoretical and empirical debate that the positive relationship between corporate social performance (CSP) and firm financial performance (FFP) is spurious and in fact caused by a third factor, namely large firm size. This study examines this question by integrating three meta-analyses of more than two decades of research on (1) CSP and FFP, (2) firm size and CSP, and (3) firm size and FFP into one path-analytic model. The present study does not confirm size as a third factor which would confound the relationship between CSP and FFP. That is, even if firm size is controlled for across studies (comprising, on average, over 15 000 observations), CSP and FFP remain positively correlated, showing a "true-score" corrected path coefficient p of 0.37.

Access this article

Log in via an institution →

Subscribe and save

✓ Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

View plans →

Buy Now

Buy article PDF 39,95 €

Price includes VAT (Poland)


Instant access to the full article PDF.

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others




Financial and corporate social performance in the UK listed firms: the relevance of non-linearity and lag effects



Do Corporate Social Performance Targets in Executive Compensation Contribute to Corporate Soci...

Article | Open access



Corporate social responsibility: How much is enough? A higher dimension perspective of the relationships...

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Analysis](#)[Business Ethics](#)[Complement](#)[Corporate Finance](#)[Corporate Social Responsibility](#)[Reasoning](#)

References

Aldrich, H. E. and J. Pfeffer: 1976, 'Environments of Rrganizations', in A. Inkeles (ed.), *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol. 2 (Annual Reviews, Palo Alto, CA), pp. 79–105.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Alexander, G. J. and R. A. Buchholz: 1978, 'Corporate Social Performance and Stock Market Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **21**, 479–486.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Anderson, J. C. and A. W. Frankle: 1980, 'Voluntary Social Reporting: An Iso-beta Portfolio Analysis', *Accounting Review* **55**, 467–479.

[Google Scholar](#)

Aupperle, K. E., A. B. Carroll and J. D. Hatfield: 1985, 'An Empirical Investigation of the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Profitability', *Academy of Management Journal* **28**, 446–463.

[Google Scholar](#)

Aupperle, K. E., F. B. Simmons and W. Acar: 1990, August, *An Empirical*

Investigation into How Entrepreneurs View Their Social Responsibilities. Paper presented at the Academy of Management meetings, San Francisco, CA.

*Belkaoui, A.: 1976, 'The Impact of the Disclosure of the Environmental Effects of Organizational Behavior on the Market', *Financial Management* **5**, 26-31.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Blackburn, V. L., M. Doran and C. B. Shrader: 1994, 'Investigating the Dimensions of Social Responsibility and the Consequences for Corporate Financial Performance', *Journal of Managerial Issues* **6**(2), 195-212.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Bowman, E. H.: 1976, 'Strategy and the Weather', *Sloan Management Review* **17**, 49-58.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Bowman, E. H.: 1978, 'Strategy, Annual Reports, and Alchemy', *California Management Review* **20**, 64-71.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Bowman, E. H. and M. Haire: 1975, 'A Strategic Posture Toward Corporate Social Responsibility', *California Management Review* **18**, 49-58.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Bragdon, J. H., Jr. and J. A. T. Marlin: 1972, 'Is Pollution Profitable?' *Risk Management* **19**, 9-18.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Brown, B. and S. Perry: 1994, 'Removing the Financial Performance Halo from

*Fortune's "Most Admired Companies"', *Academy of Management Journal* **37**, 1346-1359.*

[Google Scholar](#)

Burke, L., J. M. Logsdon, W. Mitchell, M. Reiner and D. Vogel: 1986, 'Corporate Community Involvement in the San Francisco Bay Area', *California Management Review* **28**(3), 122-141.

[Google Scholar](#)

Capon, N., J. U. Farley and S. Hoenig: Oct. 1990, 'Determinants of Financial Performance: A Meta-Analysis', *Management Science* **36**, 1143-1159.

[Google Scholar](#)

Carroll, A. B.: 1979, 'A Three-dimensional Model of Corporate Performance', *Academy of Management Review* **4**, 497-505.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Chen, K. H. and R. W. Metcalf: 1980, 'The Relationship between Pollution Control Record and Financial Indicators Revisited', *Accounting Review* **55**(1), 168-177.

[Google Scholar](#)

Clarkson, M. B. E.: 1995, 'A Stakeholder Framework for Analyzing and Evaluating Corporate Social Performance', *Academy of Management Review* **20**, 92-117.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Cochran, P. L. and R. A. Wood: 1984, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **27**, 42-56.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Conine, T. E. and G. P. Madden: 1987, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Investment Value: The Expectational Relationship', in W. D. Guth (ed.), *Handbook of Business Strategy 1986/1987 Yearbook* (Warren, Gorham, & Lamont, Boston), pp. 18-1 to 18-9.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cook, T. D. and D. T. Campbell: 1979, *Quasi-Experimentation: Design & Analysis Issues for Field Settings* (Houghton Mifflin, Boston, MA).

[Google Scholar](#)

Cooper, H. M.: 1989, *Integrating Research: A Guide for Literature Reviews*, 2nd ed. (Sage, Newbury Park, CA).

[Google Scholar](#)

*Cowen, S. S., L. B. Ferreri and L. D. Parker: 1987, 'The Impact of Corporate Characteristics on Social Responsibility Disclosure: A Typology and Frequency-based Analysis. Accounting', *Organizations and Society* **12**(2), 111-122.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cronbach, L. J., G. C. Gleser and N. Rajaratnam: 1963, 'Theory of Generalizability: A Liberalization of Reliability Theory', *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* **16**, 137-173.

[Google Scholar](#)

Datta, D. and V. K. Narayanan: 1989, 'A Metaanalytic Review of the Concentration-performance Relationship: Aggregating Findings in Strategic Management', *Journal of Management* **15**(3), 469-483.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Davidson, W. N. III and D. L. Worrell: 1992, 'Research Notes and Communications: The Effect of Product Recall Announcements on Shareholder Wealth', *Strategic Management Journal* **13**, 467-473.

[Google Scholar](#)

Donaldson, T. and L. E. Preston: 1995, 'The Stakeholder Theory of the Corporation: Concepts, Evidence, and Implications', *Academy of Management Review* **20**, 65-91.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Dooley, R. S. and L. D. Lerner: 1994, 'Pollution, Profits, and Stakeholders: The Constraining Effect of Economic Performance on CEO Concern With Stakeholder Expectations', *Journal of Business Ethics* **13**, 701-711.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Fogler, H. R. and F. Nutt: 1975, 'A Note on Social Responsibility and Stock Valuation', *Academy of Management Journal* **18**, 155-160.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Fombrun, C. and M. Shanley: 1990, 'What's in a Name? Reputation Building and Corporate Strategy', *Academy of Management Journal* **33**, 233-258.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Freedman, M. and B. Jaggi: 1982, 'Pollution Disclosures, Pollution Performance and Economic Performance', *Omega: The International Journal of Management Science* **10**, 167-176.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Freedman, M. and B. Jaggi: 1986, 'An Analysis of the Impact of Corporate

Pollution Disclosures Included in Annual Financial Statements on Investors' Decisions', *Advances in Public Interest Accounting* **1**, 192-212.

[Google Scholar](#)

Freeman, R. E.: 1984, *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach* (Pitman, Marshfield, MA).

[Google Scholar](#)

Freeman, R. E. and W. M. Evan: 1990, 'Corporate Governance: A Stakeholder Interpretation', *Journal of Behavioral Economics* **19**(4), 337-359.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gooding, R. Z. and J. A. Wagner, III: 1985, 'A Metaanalytic Review of the Relationship between Size and Performance: The Productivity and Efficiency of Organizations and their Subunits', *Administrative Science Quarterly* **30**, 462-481.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Goodstein, J. D.: 1992, 'Small Business and Corporate Social Performance: An Empirical Exploration of Small Business Involvement in Employer Supported Child Care', in J. E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy: Markets, Politics, and Social Performance*, vol. 13 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 141-158.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Graves, S. B. and S. A. Waddock: 1994, 'Institutional Owners and Corporate Social Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **37**, 1034-1046.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Greening, D. W.: 1995, 'Conservation Strategies, Firm Performance, and

Corporate Reputation in the U.S. Electric Utility Industry', *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy, Supplement 1* (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 345–368.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Griffin, J. J. and J. F. Mahon: 1997, 'The Corporate Social Performance and Corporate Financial Performance Debate: Twenty-five Years of Incomparable Research', *Business & Society* **36**, 5–31.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Hansen, G. S. and B. Wernerfelt: 1989, 'Determinants of Firm Performance: The Relative Importance of Economic and Organizational Factors', *Strategic Management Journal* **10**, 399–411.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Heinze, D. C.: 1976, 'Financial Correlates of a Social Involvement Measure', *Akron Business and Economic Review* **7**, 48–51.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Herremans, I. M., P. Akathaporn and M. McInnes: 1993, 'An Investigation of Corporate Social Responsibility Reputation and Economic Performance', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **18**, 587–604.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hill, C. W. L. and T. M. Jones: 1992, 'Stakeholderagency Theory', *Journal of Management Studies* **29**, 131–154.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hunter, J. E. and F. L. Schmidt: 1990, *Methods of Meta-analysis: Correcting*

[Google Scholar](#)

*Ingram, R. W.: 1978, 'An Investigation of the Information Content of (Certain) Social Responsibility Disclosures', *Journal of Accounting Research* **16**, 270–285.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Ingram, R. W. and K. B. Frazier: 1980, 'Environmental Performance and Corporate Disclosure', *Journal of Accounting Research* **18**, 614–622.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Jacobson, R.: 1987, 'The Validity of ROI as a Measure of Business Performance', *American Economic Review* **77**, 470–478.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jones, T. M.: 1995, 'Instrumental Stakeholder Theory: A Synthesis of Ethics and Economics', *Academy of Management Review* **20**, 404–437.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kayande, U. and M. Bhargava: 1994, 'An Examination of Temporal Patterns in Metaanalysis', *Marketing Letters* **5**(2), 141–151.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Kedia, B. L. and E. C. Kuntz: 1981, 'The Context of Social Performance: An Empirical Study of Texas Banks', in L. E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 3 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 133–154.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kimberly, J. R.: 1976, 'Organizational Size and the Structuralist Perspective: A Review, Critique, and Proposal', *Administrative Science Quarterly* **21**, 571-597.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Levy, F. K. and G. M. Shatto: 1980, 'Social Responsibility in Large Electric Utility Firms: The Case for Philanthropy', in L. E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 2 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 237-249.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Long, W. F. and D. J. Ravenscraft: 1984, 'The Misuse of Accounting Rates of Return: Comment', *American Economic Review* **74**, 494-501.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Marcus, A. A. and R. S. Goodman: 1986, 'Compliance and Performance: Toward a Contingency Theory', in L. E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 8 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 193-221.

[Google Scholar](#)

*McGuire, J. B., A. Sundgren and T. Schneeweis: 1988, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Financial Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **31**, 854-872.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mueller, H.: 1969, 'The Policy of the European Coal and Steel Community Toward Mergers and Agreements by Steel Companies', *Antitrust Bulletin* **14** (Summer), 413-448.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Newgren, K. E., A. A. Rasher, M. E. LaRoe and M. R. Szabo: 1985,

'Environmental Assessment and Corporate Performance: A Longitudinal Analysis Using a Market-determined Performance Measure', in Lee E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 7 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 153-164.

[Google Scholar](#)

*O'Neill, H. M., C. B. Saunders and A. D. McCarthy: 1989, 'Board Members, Corporate Social Responsiveness and Profitability: Are Tradeoffs Necessary?' *Journal of Business Ethics* **8**, 353-357.

[Google Scholar](#)

Orlitzky, M.: 1998, *A Meta-analysis of the Relationship between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; UMI no. AAT 9904332; DAI-A 59/09, p. 3527, March 1999.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Patten, D. M.: 1990, 'The Market Reaction to Social Responsibility Disclosures: The Case of the Sullivan Principles Signings', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **15**, 575-587.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Pava, M. L. and J. Krausz: 1995, *Corporate Responsibility and Financial Performance: The Paradox of Social Cost* (Quorum, Westport, CT).

[Google Scholar](#)

Pfeffer, J. and G. R. Salancik: 1978, *The External Control of Organizations* (Harper & Row, New York).

[Google Scholar](#)

*Pinkston, T. S. and A. B. Carroll: 1993, 'An Investigation of the Relationship between Organizational Size and Corporate Social Performance', *IABS Proceedings*, 109-114.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Preston, L. E.: 1978, 'Analyzing Corporate Social Performance: Methods and Results', *Journal of Contemporary Business* **7**, 135-150.

Price, J. L. and C. W. Mueller: 1986, *Handbook of Organizational Measurement*, 2nd ed. (Pitman, Marshfield, MA).

[Google Scholar](#)

*Reimann, B. C.: 1975, 'Organizational Effectiveness and Management's Public Values: A Canonical Analysis', *Academy of Management Journal* **18**, 224-241.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Riahi-Belkaoui, A.: 1991, 'Organizational Effectiveness, Social Performance and Economic Performance', in J. E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 12 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 143-153.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Roberts, R. W.: 1992, 'Determinants of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: An Application of Stakeholder Theory', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **17**(6), 595-612.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Russo, M. V. and P. A. Fouts: 1997, 'A Resourcebased Perspective on Corporate Environmental Performance and Profitability', *Academy of Management Journal* **40**, 534-559.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schmidt, F. L., K. Law, J. E. Hunter and H. R. Rothstein: 1993, 'Refinements in Validity Generalization Methods: Implications for the Situational Specificity Hypothesis', *Journal of Applied Psychology* **78**, 3–12.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Shane, P. B. and B. H. Spicer: 1983, 'Market Response to Environmental Information Produced Outside the Firm', *Accounting Review*, 58, 521–538.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Sharfman, M.: 1996, 'A Concurrent Validity Study of the KLD Social Performance Ratings Data', *Journal of Business Ethics* **15**, 287–296.

[Google Scholar](#)

Stanford, R. E.: 1980, 'The Effects of Promotion by Seniority in Growth-constrained Organizations', *Management Science* **26**, 680–693.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Simerly, R. L.: 1994, 'Corporate Social Performance and Firms' Financial Performance: An Alternative Perspective', *Psychological Reports* **75**, 1091–1103.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Simerly, R. L.: 1995, 'Institutional Ownership, Corporate Social Performance, and Firms' Financial Performance', *Psychological Reports* **77**, 515–525.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Spencer, B. A. and S. G. Taylor: 1987, 'A Within and Between Analysis of the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance', *Akron Business and Economic Review* **18**, 7–18.

*Spicer, B. H.: 1978, 'Investors, Corporate Social Performance and Information Disclosure: An Empirical Study', *Accounting Review* **53**, 94-111.

[Google Scholar](#)

Stanwick, P. A. and S. D. Stanwick.: 1998, 'The Relationship between Corporate Social Performance, and Organizational Size, Financial Performance, and Environmental Performance: An Empirical Examination', *Journal of Business Ethics* **17**, 195-204.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Starik, M.: 1990, *Stakeholder Management and Firm Performance: Reputation and Financial Relationships to U.S. Electric Utility Consumer-related Strategies*. University of Georgia, Athens. Unpublished doctoral dissertation.

[Google Scholar](#)

Starik, M.: 1995, 'Should Trees Have Managerial Standing? Toward Stakeholder Status for Nonhuman Nature', *Journal of Business Ethics* **14**, 207-217.

[Google Scholar](#)

Starik, M. and A. B. Carroll: 1991, 'In Search of Beneficence: Reflections on the Connection between Firm Social and Financial Performance', in K. Paul (ed.), *Contemporary Issues in Business and Society in the United States and Abroad* (Edwin Mellen Press, New York), pp. 79-108.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Sturdivant, F. D. and J. L. Ginter: 1977, 'Corporate Social Responsiveness: Management Attitudes and Economic Performance', *California Management*

[Google Scholar](#)

Thompson, J. D.: 1967, *Organizations in Action* (McGraw-Hill, New York).

[Google Scholar](#)

Traub, R. E.: 1994, *Reliability for the Social Sciences: Theory and Applications*, Vol. 3 (Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA).

[Google Scholar](#)

*Trotman, K. T. and G. W. Bradley: 1981, 'Associations between Social Responsibility Disclosure and Characteristics of Companies', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **6**(4), 355–362.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Turban, D. B. and D. W. Greening.: 1997, 'Corporate Social Performance and Organizational Attractiveness to Prospective Employees', *Academy of Management Review* **40**, 658–672.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ullmann, A.: 1985, 'Data in Search of a Theory: A Critical Examination of the Relationship Among Social Performance, Social Disclosure, and Economic Performance', *Academy of Management Review* **10**, 540–577.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Useem, M.: 1991, 'Organizational and Managerial Factors in the Shaping of Corporate Social and Political Action', in J. E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 12 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 63–92.

[Google Scholar](#)

Vance, S.: 1975, 'Are Socially Responsible Firms Good Investment Risks?' *Management Review* **64**, 18-24.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Venkatraman, N. and V. Ramanujam: 1987, 'Measurement of Business Economic Performance: An Examination of Method Convergence', *Journal of Management* **13**, 109-122.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Waddock, S. A. and S. B. Graves: 1997, 'The Corporate Social Performance-financial Performance Link', *Strategic Management Journal* **18**, 303-319.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Wartick, S. L.: 1988, 'How Issues Management Contributes to Corporate Performance', *Business Forum* **13**, 16-22.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wartick, S. L. and P. L. Cochran: 1985, 'The Evolution of the Corporate Social Performance Model', *Academy of Management Review* **10**, 758-769.

[Google Scholar](#)

Webb, E. J., D. Campbell, R. Schwartz, L. Sechrest and J. Grove: 1981, *Nonreactive Measures in the Social Sciences* (Houghton Mifflin, Boston).

[Google Scholar](#)

Williamson, O. E.: 1975, *Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications* (Free Press, New York).

[Google Scholar](#)

*Wiseman, J.: 1982, 'An Evaluation of Environmental Disclosures Made in Corporate Annual Reports', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **7**, 53-63.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Wokutch, R. E. and B. A. Spencer: 1987, 'Corporate Sinners and Saints: The Effects of Philanthropic and Illegal Activity on Organizational Performance', *California Management Review* **29**, 62-77.

[Google Scholar](#)

*Wolfe, R.: 1991, 'The Use of Content Analysis to Assess Corporate Social Responsibility', in James E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 12 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 281-307.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wood, D. J.: 1991, 'Corporate Social Performance Revisited', *Academy of Management Review* **16**, 691-718.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wood, D. J.: 1995, 'The Fortune Database as a CSP Measure', *Business & Society* **34**, 197-198.

[Google Scholar](#)

Wood, D. J. and R. E. Jones: 1995, 'Stakeholder Mismatching: A Theoretical Problem in Empirical Research on Corporate Social Performance', *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis* **3**, 229-267.

[Google Scholar](#)

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Australian Graduate School of Management, Organisational Behaviour Cluster, Sydney, NSW, 2052, Australia

Marc Orlitzky

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Orlitzky, M. Does Firm Size Comfound the Relationship Between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance?. *Journal of Business Ethics* **33**, 167–180 (2001).

<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017516826427>

Issue date

September 2001

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017516826427>

<u>confounding variable</u>	<u>corporate social performance</u>	<u>firm financial performance</u>	<u>firm size</u>	
<u>measurement error</u>	<u>meta-analysis</u>	<u>path analysis</u>	<u>reliability coefficient</u>	<u>sampling error</u>
<u>study artifacts</u>				

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research