

[Home](#) > [Asia Pacific Journal of Management](#) > [Article](#)

Qualified audit reports and costly contracting

| Articles | Published: April 1996

| Volume 13, pages 37–63, (1996) [Cite this article](#)



[Asia Pacific Journal of Management](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →[Yoke-Kai Chan & Terry S. Walter](#) **220** Accesses  **18** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

This paper investigates the financial characteristics of the population of listed Singaporean companies receiving first-time qualified audit reports. It develops and tests hypotheses which take into account the costly contracting implications of a qualification for both the auditor and client. A matched pair design is used as a control. Results show that firms receiving qualified reports are significantly less profitable and liquid and have significantly more debt than the control in the year of qualification. Profitability and liquidity are shown to have declined in the four-year period up to the qualification, while debt levels have increased. These results may partially explain why the general (overseas) no-effect result from share market announcement date studies exists; the qualification per se is a dated signal of financial deterioration that has existed for at least the previous four years. Qualification is also significantly associated with auditor type and ownership of the firm, and is also dependent upon whether or not the firm has revalued its assets or

changed accounting methods. It is more likely that a qualified report will be issued by a “non Big 8” auditor in Singapore to a firm which has a higher proportion of the equity owned by the management. Qualified firms are more likely to have revalued assets and changed accounting methods to increase income than the control group. The strength of the results is also shown to depend on the nature of the qualification. Companies receiving “severe” qualifications (ie going concern, not true and fair, and unable to form an opinion) have far more significant differences than their pairs compared with those firms receiving “moderate” or “other” qualifications.

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.


Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)


Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.


Rent this article via [DeepDyve](#) 

[Institutional subscriptions](#) →


Similar content being viewed by others



Late for a very important date: Transporting Audit Quality. financial reporting and audit implications of late 10-K filings



Across Countries: Returnee CEOs and Audit Fees



External investigations and disciplinary sanctions against auditors: the impact on audit quality

References

1. Antle, R., 1982, The auditor as an economic agent,*Journal of Accounting Research*, 503-527.
2. Ball, R.J. and Foster, G., 1982, Corporate financial reporting: A methodological review of empirical research,*Journal of Accounting Research*, 161-234.
3. Ball, R.J., Walker, R. and Whittred, G., 1979, Audit qualifications and share prices,*Abacus*, June, 23-34.
4. Bowen, R.M., Noreen, E.W. and Lacey, J.M., 1981, Determinants of the corporate decision to capitalize interest,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, August, 151-179.
5. Chow, C.W., 1982, The demand for external auditing: Size, debt and ownership influences,*The Accounting Review*, April, 272-291.
6. Chow, C.W. and Rice, S.J., 1982, Qualified audit opinions and auditor switching,*The Accounting Review*, April, 326-335.
7. Datar, S.M., Feltham, G.A. and Hughes, J.S., 1991, The role of audits and audit quality in valuing new share issues,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 3-49.
8. Dodd, P., Dopuch, N., Holthausen, R. and Leftwich, R., 1984, Qualified audit opinions and stock prices — information content, announcement dates, and

concurrent disclosures,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 3-38.

9. Dopuch N., Holthausen, R.W. and Leftwich, R.W., 1986, Abnormal stock returns associated with media disclosures of "Subject to" qualified audit opinions,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 93-117.
10. Dopuch N., Holthausen, R.W. and Leftwich, R.W., 1987, Predicting audit qualifications with financial and market variables,*The Accounting Review*, July, 431-454.
11. Duke, J.C. and Hunt, H.G., 1990, An empirical examination of debt covenant restrictions and accounting-related debt proxies,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 45-63.
12. Elliott, J.A., 1982, "Subject to" Audit opinions and abnormal security returns — outcomes and ambiguities,*Journal of Accounting Research*, 617-638.
13. Firth, M., 1978, Qualified audit reports: their impact on investment decisions,*The Accounting Review*, July, 642-650.
14. Foster, G., 1986,*Financial Statement Analysis*, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall.
15. Francis, J. and Stokes, D., 1986, Audit prices, product differentiation, and scale economies: Further evidence from the Australian market,*Journal of Accounting Research*, Autumn, 383-393
16. Fried, D. and Schiff, A., 1981, CPA switches and associated market reactions,*The Accounting Review*, April, 326-341.

[Google Scholar](#)

17. Hagerman, R.L., and Zmijewski, M.E., 1979, Some economic determinants of accounting policy choice,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, August, 141-161.
18. Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Singapore, 1977, Disclosure of Accounting Policies, Statement of Accounting Standard 1.
19. Jensen, M. and Meckling, W., 1976, The theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure,*Journal of Financial Economics*, 305-360.
20. Knapp, M.C., 1985, Audit conflict: An empirical study of the perceived ability of auditors to resist management pressure,*The Accounting Review*, April, 202-211.
21. Knapp, M.C. and Elikai, F., 1988, Auditor changes: A note on the policy implications of recent analytical and empirical research,*Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, Winter, 78-86.
22. Koh, H.C., Choo, T.M., Lee, C., and Low, L.C., 1988, Predicting audit opinion: A probit model for SES companies,*Singapore Management Review*, 23-34.
23. Kothari, S.P., Thomas, L., Smith, C.W., and Watts, R.L., 1988, Auditor liability and information disclosure,*Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, 307-339.
24. Lee, M.H., Koh, H.C., and Low, L.C., 1992, Predicting audit opinion: A probit model for private companies,*Singapore Management Review*, 17-25.
25. Mutchler, J.F., 1985, A multivariate analysis of the auditor's going-concern

opinion decision,*Journal of Accounting Research*, Autumn, 668-682.

26. Nelson, J., Ronen, J. and White, L., 1988, Legal liabilities and the market for auditing services,*Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, Summer, 255-285.
27. Ng, D.S. and Stoeckenius, J., 1979, Auditing: Incentives and truthful reporting,*Journal of Accounting Research*, 1-24.
28. Noreen, E.W., 1988, An empirical comparison of probit and OLS regression hypothesis tests,*Journal of Accounting Research*, Spring, 119-133.
29. Press, E.G. and Weintrop, J.B., 1990, Accounting-based constraints in public and private debt agreements,*Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 65-95.
30. Schwartz, K.B., 1982, Accounting changes by corporations facing possible insolvency,*Journal of Accounting, Auditing, and Finance*, Fall, 32-43.
31. Schwartz, K.B. and Menon, K., 1985, Auditor switches by failing firms,*The Accounting Review*, April, 248-261.
32. Shevlin, T. and Whittred, G., 1984, Audit qualifications and share prices: Further evidence,*Australian Journal of Management*, June, 37-52.
33. Smith, V.L., Schatzberg, J. and Waller, W.S., 1987, Experimental economics and auditing,*Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 71-93.
34. Stone, M. and Rasp, J., 1991, Tradeoffs in the choice between logit and OLS for accounting choice studies,*The Accounting Review*, January, 170-187.

35. Titman, S. and Trueman, B., 1986, Information quality and the valuation of new issues, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 159-172.
36. Watts, R.L. and Zimmerman, J.L., 1986, *Positive Accounting Theory*, Englewood Cliffs.
37. Watts, R.L. and Zimmerman, J.L., 1990, Positive accounting theory: A ten year perspective, *The Accounting Review*, January, 131-156.
38. Whittred, G., and Chan, Y. K., 1992, Asset revaluations and the mitigation of underinvestment, *Abacus*, March, 58-74.

Additional information

The authors are Senior Lecturer, School of Accountancy and Business, Nanyang Technological University and Professor, Department of Accounting, University of Sydney, respectively. All correspondence should be addressed to Yoke-Kai Chan, School of Accountancy and Business, Nanyang Technological University, Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798.

This paper has benefited from the comments of an anonymous referee, participants at the Australasian Banking and Finance Conference, the 15th Annual Congress of the European Accounting Association, and at workshops at the Australian Graduate School of Management, the Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology, the Nanyang Technological University and the University of Southern Queensland, and in particular those of Greg Whittred, Steve Taylor, Roger Simnett, Kim Sawyer and Don Stokes. Financial support from the National University of Singapore is gratefully acknowledge. The usual caveats apply.

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Chan, YK., Walter, T.S. Qualified audit reports and costly contracting. *Asia Pacific J Manage* **13**, 37–63 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01739681>

Issue Date

April 1996

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01739681>

Keywords

[Income](#)

[Share Market](#)

[Matched Pair](#)

[Dated Signal](#)

[Financial Characteristic](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research