

[Home](#) > [Trust Management](#) > Conference paper

Risk Models for Trust-Based Access Control(TBAC)

Conference paper

pp 364–371 | [Cite this conference paper](#)



Trust Management

(iTrust 2005)

[Nathan Dimmock](#), [Jean Bacon](#), [David Ingram](#) & [Ken Moody](#)

Part of the book series: [Lecture Notes in Computer Science](#) ((LNISA, volume 3477))

Included in the following conference series:
[International Conference on Trust Management](#)

2249 Accesses 48 Citations

Abstract

The importance of risk in trust-based systems is well established. This paper presents a novel model of risk and decision-making based on economic theory. Use of the model is illustrated by way of a collaborative spam detection application.

Access this chapter


Log in via an institution →

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Preview

Unable to display preview. [Download preview PDF.](#)

Similar content being viewed by others



Decision-making under risk: when is utility-maximization equivalent to risk-minimization?

Article | 28 December 2023



Bc-TBAC: A Blockchain Based-Trust Model for Access Control in Multi-Agent Systems

Chapter | © 2025



A Correct-by-Construction Model for Request-Based Access Control

Chapter | © 2025

Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Information Model](#)

[IT Risk Management](#)

[Principles and Models of Security](#)

[Risk Theory](#)

[Risk Management](#)

[Security Science and Technology](#)

[Propagation Dynamics in Networked Systems](#)

References

1. Cahill, V., et al.: Using trust for secure collaboration in uncertain environments. IEEE Pervasive Computing 2, 52-61 (2003)

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

2. Dimmock, N.: How much is 'enough'? Risk in trust-based access control. In: IEEE International Workshops on Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprises — Enterprise Security, pp. 281-282 (2003)

[Google Scholar](#)

3. Knight, F.H.: Risk, Uncertainty, and Profit. Library of economics and liberty, Hart, Schaffner & Marx edn., September 8. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston (1921)

[Google Scholar](#)

4. Hirshleifer, J., Riley, J.G.: The analytics of uncertainty and information. In: Cambridge surveys of economic literature. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)

[Google Scholar](#)

5. von Neumann, J., Morgenstern, O.: Theory of Games and Economic Behavior, 2nd edn. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1947)

[Google Scholar](#)

6. Dimmock, N., Belokosztolszki, A., Eysers, D., Bacon, J., Moody, K.: Using trust and risk in role-based access control policies. In: Proceedings of Symposium on Access Control Models and Technologies. ACM, New York (2004)

[Google Scholar](#)

7. Machina, M.J.: Choice under uncertainty: Problems solved and unsolved. The Journal of Economic Perspectives 1, 121-154 (1987)

[Google Scholar](#)

8. Simon, H.A.: Models of bounded rationality, vol. 1. MIT Press, Cambridge (1982)

[Google Scholar](#)

9. Savage, L.J.: The foundations of statistics, 2nd edn. Dover, New York (1972)

[MATH](#) [Google Scholar](#)

10. Carbone, M., Dimmock, N., Krukow, K., Nielsen, M.: Revised computational trust model. EU IST-FET Project Deliverable (2004)

[Google Scholar](#)

11. Dimmock, N., Maddison, I.: Peer-to-peer collaborative spam detection. ACM Crossroads 11 (2004)

[Google Scholar](#)

12. cmeclax: Nilsimsa codes (2004), <http://ixazon.dynip.com/~cmeclax/nilsimsa.html> (accessed November 22, 2004 17:30 UTC)

13. Jøsang, A.: A logic for uncertain probabilities. International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems 9 (2001)

[Google Scholar](#)

14. Abdul-Rahman, A., Hailes, S.: Supporting trust in virtual communities. In: Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences 33, pp. 1769-1777 (2000)

15. Douceur, J.R.: The sybil attack. In: Druschel, P., Kaashoek, M.F., Rowstron, A. (eds.) IPTPS 2002. LNCS, vol. 2429, pp. 251–260. Springer, Heidelberg (2002)

[Chapter](#) [Google Scholar](#)

16. Jøsang, A., Presti, S.L.: Analysing the relationship between trust and risk. In: Jensen, C., Poslad, S., Dimitrakos, T. (eds.) iTrust 2004. LNCS, vol. 2995, pp. 135–145. Springer, Heidelberg (2004)

[Chapter](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

**Computer Laboratory, University of Cambridge, JJ Thomson Ave,
Cambridge, CB3 0FD, UK**

Nathan Dimmock, Jean Bacon, David Ingram & Ken Moody

Editor information

Editors and Affiliations

**Department of Telematics, Norwegian University of Science and
Technology (NTNU), N-7491, Trondheim, Norway**

Peter Herrmann

INRIA-Rocquencourt, Domaine de Voluceau, 78153, Le Chesnay, France

Valérie Issarny

**Department of Computing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
HungHom, Kowloon, Hong Kong**

Simon Shiu

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

Copyright information

© 2005 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

About this paper

Cite this paper

Dimmock, N., Bacon, J., Ingram, D., Moody, K. (2005). Risk Models for Trust-Based Access Control(TBAC). In: Herrmann, P., Issarny, V., Shiu, S. (eds) Trust Management. iTrust 2005. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 3477. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/11429760_25

[.RIS↓](#) [.ENW↓](#) [.BIB↓](#)

DOI	Publisher Name	Print ISBN
https://doi.org/10.1007/11429760_25	Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg	978-3-540-26042-4

Online ISBN	eBook Packages
978-3-540-32040-1	Computer Science
	Computer Science (R0)
	Springer Nature Proceedings
	Computer Science

Keywords

[Access Control](#)

[Expected Utility Theory](#)

[Access Control Policy](#)

[Access Control Model](#)

[Entity Recognition](#)

These keywords were added by machine and not by the authors. This process is experimental and the keywords may be updated as the learning algorithm improves.

Publish with us

[Policies and ethics](#) 

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research

