


[Home](#) > [Finance](#) > Chapter

Efficient Market Hypothesis

| Chapter

| pp 127–134 | [Cite this chapter](#)

[Finance](#)

[Burton G. Malkiel](#) Part of the book series: [The New Palgrave](#) ((NPA)) 1264 Accesses  39 [Citations](#)

Abstract

A capital market is said to be efficient if it fully and correctly reflects all relevant information in determining security prices. Formally, the market is said to be efficient with respect to some information set, ϕ , if security prices would be unaffected by revealing that information to all participants. Moreover, efficiency with respect to an information set, ϕ , implies that it is impossible to make economic profits by trading on the basis of ϕ .

Log in via an institution →

^ eBook

EUR 24.99

Price includes VAT (Poland)

- Available as PDF
- Read on any device
- Instant download
- Own it forever

Buy eBook →

^ Softcover Book

EUR 32.09

Price includes VAT (Poland)

- Compact, lightweight edition
- Dispatched in 3 to 5 business days
- Free shipping worldwide - [see info](#)

Buy Softcover Book →

Tax calculation will be finalised at checkout

Purchases are for personal use only

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Preview


Unable to display preview. [Download preview PDF.](#)

Similar content being viewed by others




Infrastructure: The Efficient Market Hypothesis

Chapter | © 2014



Mispricing: failure to capture the risk preferences dependent on market states

Article | 26 June 2021



Who Wins: Yoda or Sith? A Proof that Financial Markets Are Seldom Efficient

Chapter | © 2014

Bibliography

Bachelier, L. 1900. *Théorie de la speculation. Annales de l'Ecole normale Supérieure*, 3rd series, 17, 21–86. Trans. by A.J. Boness in *The Random Character of Stock Market Prices*, ed. P.H. Cootner, Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1967

[Google Scholar](#)

Ball, R. 1978. Anomalies in relationships between securities' yields and yield-surrogates. *Journal of Financial Economics* 6(2–3), 103–26, June/September, 1981

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Banz, R. 1981. The relationship between return and market value of common stocks. *Journal of Financial Economics* 9(1), March 3–18.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Basu, S. 1977. Investment performance of common stocks in relation to their price earnings ratios: a test of the efficient markets hypothesis. *Journal of Finance* 32(3), June, 663–82.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Basu, S. 1983. The relationship between earnings' yield, market value and the return of NYSE common stocks: further evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics* 12(1), June, 129–56.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Cornell, B. and Roll, R. 1981. Strategies for pairwise competitions in markets and organizations. *Bell Journal of Economics* 12(1), Spring, 201–13.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Cowles, A. 1933. Can stock market forecasters forecast? *Econometrica* 1(3), July, 309-24.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Cowles, A. and Jones, H. 1937. Some posteriori probabilities in stock market action. *Econometrica* 5(3), July, 280-94.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Dodd, P. 1981. The effect on market value of transactions in the market for corporate control, *Proceedings of Seminar on the Analysis of Security Prices*, CRSP. Chicago: University of Chicago, May.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E. 1965. The behavior of stock market prices. *Journal of Business* 38(1), January, 34-105.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E. and Blume, M. 1966. Filter rules and stock market trading. *Security Prices: A Supplement, Journal of Business* 39(1), January, 226-41.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E., Fisher, L., Jensen, M. and Roll, R. 1969. The adjustment of stock prices to new information. *International Economic Review* 10(1), February, 1-21.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

French, K. 1980. Stock returns and the weekend effect. *Journal of Financial Economics* 8(1), March, 55-69.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Friend, I., Brown, F., Herman, E. and Vickers, D. 1962. *A Study of Mutual Funds*. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.

[Google Scholar](#)

Granger, D. and Morgenstern, O. 1963. Spectral analysis of New York Stock Market prices. *Kyklos* 16, January, 1-27.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Grossman, S. and Stiglitz, J. 1980. On the impossibility of informationally efficient markets. *American Economic Review* 70(3), June, 393-408.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gultekin, M. and Gultekin, N. 1983. Stock market seasonality, international evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics* 12(4), December, 469-81.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Jaffé, J. 1974. The effect of regulation changes on insider trading. *Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science* 5(1), Spring, 93-121.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Jaffé, J. and Westerfield, R. 1984. The week-end effect in common stock returns: the international evidence. Unpublished manuscript, University of Pennsylvania, December.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jensen, M. 1969. Risk, the pricing of capital assets, and the evaluation of investment portfolios. *Journal of Business* 42(2), April, 167-247.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Jensen, M. 1978. Some anomalous evidence regarding market efficiency. *Journal of Financial Economics* 6(2-3), June-September, 95-101.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Keim, D. 1983. Size related anomalies and stock return seasonality: further empirical evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics* 12(1), June, 13-32.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kendall, M. 1953. The analysis of economic time series. Part I: Prices. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 96(1), 11-25.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kleidon, A. 1986. Variance bounds, tests and stock price valuation models. *Journal of Political Economy* 94(5), October, 953-1001.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Kraus, A. and Stoll, H. 1972. Price impacts of block trading on the New York Stock Exchange. *Journal of Finance* 27(3), June, 569-58.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Malkiel, B. 1980. *The Inflation-Beater's Investment Guide*. New York: Norton.

[Google Scholar](#)

Malkiel, B. 1985. *A Random Walk Down Wall Street*. 4th edn, New York: Norton.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mandelbrot, B. 1966. Forecasts of future prices, unbiased markets, and martingale models. *Security Prices: A Supplement, Journal of Business* 39(1),

January, 242-55.

[Google Scholar](#)

Marsh, T. and Merton, R. 1983. Aggregate dividend behavior and its implications for tests of stock market rationality. Working Paper, Sloan School of Management, September.

[Google Scholar](#)

Merton, R. 1980. On estimating the expected return on the market: an exploratory investigation. *Journal of Financial Economics* 8(4), December, 323-61.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Osborne, M. 1959. Brownian motions in the stock market. *Operations Research* 7(2), March/April, 145-73.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Pearson, K. and Rayleigh, Lord. 1905. The problem of the random walk. *Nature* 72, 294, 318, 342.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rendelman, R., Jones, C. and Latané, H. 1982. Empirical anomalies based on unexpected earnings and the importance of risk adjustments. *Journal of Financial Economics* 10(3), November, 269-87.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Roberts, H. 1959. Stock market 'patterns' and financial analysis: methodological suggestions. *Journal of Finance* 14(1), March, 1-10.

[Google Scholar](#)

Roberts, H. 1967. *Statistical versus clinical prediction of the stock market*. Unpublished manuscript, CRSP, Chicago: University of Chicago. May.

[Google Scholar](#)

Roll, R. 1984. Orange juice and weather. *American Economic Review* 74(5), December, 861–80.

[Google Scholar](#)

Samuelson, P. 1965. Proof that properly anticipated prices fluctuate randomly. *Industrial Management Review* 6(2), Spring, 41–9.

[Google Scholar](#)

Scholes, M. 1972. The market for securities: substitution versus price pressure and the effects of information on share prices. *Journal of Business* 45(2), April, 179–211.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Shiller, R.J. 1981. Do stock prices move too much to be justified by subsequent changes in dividends? *American Economic Review* 71(3), June, 421–36.

[Google Scholar](#)

Solnik, B. 1973. Note on the validity of the random walk for European stock prices. *Journal of Finance* 28(5), December, 1151–9.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Thompson, R. 1978. The information content of discounts and premiums on closed-end fund shares. *Journal of Financial Economics* 6(2–3), June–September, 151–86.

Watts, R. 1978. Systematic 'abnormal' returns after quarterly earnings announcements. *Journal of Financial Economics* 6(2-3), June-September, 127-50.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Working, H. 1934. A random difference series for use in the analysis of time series. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 29, March, 11-24.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Editor information

John Eatwell Murray Milgate Peter Newman

Copyright information

© 1989 Palgrave Macmillan, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited

About this chapter

Cite this chapter

Malkiel, B.G. (1989). Efficient Market Hypothesis. In: Eatwell, J., Milgate, M., Newman, P. (eds) Finance. The New Palgrave. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-20213-3_13

[.RIS↓](#) [.ENW↓](#) [.BIB↓](#)

DOI	Publisher Name	Print ISBN
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-20213-3_13	Palgrave Macmillan, London	978-0-333-49535-3

Online ISBN	eBook Packages
	Palgrave Economics & Finance

Publish with us

[Policies and ethics](#) 

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research