

[Home](#) > [Journal of Business Ethics](#) > [Article](#)

Does Firm Size Comfound the Relationship Between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance?


Published: September 2001

Volume 33, pages 167–180 (2001) [Cite this article](#)[Save article](#)[View saved research](#) >[Journal of Business Ethics](#)[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →Marc Orlitzky¹[5768](#) Accesses [351](#) Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

There has been some theoretical and empirical debate that the positive relationship between corporate social performance (CSP) and firm financial performance (FFP) is spurious and in fact caused by a third factor, namely large firm size. This study examines this question by integrating three meta-analyses of more than two decades of research on (1) CSP and FFP, (2) firm size and CSP, and (3) firm size and FFP into one path-analytic model. The present study does not confirm size as a third factor which would confound the relationship between CSP and FFP. That is, even if firm size is controlled for across studies (comprising, on

average, over 15 000 observations), CSP and FFP remain positively correlated, showing a "true-score" corrected path coefficient p of 0.37.

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.

Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

Subscribe and save

Springer+

from €37.37 /Month

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

[View plans](#) →

Buy Now



[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)

Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others



Financial and corporate social

The Complexity Turn to

[Financial and corporate social performance in the UK listed firms: the relevance of non-linearity and lag effects](#)

Article | 19 February 2018

[Corporate social responsibility: How much is enough? A higher dimension perspective of the relationship...](#)

Article | 17 December 2020

[The Complexity Turn to Modeling Firm Heterogeneity in Corporate Social and Financial Performance](#)

Chapter | © 2017

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Analysis](#)

[Business Ethics](#)

[Complement](#)

[Corporate Finance](#)

[Corporate Social Responsibility](#)

[Reasoning](#)

References

Aldrich, H. E. and J. Pfeffer: 1976, 'Environments of Organizations', in A. Inkeles (ed.), *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol. 2 (Annual Reviews, Palo Alto, CA), pp. 79–105.

*Alexander, G. J. and R. A. Buchholz: 1978, 'Corporate Social Performance and Stock Market Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **21**, 479–486.

*Anderson, J. C. and A. W. Frankle: 1980, 'Voluntary Social Reporting: An Iso-beta Portfolio Analysis', *Accounting Review* **55**, 467–479.

Aupperle, K. E., A. B. Carroll and J. D. Hatfield: 1985, 'An Empirical Investigation of the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Profitability', *Academy of Management Journal* **28**, 446–463.

Aupperle, K. E., F. B. Simmons and W. Acar: 1990, August, *An Empirical Investigation into How Entrepreneurs View Their Social Responsibilities*. Paper presented at the Academy of Management meetings, San Francisco, CA.

*Belkaoui, A.: 1976, 'The Impact of the Disclosure of the Environmental Effects of Organizational Behavior on the Market', *Financial Management* **5**, 26-31.

*Blackburn, V. L., M. Doran and C. B. Shrader: 1994, 'Investigating the Dimensions of Social Responsibility and the Consequences for Corporate Financial Performance', *Journal of Managerial Issues* **6**(2), 195-212.

*Bowman, E. H.: 1976, 'Strategy and the Weather', *Sloan Management Review* **17**, 49-58.

*Bowman, E. H.: 1978, 'Strategy, Annual Reports, and Alchemy', *California Management Review* **20**, 64-71.

*Bowman, E. H. and M. Haire: 1975, 'A Strategic Posture Toward Corporate Social Responsibility', *California Management Review* **18**, 49-58.

*Bragdon, J. H., Jr. and J. A. T. Marlin: 1972, 'Is Pollution Profitable?' *Risk Management* **19**, 9-18.

*Brown, B. and S. Perry: 1994, 'Removing the Financial Performance Halo from Fortune's "Most Admired Companies"', *Academy of Management Journal* **37**, 1346-1359.

Burke, L., J. M. Logsdon, W. Mitchell, M. Reiner and D. Vogel: 1986, 'Corporate Community Involvement in the San Francisco Bay Area', *California Management Review* **28**(3), 122-141.

Capon, N., J. U. Farley and S. Hoenig: Oct. 1990, 'Determinants of Financial Performance: A Meta-Analysis', *Management Science* **36**, 1143-1159.

Carroll, A. B.: 1979, 'A Three-dimensional Model of Corporate Performance', *Academy of Management Review* **4**, 497-505.

*Chen, K. H. and R. W. Metcalf: 1980, 'The Relationship between Pollution Control Record and Financial Indicators Revisited', *Accounting Review* **55**(1), 168-177.

Clarkson, M. B. E.: 1995, 'A Stakeholder Framework for Analyzing and Evaluating Corporate Social Performance', *Academy of Management Review* **20**, 92-117.

*Cochran, P. L. and R. A. Wood: 1984, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **27**, 42-56.

*Conine, T. E. and G. P. Madden: 1987, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Investment Value: The Expectational Relationship', in W. D. Guth (ed.), *Handbook of Business Strategy 1986/1987 Yearbook* (Warren, Gorham, & Lamont, Boston), pp. 18-1 to 18-9.

Cook, T. D. and D. T. Campbell: 1979, *Quasi-Experimentation: Design & Analysis Issues for Field Settings* (Houghton Mifflin, Boston, MA).

Cooper, H. M.: 1989, *Integrating Research: A Guide for Literature Reviews*, 2nd ed. (Sage, Newbury Park, CA).

*Cowen, S. S., L. B. Ferreri and L. D. Parker: 1987, 'The Impact of Corporate Characteristics on Social Responsibility Disclosure: A Typology and Frequency-based Analysis. Accounting', *Organizations and Society* **12**(2), 111-122.

Cronbach, L. J., G. C. Gleser and N. Rajaratnam: 1963, 'Theory of Generalizability: A Liberalization of Reliability Theory', *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* **16**, 137-173.

Datta, D. and V. K. Narayanan: 1989, 'A Metaanalytic Review of the Concentration-performance Relationship: Aggregating Findings in Strategic Management', *Journal of Management* **15**(3), 469-483.

*Davidson, W. N. III and D. L. Worrell: 1992, 'Research Notes and Communications: The Effect of Product Recall Announcements on Shareholder Wealth', *Strategic Management Journal* **13**, 467-473.

Donaldson, T. and L. E. Preston: 1995, 'The Stakeholder Theory of the Corporation: Concepts, Evidence, and Implications', *Academy of Management Review* **20**, 65-91.

*Dooley, R. S. and L. D. Lerner: 1994, 'Pollution, Profits, and Stakeholders: The Constraining Effect of Economic Performance on CEO Concern With Stakeholder Expectations', *Journal of Business Ethics* **13**, 701-711.

*Fogler, H. R. and F. Nutt: 1975, 'A Note on Social Responsibility and Stock Valuation', *Academy of Management Journal* **18**, 155-160.

*Fombrun, C. and M. Shanley: 1990, 'What's in a Name? Reputation Building and Corporate Strategy', *Academy of Management Journal* **33**, 233-258.

*Freedman, M. and B. Jaggi: 1982, 'Pollution Disclosures, Pollution Performance and Economic Performance', *Omega: The International Journal of Management Science* **10**, 167-176.

*Freedman, M. and B. Jaggi: 1986, 'An Analysis of the Impact of Corporate Pollution Disclosures Included in Annual Financial Statements on Investors' Decisions', *Advances in Public Interest Accounting* **1**, 192-212.

Freeman, R. E.: 1984, *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach* (Pitman, Marshfield, MA).

Freeman, R. E. and W. M. Evan: 1990, 'Corporate Governance: A Stakeholder Interpretation', *Journal of Behavioral Economics* **19**(4), 337-359.

Gooding, R. Z. and J. A. Wagner, III: 1985, 'A Metaanalytic Review of the Relationship between Size and Performance: The Productivity and Efficiency of Organizations and their Subunits', *Administrative Science Quarterly* **30**, 462-481.

*Goodstein, J. D.: 1992, 'Small Business and Corporate Social Performance: An Empirical Exploration of Small Business Involvement in Employer Supported Child Care', in J. E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy: Markets, Politics, and Social Performance*, vol. 13 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 141-158.

*Graves, S. B. and S. A. Waddock: 1994, 'Institutional Owners and Corporate Social Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **37**, 1034-1046.

*Greening, D. W.: 1995, 'Conservation Strategies, Firm Performance, and Corporate Reputation in the U.S. Electric Utility Industry', *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy, Supplement 1* (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 345-368.

*Griffin, J. J. and J. F. Mahon: 1997, 'The Corporate Social Performance and Corporate Financial Performance Debate: Twenty-five Years of Incomparable Research', *Business & Society* **36**, 5-31.

*Hansen, G. S. and B. Wernerfelt: 1989, 'Determinants of Firm Performance: The Relative Importance of Economic and Organizational Factors', *Strategic Management Journal* **10**, 399-411.

*Heinze, D. C.: 1976, 'Financial Correlates of a Social Involvement Measure', *Akron Business and Economic Review* **7**, 48-51.

*Herremans, I. M., P. Akathaporn and M. McInnes: 1993, 'An Investigation of Corporate Social Responsibility Reputation and Economic Performance', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **18**, 587-604.

Hill, C. W. L. and T. M. Jones: 1992, 'Stakeholderagency Theory', *Journal of Management Studies* **29**, 131-154.

Hunter, J. E. and F. L. Schmidt: 1990, *Methods of Meta-analysis: Correcting Errors and Bias in Research Findings* (Sage, Newbury Park, CA).

*Ingram, R. W.: 1978, 'An Investigation of the Information Content of (Certain) Social Responsibility Disclosures', *Journal of Accounting Research* **16**, 270-285.

*Ingram, R. W. and K. B. Frazier: 1980, 'Environmental Performance and Corporate Disclosure', *Journal of Accounting Research* **18**, 614-622.

*Jacobson, R.: 1987, 'The Validity of ROI as a Measure of Business Performance', *American Economic Review* **77**, 470-478.

Jones, T. M.: 1995, 'Instrumental Stakeholder Theory: A Synthesis of Ethics and Economics', *Academy of Management Review* **20**, 404-437.

Kayande, U. and M. Bhargava: 1994, 'An Examination of Temporal Patterns in Metaanalysis', *Marketing Letters* **5**(2), 141-151.

*Kedia, B. L. and E. C. Kuntz: 1981, 'The Context of Social Performance: An

Empirical Study of Texas Banks', in L. E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 3 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 133-154.

Kimberly, J. R.: 1976, 'Organizational Size and the Structuralist Perspective: A Review, Critique, and Proposal', *Administrative Science Quarterly* **21**, 571-597.

*Levy, F. K. and G. M. Shatto: 1980, 'Social Responsibility in Large Electric Utility Firms: The Case for Philanthropy', in L. E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 2 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 237-249.

*Long, W. F. and D. J. Ravenscraft: 1984, 'The Misuse of Accounting Rates of Return: Comment', *American Economic Review* **74**, 494-501.

*Marcus, A. A. and R. S. Goodman: 1986, 'Compliance and Performance: Toward a Contingency Theory', in L. E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 8 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 193-221.

*McGuire, J. B., A. Sundgren and T. Schneeweis: 1988, 'Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Financial Performance', *Academy of Management Journal* **31**, 854-872.

Mueller, H.: 1969, 'The Policy of the European Coal and Steel Community Toward Mergers and Agreements by Steel Companies', *Antitrust Bulletin* **14** (Summer), 413-448.

*Newgren, K. E., A. A. Rasher, M. E. LaRoe and M. R. Szabo: 1985, 'Environmental Assessment and Corporate Performance: A Longitudinal Analysis Using a Market-determined Performance Measure', in Lee E. Preston (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 7 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 153-164.

*O'Neill, H. M., C. B. Saunders and A. D. McCarthy: 1989, 'Board Members, Corporate Social Responsiveness and Profitability: Are Tradeoffs Necessary?' *Journal of Business Ethics* **8**, 353-357.

Orlitzky, M.: 1998, *A Meta-analysis of the Relationship between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; UMI no. AAT 9904332; DAI-A 59/09, p. 3527, March 1999.

*Patten, D. M.: 1990, 'The Market Reaction to Social Responsibility Disclosures: The Case of the Sullivan Principles Signings', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **15**, 575-587.

*Pava, M. L. and J. Krausz: 1995, *Corporate Responsibility and Financial Performance: The Paradox of Social Cost* (Quorum, Westport, CT).

Pfeffer, J. and G. R. Salancik: 1978, *The External Control of Organizations* (Harper & Row, New York).

*Pinkston, T. S. and A. B. Carroll: 1993, 'An Investigation of the Relationship between Organizational Size and Corporate Social Performance', *IABS Proceedings*, 109-114.

*Preston, L. E.: 1978, 'Analyzing Corporate Social Performance: Methods and Results', *Journal of Contemporary Business* **7**, 135-150.

Price, J. L. and C. W. Mueller: 1986, *Handbook of Organizational Measurement*, 2nd ed. (Pitman, Marshfield, MA).

*Reimann, B. C.: 1975, 'Organizational Effectiveness and Management's Public Values: A Canonical Analysis', *Academy of Management Journal* **18**, 224-241.

*Riahi-Belkaoui, A.: 1991, 'Organizational Effectiveness, Social Performance and Economic Performance', in J. E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 12 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 143-153.

*Roberts, R. W.: 1992, 'Determinants of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure: An Application of Stakeholder Theory', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **17**(6), 595-612.

*Russo, M. V. and P. A. Fouts: 1997, 'A Resourcebased Perspective on Corporate Environmental Performance and Profitability', *Academy of Management Journal* **40**, 534-559.

Schmidt, F. L., K. Law, J. E. Hunter and H. R. Rothstein: 1993, 'Refinements in Validity Generalization Methods: Implications for the Situational Specificity Hypothesis', *Journal of Applied Psychology* **78**, 3-12.

*Shane, P. B. and B. H. Spicer: 1983, 'Market Response to Environmental Information Produced Outside the Firm', *Accounting Review*, 58, 521-538.

*Sharfman, M.: 1996, 'A Concurrent Validity Study of the KLD Social Performance Ratings Data', *Journal of Business Ethics* **15**, 287-296.

Stanford, R. E.: 1980, 'The Effects of Promotion by Seniority in Growth-constrained Organizations', *Management Science* **26**, 680-693.

*Simerly, R. L.: 1994, 'Corporate Social Performance and Firms' Financial Performance: An Alternative Perspective', *Psychological Reports* **75**, 1091-1103.

*Simerly, R. L.: 1995, 'Institutional Ownership, Corporate Social Performance,

and Firms' Financial Performance', *Psychological Reports* **77**, 515-525.

*Spencer, B. A. and S. G. Taylor: 1987, 'A Within and Between Analysis of the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance', *Akron Business and Economic Review* **18**, 7-18.

*Spicer, B. H.: 1978, 'Investors, Corporate Social Performance and Information Disclosure: An Empirical Study', *Accounting Review* **53**, 94-111.

Stanwick, P. A. and S. D. Stanwick.: 1998, 'The Relationship between Corporate Social Performance, and Organizational Size, Financial Performance, and Environmental Performance: An Empirical Examination', *Journal of Business Ethics* **17**, 195-204.

*Starik, M.: 1990, *Stakeholder Management and Firm Performance: Reputation and Financial Relationships to U.S. Electric Utility Consumer-related Strategies*. University of Georgia, Athens. Unpublished doctoral dissertation.

Starik, M.: 1995, 'Should Trees Have Managerial Standing? Toward Stakeholder Status for Nonhuman Nature', *Journal of Business Ethics* **14**, 207-217.

Starik, M. and A. B. Carroll: 1991, 'In Search of Beneficence: Reflections on the Connection between Firm Social and Financial Performance', in K. Paul (ed.), *Contemporary Issues in Business and Society in the United States and Abroad* (Edwin Mellen Press, New York), pp. 79-108.

*Sturdivant, F. D. and J. L. Ginter: 1977, 'Corporate Social Responsiveness: Management Attitudes and Economic Performance', *California Management Review* **19**, 30-39.

Thompson, J. D.: 1967, *Organizations in Action* (McGraw-Hill, New York).

Traub, R. E.: 1994, *Reliability for the Social Sciences: Theory and Applications*, Vol. 3 (Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA).

*Trotman, K. T. and G. W. Bradley: 1981, 'Associations between Social Responsibility Disclosure and Characteristics of Companies', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **6**(4), 355-362.

*Turban, D. B. and D. W. Greening.: 1997, 'Corporate Social Performance and Organizational Attractiveness to Prospective Employees', *Academy of Management Review* **40**, 658-672.

Ullmann, A.: 1985, 'Data in Search of a Theory: A Critical Examination of the Relationship Among Social Performance, Social Disclosure, and Economic Performance', *Academy of Management Review* **10**, 540-577.

*Useem, M.: 1991, 'Organizational and Managerial Factors in the Shaping of Corporate Social and Political Action', in J. E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 12 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 63-92.

Vance, S.: 1975, 'Are Socially Responsible Firms Good Investment Risks?' *Management Review* **64**, 18-24.

*Venkatraman, N. and V. Ramanujam: 1987, 'Measurement of Business Economic Performance: An Examination of Method Convergence', *Journal of Management* **13**, 109-122.

*Waddock, S. A. and S. B. Graves: 1997, 'The Corporate Social Performance-financial Performance Link', *Strategic Management Journal* **18**, 303-319.

*Wartick, S. L.: 1988, 'How Issues Management Contributes to Corporate Performance', *Business Forum* **13**, 16-22.

Wartick, S. L. and P. L. Cochran: 1985, 'The Evolution of the Corporate Social Performance Model', *Academy of Management Review* **10**, 758-769.

Webb, E. J., D. Campbell, R. Schwartz, L. Sechrest and J. Grove: 1981, *Nonreactive Measures in the Social Sciences* (Houghton Mifflin, Boston).

Williamson, O. E.: 1975, *Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications* (Free Press, New York).

*Wiseman, J.: 1982, 'An Evaluation of Environmental Disclosures Made in Corporate Annual Reports', *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **7**, 53-63.

*Wokutch, R. E. and B. A. Spencer: 1987, 'Corporate Sinners and Saints: The Effects of Philanthropic and Illegal Activity on Organizational Performance', *California Management Review* **29**, 62-77.

*Wolfe, R.: 1991, 'The Use of Content Analysis to Assess Corporate Social Responsibility', in James E. Post (ed.), *Research in Corporate Social Performance and Policy*, vol. 12 (JAI Press, Greenwich, CT), pp. 281-307.

Wood, D. J.: 1991, 'Corporate Social Performance Revisited', *Academy of Management Review* **16**, 691-718.

Wood, D. J.: 1995, 'The Fortune Database as a CSP Measure', *Business & Society* **34**, 197-198.

Wood, D. J. and R. E. Jones: 1995, 'Stakeholder Mismatching: A Theoretical

Problem in Empirical Research on Corporate Social Performance', *The International Journal of Organizational Analysis* **3**, 229–267.

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Australian Graduate School of Management, Organisational Behaviour Cluster, Sydney, NSW, 2052, Australia

Marc Orlitzky

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Orlitzky, M. Does Firm Size Comfound the Relationship Between Corporate Social Performance and Firm Financial Performance?. *Journal of Business Ethics* **33**, 167–180 (2001).

<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017516826427>

Issue date

September 2001

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017516826427>

[confounding variable](#)

[corporate social performance](#)

[firm financial performance](#)

[firm size](#)

[measurement error](#)

[meta-analysis](#)

[path analysis](#)

[reliability coefficient](#)

[sampling error](#)

[study artifacts](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research