

[Home](#) > [Small Business Economics](#) > Article

The survival and success of Canadian penny stock IPOs

| Published: 07 April 2009

| Volume 36, pages 101–121, (2011) [Cite this article](#)

[Small Business Economics](#)

[Aims and scope](#) →[Submit manuscript](#) →[Cécile Carpentier](#)¹ & [Jean-Marc Suret](#) ¹ **1155** Accesses  **63** Citations [Explore all metrics](#) →

Abstract

We analyze the survival and success of a large sample of Canadian penny stock initial public offerings (IPOs), launched mostly by small and unprofitable firms from 1986 to 2003. The failure rate of these IPOs is lower than in the US for larger IPOs, probably because of lax delisting rules and the market's capacity to refinance non-profitable firms. The survival of new issuers is significantly associated with their characteristics at the IPO and with the level of initial listing requirement they meet. The involvement of reputable intermediaries in the IPO process mitigates this effect. Success, estimated by the graduation to a senior exchange, is not linked to the financial conditions at the IPO. Overall, Canada seems to have developed a particular strategy to finance the growth of small firms even if the propensity to fail of firms listed at a pre-revenue stage is very high.



Access this article

[Log in via an institution](#) →

Buy article PDF 39,95 €


Price includes VAT (Poland)

Instant access to the full article PDF.

Rent this article via [DeepDyve](#)


[Institutional subscriptions](#) →

Similar content being viewed by others




The performance of Taiwanese firms after a share repurchase announcement

Article | 12 September 2015



Post-IPO performance and its association with subscription cascades and issuers' strategic-political importance

Article | 02 August 2014



Does High IPO Valuation Benefit Investors?

Chapter | © 2018

Notes

1. The definition of a penny stock has been debated for years. In the US, a penny stock IPO is priced under US\$ 5 and is generally traded on over-the-counter markets, such as the Over the Counter Bulletin Board Exchange (OTCBB). Penny stocks are issued by very small firms, which are generally defined as microcap firms. In Bradley et al. ([2006](#)) penny stock IPOs have a mean gross

proceeds of US\$ 5.7 million. Firms listed on the OTCBB with a stock price lower than US\$ 5 (deciles one to six) had a market value ranging from US\$ 370,000 to US\$ 7.76 million from 1996 to 2000 (Luft and Levine [2004](#)). Accordingly, we consider that penny stocks can be characterized by a market value lower than US\$ 10 million.

2. In Canada, firms graduate when they move their listing from the junior exchange (TSXV) to the main exchange, TSX. However, as TSX is itself a junior market relative to the US main exchanges, a firm listed on TSX can graduate to the NYSE.
3. According to our definition in footnote 1.
4. In this field of research, the term “failure” is conventionally defined as the firm’s inability to maintain its listing. The delisting is often associated with a bankruptcy, but also with all the situations where the firm is unable to maintain a financial situation that allows it to meet the ongoing listing requirements.
5. The propensity of newly listed firms to fail or succeed is estimated using rates of failure or success in some studies, whereas other researchers measure the time elapsed between the IPO and the event. We use both methods in this study.
6. We do not refer to profitability ratios commonly used in US studies because more than 70% of the new Canadian issuers exhibit a loss at IPO.
7. The Capital Pool Program has been implemented in Canada to ease the creation of shells, ultimately used in reverse merger listings by operating companies (Carpentier and Suret [2006](#)). Their IPOs result in the listing of a non-operating company; we consequently exclude CPC IPOs from our sample.

8. We compile a list of VCs operating in Canada from 1986 to 2003 from the lists of the Canadian VC Association, the summary of VC lists of Industry Canada (Strategis) and the lists of the equity sources provided by Mike Volker at <http://www.sfu.ca/~mvolker/biz/moneylnk.htm>.
9. They are: RBC Capital Markets, CIBC World Market Inc., BMO Nesbitt Burns Inc., TD Securities Inc., Scotia Capital Inc., Merrill Lynch Canada Inc. and Goldman, Sachs & Co. No other Canadian-based investment bankers control more than 5% of the total market.
10. NEX is a new and separate board of TSXV that provides a trading forum for listed companies that have fallen below TSXV's ongoing listing requirements.
11. The ongoing listing requirements describe the conditions of price, volume and financial characteristics that any listed firm must meet in order to avoid being delisted. NASDAQ delists a company if the stock trades under US\$ 1 for 30 days, and if the situation is not corrected during the following 6 months. In 2007, the ongoing listing requirements to Tier 2 of TSXV refer to a minimum market capitalization of CAN\$ 100,000, and minimum working capital of CAN\$ 50,000. No conditions apply to the stock price.
12. We use the 10-cent limit given that Canadian IPO prices are, on average, one tenth of prices in the US.
13. Graduation and cross-listing are not perfect measures of success for IPOs, because some firms that fulfill the listing requirements of the major exchanges do not move their listing. We consider these firms to have survived, but not to have truly succeeded because they do not attempt to reach a more liquid market to finance their growth at a lower cost of capital. Our indicator is compatible with the proposition that the success of a new market can be assessed by its capacity to enable newly listed firms to raise the capital they need, developed by Bottazzi and Da Rin ([2002](#)).

14. These medians can differ slightly from those reported in Table [3](#), because we are restricted by the availability of accounting data. We were able to collect this information for 2,028 of the 2,373 firms in the population (85.3%).
15. We also test a model with a separation between NORM4 and the other groups, omitting the size variable (not reported). The coefficient associated with NORM4 is negative and highly significant. Issuers that approximate the recent NASDAQ minimum listing requirements exhibit a failure risk of 27.8% of the risk of the other issuers.
16. One possible explanation we analyzed is a multicollinearity problem, although the correlation coefficients between PSOUS and most variables are rather low. However, we use a stepwise method to assess the effect of this collinearity on the results. The model and coefficients estimated using this approach are similar to those reported in Table [6](#).

References

Audretsch, D. B., & Lehmann, E. E. (2005). The effects of experience, ownership, and knowledge on IPO survival: Empirical evidence from Germany. *Review of Accounting and Finance*, 4(4), 13-33.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Beatty, R., & Kadiyala, P. (2003). Impact of the Penny Stock Reform Act of 1990 on the initial public offering market. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 46(2), 517-541.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Berger, A.-N., & Udell, G.-F. (1998). The economics of small business finance: The

roles of private equity and debt markets in the financial growth cycle. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 22(6-8), 613-673.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Bhabra, H. S., & Pettway, R. H. (2003). IPO prospectus information and subsequent performance. *The Financial Review*, 38(3), 369-397.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Bottazzi, L., & Da Rin, M. (2002). Venture capital in Europe and the financing of innovative companies. *Economic Policy: A European Forum*, 17(34), 231-269.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bottazzi, L., & Da Rin, M. (2005). Financing entrepreneurial firms in Europe: Facts, issues, and research agenda venture capital, entrepreneurship, and public policy. In C. Keuschnigg & V. Kannianen (Eds.), *Venture capital, entrepreneurship and public policy* (pp. 3-33) Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bradley, D. J., Cooney, J. W., Dolvin, S. D., & Jordan, B. D. (2006). Penny stock IPOs. *Financial Management*, 35(1), 5-29.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Burghof, H.-P., & Hunger, A. (2004). The Neuer Markt: An (overly) risky asset of Germany's financial system. In G. Giudici & P. Roosenboom (Eds.), *The rise and fall of Europe's new stock markets* (Vol. 10, pp. 295-327). Amsterdam, Elsevier: JAI.

Carpentier, C., L'Her, J.-F., & Suret, J.-M. (2008). Does securities regulation constrain small business finance? An empirical analysis. *Small Business Economics*, 31(4), 363-377.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Carpentier, C., L'Her, J.-F., & Suret, J.-M. (2009). Stock exchange markets for new ventures. *Journal of Business Venturing* (in press).

Carpentier, C., & Suret, J.-M. (2006). Bypassing the financial growth cycle: Evidence from capital pool companies. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(1), 45-73.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Carter, R. B., Dark, F. H., & Singh, A. K. (1998). Underwriter reputation, initial returns, and the long-run performance of IPO stocks. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(1), 285-311.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Carter, R., & Manaster, S. (1990). Initial public offerings and underwriter reputation. *The Journal of Finance*, 45(4), 1045-1067.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Chiu, H.-Y. (2004). Can UK small businesses obtain growth capital in the public equity markets?—An overview of the shortcomings in UK and European securities regulation and considerations for reform. *Delaware Journal of Corporate Law*, 28(3), 933-977.

[Google Scholar](#)

Chou, T.-K., Cheng, J.-C., & Chien, C.-C. (2006). Does the involvement of expert intermediaries improve the survival profile of IPO firms? Evidence from industry specialist auditors and reputable venture capitalists. The joint 14th PBF EA and 2006 FeAT conference.

Coakley, J., Hadass, L., & Wood, A. (2007). Post-IPO operating performance,

venture capitalists and market timing. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 34(9-10), 1423-1446.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cohn, S. R. (1999). Impact of securities laws on developing companies: Would the Wright brothers have gotten off the ground? *Journal of Small and Emerging Business Law*, 3, 315-366.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cox, D. R. (1972). Regression models and life-tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 34(2), 187-220.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dalbor, M. C., & Sullivan, M. J. (2005). The initial public offerings of restaurant firms: The case of industry-specific micromarket capitalization offerings. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 43(3), 226-242.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Demers, E. A., & Joos, P. (2007). IPO failure risk. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 45(2), 333-371.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1993). Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 33(1), 3-56.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2004). Newly listed firms: Fundamentals, survival rates, and returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 73(2), 169-229.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Field, L. C., & Lowry, M. B. (2005). Institutional versus individual investment in IPOs: The importance of firm fundamentals. AFA 2006 Boston meetings.

Gilbert, B. A., McDougall, P. P., & Audretsch, D. B. (2006). New venture growth: A review and extension. *Journal of Management*, 32(6), 926-950.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Giudici, G., & Roosenboom, P. (Eds.) (2004). Preface. In *The rise and fall of Europe's new stock markets* (Vol. 10, pp. ix-xiv). Amsterdam, Elsevier: JAI.

Harris, A. D. (2006). The impact of hot issue markets and noise traders on stock exchange listing standards. *University of Toronto Law Review*, 56(3), 223-280.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Helwege, J., & Liang, N. (2004). Initial public offerings in hot and cold markets. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 39(3), 541-569.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Hensler, D. A., Rutherford, R. C., & Springer, T. M. (1997). The survival of initial public offerings in the aftermarket. *Journal of Financial Research*, 20(1), 93-110.

[Google Scholar](#)

Jain, B. A., Jayaraman, N., & Kini, O. (2008). The path-to-profitability of internet IPO firms. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 2(2), 165-194.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Jain, B. A., & Kini, O. (2000). Does the presence of venture capitalists improve the survival profile of IPO firms? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 27(9/10),

Kim, J. (1999). The relaxation of financing constraints by the initial public offering of small manufacturing firms. *Small Business Economics*, 12(3), 191-202.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Klein, A., & Mohanram, P. S. (2006). Economic consequences of differences in NASDAQ initial listing standards: The role of accounting profitability. Working paper, Stern School of Business.

Ljungqvist, A. P., Jenkinson, T., & Wilhelm, W. J. Jr., (2003). Global integration in primary equity markets: The role of US banks and US investors. *Review of Financial Studies*, 16(1), 63-99.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Locke, S. M., & Gupta, K. (2008). The performance of entrepreneurial companies post-listing on the New Zealand stock exchange. *Venture Capital*, 10(1), 87-110.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Loughran, T., & Ritter, J. (2004). Why has IPO underpricing changed over time? *Financial Management*, 33(3), 5-37.

[Google Scholar](#)

Luft, C., & Levine, L. M. (2004). Over the counter bulletin board exchange: The impact of liquidity and size to return, volatility, and bid/ask spread. *Journal of Alternative Investments*, 7(3), 95-106.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Mittoo, U. R. (1992). Perceptions of the net benefit of foreign listing: Canadian evidence. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 4, 40–62.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Samitas, A., & Kenourgios, D. (2005). Entrepreneurship, small and medium size business markets and European economic integration. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 27, 363–374.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Schultz, P. (1993). Unit initial public offerings: A form of staged financing. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 34(2), 199–230.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

SEC. (2006). Final report of the advisory committee on smaller public companies to the US securities and exchange commission. Report, SEC, Washington, DC.

Seguin, P. J., & Smoller, M. M. (1997). Share price and mortality: An empirical evaluation of newly listed NASDAQ stocks. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 45(3), 333–363.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

van der Goot, T., van Giersbergen, N., & Botman, M. (2007). What determines the survival of internet IPOs? *Applied Economics*, 39, 1–17.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Weber, J., & Willenborg, M. (2003). Do expert informational intermediaries add value? Evidence from auditors in microcap initial public offerings. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 41(4), 681–720.

[Article](#) [Google Scholar](#)

Author information

Authors and Affiliations

Laval University, Quebec, QC, Canada

Cécile Carpentier & Jean-Marc Suret

Corresponding author

Correspondence to [Jean-Marc Suret](#).

Rights and permissions

[Reprints and permissions](#)

About this article

Cite this article

Carpentier, C., Suret, JM. The survival and success of Canadian penny stock IPOs. *Small Bus Econ* **36**, 101–121 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-009-9190-x>

Received

21 July 2008

Accepted

04 March 2009

Published

07 April 2009

Issue Date

January 2011

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-009-9190-x>

Keywords

[Initial public offering](#)

[Minimum listing requirements](#)

[Small business finance](#)

[Success](#)

[Survival](#)

JEL Classifications

- [G38](#)
- [G32](#)
- [K22](#)
- [L26](#)

Search

Search by keyword or author



Navigation

Find a journal

Publish with us

Track your research

