Return-based classification of absolute return funds

Original Article | Published: 07 May 2015

Volume 16, pages 117–130, (2015) Cite this article

Journal of Asset Management

Aims and scope →

Submit manuscript →

Philipp Gerlach[1] & Raimond Maurer

Abstract

We apply a return-based classification approach on a sample of absolute return funds registered for sale in Europe. The classification process results in eight groups with specific risk and return profiles. Each group can be characterized by two dimensions of an underlying investment style: asset allocation and trading strategy. While the returns of one group are largely determined by the asset allocation, the returns of the seven other groups are driven by different trading strategies. Our estimated classification explains 20 per cent of the in-sample and 13 per cent of the out-of-sample cross-sectional return variation, which is superior to existing approaches.

Similar content being viewed by others

Excel-Based Simulator for a Better Decision Making in a Financial Market

Chapter | © 2024



A century of asset allocation crash risk

Article | 02 April 2024



A sparse enhanced indexation model with chance and cardinality constraints

Article | 25 March 2017

Notes

1. Data source: Lipper, a Thomson Reuters Company.

2. The classification algorithm requires a complete returns time-series for each fund. A sample size of 3 years is a favorable tradeoff between the number of funds that enter the classification and the return history. Despite the relatively small time span of 36 months, the monthly returns of the various asset classes show substantial fluctuations.

3. The χ^2 test could be sensitive to departures from normality (Brown and Goetzmann, 1997). In our study, the skewness (kurtosis) of the heteroskedasticity-adjusted residuals depending on K are in the range of -0.05 to -0.12 (3.7 and 4.7), indicating that the χ^2 test is well specified.

References

Brown, S.J. and Goetzmann, W.N. (1997) Mutual fund styles. Journal of Financial Economics 43 (3): 373–399.

Article Google Scholar

Brown, S.J. and Goetzmann, W.N. (2003) Hedge funds with style. Journal of Portfolio Management 29 (2): 101–112.

Article Google Scholar

Article Google Scholar

Clifford, C., Jordan, B. and Riley, T. (2013) Do absolute-return mutual funds have absolute returns? Journal of Investing 22 (4): 23–40.

Article Google Scholar

European Parliament and European Council (1985) Directive 85/611/EEC of 20 December 1985 on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS). Official Journal of the European Union 28(L 375): 3–18.

European Parliament and European Council (2007) Directive 2007/16/EC of 19 March 2007 implementing council directive 85/611/EEC on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) as regards the clarification of certain definitions. Official Journal of the European Union 50(L 79): 11–19.

European Parliament and European Council (2009) Directive 2009/65/EC of the European parliament and of the council of 13 July 2009 on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS). Official Journal of the European Union 52(L 302): 32–96.

Fung, W. and Hsieh, D.A. (1997) Empirical characteristics of dynamic trading strategies: The case of hedge funds. The Review of Financial Studies 10 (2): 275–302.

Article Google Scholar

Gruber, M.J. (2001) Identifying the risk structure of mutual fund returns. European Financial Management 7 (2): 147–159.

Article Google Scholar

Jain, A.K. (2010) Data clustering: 50 years beyond K-means. Pattern Recognition Letters 31 (8): 651–666.

Article Google Scholar

Lipper (2012) Lipper global classification, http://www.lipperweb.com/docs/Research/Methodology/Lipper_Global_Classifications_Definitions2012.pdf, accessed 26 May 2013.

Lochmüller, R. (2008) Fünf Jahre Absolute-Return-Strategien in Deutschland – eine Qualitätsanalyse. Zeitschrift für das gesamte Kreditwesen 61 (16): 782–784.

Google Scholar

Pojarliev, M. and Levich, R.M. (2014) Evaluating absolute return managers. Financial Markets and Portfolio Management 28 (1): 95–103.

Article Google Scholar

Quandt, R.E. (1960) Tests of the hypothesis that a linear regression system obeys two separate regimes. Journal of the American Statistical Association 55 (290): 324–330.

Article Google Scholar

Sharpe, W.F. (1992) Asset allocation: Management style and performance measurement. Journal of Portfolio Management 18 (2): 7–19.

Article Google Scholar

Steinley, D. (2006) K-means clustering: A half-century synthesis. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology 59 (1): 1–34.

Article Google Scholar

Steinley, D. and Brusco, M.J. (2007) Initializing k-means batch clustering: A critical evaluation of several techniques. Journal of Classification 24 (1): 99–121.

Article Google Scholar

Waring, M.B. and Siegel, L.B. (2006) The myth of the absolute-return investor. Financial Analysts Journal 62 (2): 14–21.

Article Google Scholar

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for research support provided by the German Investment and Asset Management Association (BVI). Opinions and errors are solely those of the authors and not of the institutions with whom the authors are affiliated.

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Finance Department, Goethe University, Grueneburgplatz 1 (Uni-PF. H 23), Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Philipp Gerlach

Additional information

[1]research assistant at the Chair of Investment, Portfolio Management and Pension Finance at the Finance Department of the Goethe University Frankfurt. His main research interests focus on style analysis and style classification of mutual funds.

Rights and permissions

Reprints and permissions

About this article

Cite this article

Gerlach, P., Maurer, R. Return-based classification of absolute return funds. *J Asset Manag* **16**, 117–130 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1057/jam.2015.9

Received	Revised	Published
28 February 2015	28 February 2015	07 May 2015

Issue date
01 March 2015
DOI
https://doi.org/10.1057/jam.2015.9

Keywords

absolute return funds | return-based classification | style analysis

Search

Search by keyword or author

Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research