

[Home](#) > [The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics](#) > [Article](#)

# Commercial Real Estate Valuation: Fundamentals Versus Investor Sentiment

| Published: 17 July 2008

| Volume 38, pages 5–37, (2009) [Cite this article](#)




## [The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →

[Submit manuscript](#) →



[Jim Clayton](#)<sup>1</sup>, [David C. Ling](#) <sup>2</sup> & [Andy Naranjo](#)<sup>2</sup>

 **6711** Accesses  **131** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

## Abstract

This paper investigates the role of fundamentals and investor sentiment in commercial real estate valuation. In real estate markets, heterogeneous properties trade in illiquid, highly segmented and informationally inefficient local markets. Moreover, the inability to short sell private real estate restricts the ability of sophisticated traders to enter the market and eliminate mispricing. These characteristics would seem to render private real estate markets highly susceptible to sentiment-induced mispricing. Using error correction models to carefully model potential lags in the adjustment process, this paper extends previous work on cap rate dynamics by examining the extent to which fundamentals and investor sentiment help to explain the time-series variation in national-level cap rates. We find evidence that investor sentiment impacts pricing,

even after controlling for changes in expected rental growth, equity risk premiums, T-bond yields, and lagged adjustments from long run equilibrium.

 This is a preview of subscription content, [log in via an institution](#)  to check access.

### Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

[Buy article PDF 39,95 €](#)


Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.

Rent this article via [DeepDyve](#) 


[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

### Similar content being viewed by others




#### **Systematic Mispricing: Evidence from Real Estate Markets**

**Article** | 22 January 2022



#### **Asymmetric Patterns of Demand-Supply Mismatch in Real Estate**

**Article** | Open access  
24 March 2021



#### **An Investigation into Sentiment-Induced Institutional Trading Behavior and Asset Pricing in the REI...**

**Article** | 03 October 2014

## Notes

1. Hendershott and MacGregor ([2005a](#), [b](#)) test whether cap rates, and hence

property values, reflect rational projections of future rental growth and expected returns, thereby providing an indirect test of the role of sentiment.

2. Hirshleifer ([2001](#)) and Barberis and Thaler ([2003](#)) provide reviews of the extensive behavioral finance literature.
3. As part of the growing behavioral finance literature, researchers have also begun to carefully explore the impact of “flows” and trading activity on asset prices in public markets. See, for example, Warther ([1995](#)), Edelen and Warner ([2001](#)), Froot et al. ([2001](#)), Brown et al. ([2002](#)), Griffin et al. ([2007](#)), and Fama and French (2007). Clayton ([2003](#)) reviews much of this literature with a focus on the implications for private real estate.
4. Gompers and Lerner ([2000](#)) study the relationship between flow of funds (commitments) into venture capital funds and the valuation of new investments (firms) financed by the venture capital funds. Their findings are consistent with an uninformed demand /sentiment explanation of the link between fund flows and valuations.
5. NOI is assumed to include a reserve for expected capital expenditures and other nonrecurring expenses, such as leasing commissions.
6. State and federal income tax effects also affect property values and, therefore, price/NOI multiples, as may the amount and cost of mortgage financing.
7. Geltner and Mei ([1995](#)) and Plazzi et al. ([2004](#)) both adapt variants of Campbell and Shiller’s ([1998](#)) log-linearized present value model with time-varying discount and “dividend” growth rates to study the relative contributions of time-variation in expected future returns versus property income in property valuation. Both studies conclude that in the short run, property price fluctuations are driven primarily by changes in expected returns and not expected rents.

8. The specification in Eq. 4 uses the results of Eq. 3 to specify the equilibrium cap rate as a function of the discount rate,  $r_t$ , and expected NOI growth,  $g_t$ , but does not impose the exact relationship,  $R_t = r_t - g_t$ , that holds under the constant growth assumption.
9. Several stock market studies find institutions to be informed investors; i.e., “smart money.” See, for example, Chakravarty ([2001](#)), Jones and Lipson ([2004](#)), and Sias et al. ([2006](#)). However, this evidence is tempered by studies that suggest institutions do not outperform individual investors (e.g. Nofsinger and Sias [1999](#), and Kaniel et al. [2005](#)).
10. *Real Estate Report*, Summer 2002.
11. Note that, in theory, cap rate movements are driven by variations in expected net rental income (NOI). We assume that such expectations are highly correlated with expected changes in market rental rates.
12. The Weighted Symmetric test is often recommended over the Dickey-Fuller test because it is more likely to reject the unit root null hypothesis when it is in fact false. That is, the weighted symmetric test has higher power. We also obtain similar results using the Phillips-Perron test. The Phillips-Perron test is a variant of the Dickey-Fuller test that addresses the problem of additional serial correlation in the residuals.
13. The NCREIF property index is comprised of the same class of properties and investors as the RERC survey. The quarterly “constant liquidity” version of the NPI is developed in Fisher, Geltner and Pollakowski (2007). The authors recognize that private, relatively illiquid asset markets adjust through both changes in prices and liquidity; observed transaction prices are conditional on overall market liquidity at the time of sale (i.e., price and liquidity are jointly determined). A “constant liquidity value” of a property is the value

assuming no change in the level of market transaction activity; all adjustment takes place through price. The difference between the constant liquidity and hedonic value index, based on observed transaction prices that implicitly reflect time variation in liquidity, provides a calibration of commercial property liquidity. The TBI, including its constant liquidity version, are available at the MIT Center for Real Estate website.

14. Dokko et al. ([1999](#)) provide an overview of alternative explanations for real estate cycles that includes the potential role of mortgage flows. Pavlov and Wachter ([2006](#)) suggest that the underpricing of the borrowers' put option in non-recourse commercial mortgage loans is at the root of the link between mortgage flows and property values. Riddiough ([2008](#)) argues that the securitization boom of the past 5 years has been accompanied by mispricing mortgage default risk that once again resulted in excessive mortgage flows and a bubble in commercial property prices.
15. Baker and Stein ([2004](#)) develop a theoretical model in which aggregate liquidity acts as an indicator of the relative presence of sentiment-based traders in the market place and therefore the divergence of asset price from fundamental value. Abnormally high aggregate liquidity (high turnover and/or low bid-ask spreads) is evidence of overvaluation and in fact forecasts a downturn in stock prices.
16. The lagged cap rate change was initially included to expand the dynamic adjustment process. However, it was dropped from the analysis because in no specification was its estimated coefficient statistically significant.
17. An alternative approach would be to estimate a structural equation system. However, this would require identifying restriction assumptions and would also be problematic given the non-stationary and cointegrated nature of our data.

18. See, for example, Baker and Stein ([2004](#)). Yu and Yuan ([2007](#)) also find that irrationality is more prevalent in rising markets.
19. The version of the CLN sentiment index used here is the principal component of the sentiment proxies after first orthogonalizing each proxy by regressing it on the three economic fundamental variables.

## References

---

Archer, W. A., & Ling, D. C. (1997). The three dimensions of real estate markets: Linking space, capital, and property markets. *Real Estate Finance Fall*, 7-14.

[Google Scholar](#)

Baker, M., & Stein, J. (2004). Market liquidity as a sentiment indicator. *Journal of Financial Markets* 7, 271-299.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Baker, & M., Wurgler, J. (2006). Investor sentiment and the cross-section of stock returns. *Journal of Finance* 61(4), 1645-1680.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Baker, M., & Wurgler, J. (2007). Investor sentiment in the stock market. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* 21, 129-151.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Barberis, N., Shleifer, A., & Wurgler, J. (2005). Comovement. *Journal of Financial Economics* 75, 283-317.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). Chapter 18: A survey of behavioral finance. In: Constantinides G. M., Harris M., Stulz R. (eds) *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*, pp 1053–1128. vol. 1. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

[Google Scholar](#)

Brown, G., & Cliff, M. (2004). Investor sentiment and the near term stock market. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 11, 1–27.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Brown, G., & Cliff, M. (2005). Investor sentiment and asset valuation. *Journal of Business* 78(2), 405–440.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Brown, S., Goetzmann, W., Hiraki, T., Shiraishi, N., & Watanabe, M. (2002). Investor sentiment in Japanese and U.S. Daily Mutual Fund Flows. Yale Working Paper.

Campbell, J., Shiller, R. (1998). The dividend-price ratio and expectations of future dividends and discount factors. *Review of Financial Studies* 1, 195–228.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Chakravarty, S. (2001). Stealth-trading: Which trader's trades move stock prices? *Journal of Financial Economics* 61, 289–307.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Chen, J., Hudson-Wilson, S., & Nordby, H. (2004). Real estate pricing: Spreads and sensibilities: Why real estate pricing is rational. *Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management* 10, 1–21.

[Google Scholar](#)

Chichernea, D., Miller, N., Fisher, J., Sklarz, M., & White, R. (2008). A cross sectional analysis of cap rates by MSA. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 30(3) (forthcoming).

Clayton, J. (2003). Capital flows and asset values: A review of the literature and exploratory investigation in a real estate context. Homer Hoyt/University of Cincinnati Working Paper.

Clayton, J., MacKinnon, G., & Peng, L. (2008). Time variation of liquidity in the private real estate market: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 30(2) (forthcoming).

De Long, J. B., Shleifer, A., Summers, L. H., & Waldmann, R. J. (1990). Noise trader risk in financial markets. *Journal of Political Economy* 98(4), 703-738.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Dokko, Y., Edelstein, R., Lacayo, A., & Lee, D. (1999). Real estate income and value cycles: A model of market dynamics. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 18(1), 69-96.

[Google Scholar](#)

Downs, A. (2004). Some aspects of the real estate outlook. Retrieved from <http://www.anthonydowns.com>.

Edelen, R. M., & Warner, J. B. (2001). Aggregate price effects of institutional trading: A study of mutual fund flow data and market returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* 59(2), 195-220.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)



Engle, R., & Granger, C. W. J. (1987). Cointegration and error correction: Representation estimation and testing. *Econometrica* 55, 251–276.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E., & French R. (2007). Disagreement, tastes, and asset prices. *Journal of Financial Economics* 83(3), 667–689.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fisher, J., Ling, D. C., & Naranjo, A. (2007). Commercial real estate return cycles: Do capital flows matter? University of Florida/RERI Working 1aper.

Fisher, J., Geltner, D., & Pollakowski H. (2007) A Quarterly Transactions-based Index of Institutional Real Estate Investment Performance and Movements in Supply, and Demand. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 34(1), 5–33.

[Google Scholar](#)

Froot, K. A., O’Connell, P. G. J., & Seasholes, M. S. (2001). The portfolio flows of international investors. *Journal of Financial Economics* 59(2), 151–194.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Geltner, D. M., Miller, N. G., Clayton, J., & Eicholtz P. (2007). *Commercial Real Estate Analysis and Investments*, 2nd edn. South-Western Publishing, Cincinnati, OH.

[Google Scholar](#)

Geltner, D., & Mei J. (1995). The present value model with time-varying discount rates: Implications for commercial property valuation and investment decisions. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 11(2), 119–135.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Gompers, P., & Lerner, J. (2000). Money chasing deals? The impact of fund inflows on private equity valuations. *Journal of Financial Economics* 5, 281–325.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Greene, W. (1993). *Econometric analysis*, 2nd edn. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs.

[Google Scholar](#)

Griffin, J., Nardari, F., & Stulz, R., (2007). Do investors trade more when stocks have performed well? Evidence from 46 countries. *The Review of Financial Studies* 20(3), 905–951.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hamilton, J. D. (1994). *Time series analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hendershott, P. H., & MacGregor, B. (2005a). Investor rationality: Evidence from U.K. Property Capitalization Rates. *Real Estate Economics* 26, 299–322.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Hendershott, P. H., & MacGregor, B. (2005b). Investor rationality: An analysis of NCREIF commercial property data. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 26, 445–475.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hirshleifer, D. (2001). Investor psychology and asset pricing. *Journal of Finance* 56, 1533–1597.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

House, G. C. (2004). Demand for Real Estate: Capital Flows, Motivations, and the Impact of Rising Rates. Institute for Fiduciary Education.

Jones, C. M., & Lipson, M. (2004). Are retail orders different? Working Paper, Columbia University.

Johansen, S. (1988). Statistical Analysis of Cointegrating Vectors. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 12, (2-3), 231-254.

Kaniel, R., Saar, G., Titman, S. (2005). Individual investor sentiment and stock returns. Working Paper, Duke University.

Ling, D. C. (2005). A random walk down main street: Can experts predict returns on commercial real estate. *Journal of Real Estate Research* 27(2), 137-154.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ling, D. C., & Naranjo A. (2003). The dynamics of REIT capital flows and returns. *Real Estate Economics* 31, 405-434.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Ling, D. C., & Naranjo, A. (2006). Dedicated REIT mutual fund flows and REIT performance. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 32(4), 409-433.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

McGough, T., & Tsolacos, S. (2001). Do yields reflect property market fundamentals? Working Paper, City University Business School.

Nofsinger, J. R., & Sias, R. W. (1999). Herding and feedback trading by

institutional and individual investors. *Journal of Finance* 59, 2263–2295.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Pavlov, A. D., & Wachter, S. M. (2006). Underpriced lending and real estate markets. Retrieved at SSRN from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=980298>

Plazzi, A., Torous, W. N., & Valkanov, R. (2004). Expected returns and the expected growth in rents of commercial property. Working Paper, The Anderson School at UCLA.

Plazzi, A., Torous, W. N., & Valkanov, R. (2008). The cross-sectional dispersion of commercial real estate returns and rent growth: time variation and economic fluctuations. *Real Estate Economics* (in press).

Riddiough, T. (2008). On the addictive properties of cheap and easy debt capital. *PREA Quarterly*, Winter issue, 30–37.

Shilling, J. D. (2003). Is there a risk premium puzzle in real estate? *Real Estate Economics* 31(4), 501–525.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Shilling, J. D., Sing, T. F. (2007). Do institutional real estate investors have rational expectations? Working Paper.

Sias, R., Starks, L. T., & Titman, S. (2006). Changes in institutional ownership and stock returns: Assessment and methodology. *Journal of Business* 79, 2869–2910.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Sivitanidou, R., & Sivitanides, P. (1999). Office capitalization rates: Real estate

and capital market influences. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 18(3), 297–322.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Sivitanides, P., Southard, J., Torto, R., & Wheaton, W. (2001). The determinants of appraisal-based capitalization rates. MIT Working Paper.

Warther, V. A. (1995). Aggregate mutual fund flows and security returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* 39, 209–235.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Wheaton, W. (1999). Real estate cycles: Some fundamentals. *Real Estate Economics* 27, 209–230.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Yu, J., & Yuan, Y. (2007). Investor sentiment and the mean-variance relation. Working Paper, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.

## Acknowledgement

---

We thank David Barker, Bob Edelstein, David Geltner, Norm Miller, Tobias Muhlhofer, Larry Souza, Alan Ziobrowski and participants in the Cambridge-Maastricht-MIT Symposium in Boston (2007), the ASSA/AREUEA session in New Orleans (2008), the UC-Irvine Center for Real Estate Winter Symposium on Urban Research (2008), the USC Lusk Center for Real Estate Annual Research Symposium (2008), the Homer Hoyt session in North Palm Beach (2008), and the Georgia State Department of Real Estate research seminar (2008) for helpful comments and suggestions. We also thank the Real Estate Research Institute for providing partial funding for this project and Ben Scheick for excellent research assistance.

# Author information

---

## Authors and Affiliations

**Pension Real Estate Association, 100 Pearl Street, 13th Floor, Hartford, CT, 06103, USA**

Jim Clayton

**Department of Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate, Hough Graduate School of Business, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32611-7168, USA**

David C. Ling & Andy Naranjo

## Corresponding author

Correspondence to [David C. Ling](#).

## Rights and permissions

---

[Reprints and permissions](#)

## About this article

---

### Cite this article

Clayton, J., Ling, D.C. & Naranjo, A. Commercial Real Estate Valuation: Fundamentals Versus Investor Sentiment. *J Real Estate Finance Econ* **38**, 5–37 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11146-008-9130-6>

Published

17 July 2008

Issue Date

January 2009

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11146-008-9130-6>

## Keywords

[Investment](#)

[Capitalization rates](#)

[Asset pricing](#)

[Investor rationality](#)

# Search

Search by keyword or author



## Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research

