


[Home](#) > [Evolutionary Foundations of Economic Science](#) > Chapter

# Matching Mechanism Differences Between Classical and Financial Markets

| Chapter | First Online: 01 January 2014

| pp 101–130 | [Cite this chapter](#)

 [Save chapter](#)

[View saved research](#) >



## Evolutionary Foundations of Economic Science

[Yuji Aruka](#)

 Part of the book series: [Evolutionary Economics and Social Complexity Science](#) ((EESCS, volume 1))

 786 Accesses

## Abstract


The world currently faces a global financial crisis following massive breakdown of the financial sector, at least in part because of deregulation. But what does this mean for economics? We explained in Chap. 1 that the modern financial market differs in many ways from the classical economic idea of a market. A modern financial exchange is a system of heterogeneous interactions, all with different strategies. The participants may no longer be regarded as a homogeneous agent,

subject only to the common rationality principle. Traders' strategies are confined by regulations setting out the complicated rules and customs for auctions. A simultaneous move of ask and bid may be allowed. A strategy employing the market order without specifying the limit order may also be allowed. The market could accept any type of order, whether intelligent or non-intelligent. Non-intelligent agents may even be winners. Behavioral considerations, based on game theory, may be unhelpful or even useless in the market as it truly exists. Actual transaction customs are based not only on institutions but also computer servers. We therefore also need to examine the design of AI-based servers as well as transaction algorithms. This may lead us to re-examine the features of the free market, and in particular the financial one. Over recent years, we have been able to successfully examine a set of features of the market system by developing an AI simulator for the futures stock market, which is called U-Mart. In the light of this work, we will discuss an essential structure for the coordination of supply and demand in the free financial market system.

---

This chapter is an extended version of one presented to the Joint Conference of 2008 Winter Workshop on Economics with Heterogeneous Interacting Agents and The 7th International Conference on Computational Intelligence in Economics and Finance, Taoyuan, Taiwan, Dec 5-7, 2008, titled "Futures stock market pricing by the use of the U-Mart system as an artificial intelligent market simulator." Sects. [4.1.1-4.1.1.1](#) first appeared in Aruka and Koyama (2011)

---

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.

---

**Access this chapter**

**Log in via an institution** →

**Subscribe and save**

- Starting from 10 chapters or articles per month
- Access and download chapters and articles from more than 300k books and 2,500 journals
- Cancel anytime

[View plans](#) →

## Buy Now

### ^ Chapter

**EUR 29.95**

Price includes VAT (Poland)

- Available as PDF
- Read on any device
- Instant download
- Own it forever

[Buy Chapter](#) →

### ^ eBook

**EUR 85.59**

Price includes VAT (Poland)

- Available as EPUB and PDF
- Read on any device
- Instant download
- Own it forever

[Buy eBook](#) →

### ^ Hardcover Book

**EUR 106.99**

Price includes VAT (Poland)

- Durable hardcover edition
- Dispatched in 3 to 5 business days
- Free shipping worldwide - [see info](#)

[Buy Hardcover Book](#) →

Tax calculation will be finalised at checkout

**Purchases are for personal use only**


[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others




### **Conclusion: Inclusive Institutions and the Future of Stock Markets**

Chapter | © 2025



### **Trading and Technology: An Information Systems Course Application**

Chapter | © 2022



### **The Ethics of Financial Market Making and Its Implications for High-Frequency Trading**

Article | Open access  
28 July 2021

## Explore related subjects

Discover the latest articles, books and news in related subjects, suggested using machine learning.

[Capital Markets](#)

[Financial Economics](#)

[Market Psychology](#)

[Market Structure and Economic Design](#)

[Sociology of the Financial Market](#)

[Agent-based Economics](#)

## Notes

1. U-Mart started in 1998 as V-Mart (Virtual Mart), but is now called Unreal Market as an artificial research test bed. The U-Mart Project has just published an English textbook (Shiozawa et al. [2008](#)) as one of the Springer Series on Agent-Based Social Systems. The development of the U-Mart system was mainly engineer-driven (<http://www.u-mart.org/html/index.html>), and is now internationally recognized as a good platform for AI markets. The project has had a policy of publicizing all program sources. Many other reports of AI market simulations provide no information about how to operate the AI. We believe that the results of market simulations by secret sources may be almost worthless.
2. See [http://www.tse.or.jp/english/faq/list/stockprice/p\\_c.html](http://www.tse.or.jp/english/faq/list/stockprice/p_c.html).

3. There are various kinds and qualities of rice, so there were also many types of rice stamps.
4. **The U-Mart Project** publicizes the fundamental default strategies on the site: <http://www.u-mart.org/html/contentsE/sampleagentE.html>. The copyrights of default strategies belong to (c)2000 Rikiya FUKUMOTO (c)2002 U-Mart Project.
5. In the following, the first capital letter “S” means “spot prices”.
6. Given a single market, this may be simultaneous ask and bid.
7. See Fisher ([1930](#), [1974](#)).
8. Our spot time series is adapted from ‘2009-5-25\_2010-1-6.csv’ in [www.src/nativeConfig](http://www.src/nativeConfig) in the **U-Mart ver. 4** system.

## References

---

Arthur WB (2009) The nature of technology. Free Press, New York

Aruka Y, Koyama Y (2011) The matching of interactive agents in the futures stock market and the U-mart experiment. In: Volker C (ed) The evolution of economic theory, essays in honour of Bertram Schefold. Routledge, London, pp 145–167 (Chap. [8](#))

Bidard C, Erreygers G, Parys W (2009) ‘Our daily bread’: Maurice Potron, from Catholicism to mathematical economics. Eur J Hist Econ Thought 16(1):123–154

Fisher I (1930, 1974) Theory of interest. Augustusm Kelley, Clifton

Frobenius G (1908) Über Matrizen aus positiven Elementen, Sitzungsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., pp 471-476

Frobenius G (1909) Über Matrizen aus positiven Elementen, 2?, Sitzungsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., pp 514-518

Frobenius G (1912) Über Matrizen aus nicht negativen Elementen, Sitzungsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., pp 456-477

Gode DK, Sunder S (1993) Allocative efficiency of markets with zero-intelligence traders: market as a partial substitute for individual rationality. J Polit Econ 101(1):119-137

Kita H (2012) Implementation of standard agent set of U-Mart. Mimeo

Koyama Y (2008) U-Mart as a new generation artificial market. Evol Inst Econ Rev 5(1):53-61

LeBaron B (2006) Agent-based computational finance. In: Tesfatsion L, Judd KL (eds) Handbook of computational economics, vol 2. Agent-based computational economics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp 1187-1272

Morishima M (1984) Economics of industrial economy. Cambridge U.P., Cambridge

Perron O (1907) Zur Theorie der Matrices. Mathematische Annalen 64(2):248-263. doi:[10.1007/BF01449896](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01449896)

Shima J (1994) Osaka Dojima Kome Kaisho Monogatari (The tale of Dojima Rice Stock Exchange). Jiji Press, Tokyo (in Japanese)

Shiozawa Y, Nakajima Y, Matsui H, Koyama Y, Taniguchi K, Hashimoto F (2008) Artificial market experiments with the U-mart system. Springer series on agent based social systems, vol 4. Springer, Tokyo

Sraffa P (1932a) Dr. Hayek on money and capital. Econ J 42:42–53

Sraffa P (1932b) A rejoinder. Econ J 42:249–251

Taniguchi K, Ono I, Mori N (2008) Where and why does the Zaraba method have advantages over the Itayose Method? Comparison of the Zaraba method and the Itayose method by using the U-mart system. Evol Inst Econ Rev 5(1):5–20

## Author information

---

### Authors and Affiliations

**Faculty of Commerce, Chuo University, Hachioiji, Tokyo, Japan**

Yuji Aruka

## Rights and permissions

---

[Reprints and permissions](#)

## Copyright information

---

© 2015 Springer Japan

## About this chapter

---

## Cite this chapter

Aruka, Y. (2015). Matching Mechanism Differences Between Classical and Financial Markets. In: Evolutionary Foundations of Economic Science. Evolutionary Economics and Social Complexity Science, vol 1. Springer, Tokyo. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54844-7\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54844-7_4)

[.RIS↓](#) [.ENW↓](#) [.BIB↓](#)

DOI	Published	Publisher Name
<a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54844-7_4">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54844-7_4</a>	05 July 2014	Springer, Tokyo
Print ISBN	Online ISBN	eBook Packages
978-4-431-54843-0	978-4-431-54844-7	<a href="#">Business and Economics</a> <a href="#">Economics and Finance (R0)</a>

## Keywords

[Future Market](#)

[Future Price](#)

[Spot Price](#)

[Limited Price](#)

[Market Order](#)

*These keywords were added by machine and not by the authors. This process is experimental and the keywords may be updated as the learning algorithm improves.*

## Publish with us

[Policies and ethics](#) 

## Search

Search by keyword or author



## Navigation

**Find a journal**

---

**Publish with us**

---

**Track your research**

---

