Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems / Volume 19, Issue 4 / pp. 408-420

Research Article

# Identification of a spatially efficient portfolio of priority conservation sites in marine and estuarine areas of Florida

Laura Geselbracht X, Roberto Torres, Graeme S. Cumming, Daniel Dorfman, Michael Beck, Douglas Shaw

First published: 25 November 2008 https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.992

Citations: 15

# **Abstract**

- 1. A systematic conservation planning approach using benthic habitat and imperilled species data along with the site prioritization algorithm, MARXAN, was used to identify a spatially efficient portfolio of marine and estuarine sites around Florida with high biodiversity value.
- 2. Ensuring the persistence of an adequate geographic representation of conservation targets in a particular area is a key goal of conservation. In this context, development and testing of different approaches to spatially-explicit marine conservation planning remains an important priority.
- 3. This detailed case study serves as a test of existing approaches while also demonstrating some novel ways in which current methods can be tailored to fit the complexities of marine planning.
- 4. The paper reports on investigations of the influence of varying several algorithm inputs on resulting portfolio scenarios including the conservation targets (species observations, habitat distribution, etc.) included, conservation target goals, and socio-economic factors.
- 5. This study concluded that engaging stakeholders in the development of a site prioritization framework is a valuable strategy for identifying broadly accepted selection criteria; universal target representation approaches are more expedient to use as algorithm inputs, but may fall short in capturing the impact of historic exploitation patterns for some conservation targets; socio-economic factors are best considered subsequent to the identification of priority conservation sites when biodiversity value is the primary driver of site selection; and the influence of surrogate targets on portfolio selection should be thoroughly investigated to ensure unintended effects are avoided.
- 6. The priority sites identified in this analysis can be used to guide allocation of limited conservation and management resources.

Copyright © 2008 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

# REFERENCES

Ardron J. 2002. A recipe for determining benthic complexity: an indicator of species richness. In *Marine Geography: GIS for the Oceans and Seas*, J Breman (ed.). ESRI Press: Redlands, CA; 169–175.

**Google Scholar** 

Ball I, Possingham H. 2000. MARXAN (V1.8.2): Marine Reserve Design Using Spatially Explicit Annealing, a Manual. The Ecology Centre, University of Queensland: Brisbane.

**Google Scholar** 

Beck M. 2003. The sea around: marine regional planning. In *Drafting a Conservation Blueprint: a Practitioners' Guide to Planning for Biodiversity*, CR Groves (ed.). Island Press: Washington, DC; 319–344.

**Google Scholar** 

Beck M, Odaya M. 2001. Ecoregional planning marine environments: identifying priority sites for conservation in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* **11**: 235–242.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Davis Jr R. 1997. Geology of the Florida Coast. In *The Geology of Florida*, A Randazzo, D Jones (eds). University of Florida Press: Gainesville; 155–168.

**Google Scholar** 

DeBlieu J, Beck M, Dorfman D, Ertel P. 2005. *Conservation in the Carolinian Ecoregion: An Ecoregional Assessment*. The Nature Conservancy: Arlington, VA.

**Google Scholar** 

Floberg J, Goering M, Wilhere G, MacDonald C, Chappell C, Rumsey C, Ferdana Z, Holt A, Skidmore P, Horsman T, et al. 2004. Willamette Valley-Puget Trough-Georgia Basin Ecoregional Assessment, Volume One: Report. Prepared by The Nature Conservancy with support from the Nature Conservancy of Canada, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Department of Natural Resources (Natural Heritage and Nearshore Habitat programs), Oregon State Natural Heritage Information Center and the British Columbia Conservation Data Centre.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Gardner JV, Hughes Clarke J, Mayer L. 2003. Bathymetry and Acoustic Backscatter of the Mid and Outer Continental Shelf, Head of De Soto Canyon, Northeastern Gulf of Mexico — Data, Images, and GIS. US Geological Survey Open-File Report OF 02–396.

**Google Scholar** 

Geselbracht L, Torres R, Cumming G, Dorfman D, Beck M. 2005. *Marine/Estuarine Site Assessment for Florida: A Framework for Site Prioritization*. The Nature Conservancy: Gainesville, FL.

**Google Scholar** 

Groves C. 2003. *Drafting a Conservation Blueprint: a Practitioner's Guide to Planning for Biodiversity*. Island Press: Washington, DC.

**Google Scholar** 

Hockey PAR, Branch GM. 1997. Criteria, objectives and methodology for evaluating marine protected areas in South Africa. *South African Journal of Marine Science* **18**: 369–383.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Jarrett BD, Hine AC, Halley RB, Naar DF, Locer SD, Neumann AC, Twichell D, Donahue CHBT, Jaap WC, Palandeo D, Cembronowicz 2004. Strange bedfellows — a deep-water hermatypic coral reef superimposed on a drowned barrier island; southern Pulley Ridge SW Florida platform margin. *Marine Geology* **24**: 295–307.

**Google Scholar** 

Koenig CC, Coleman FC, Grimes CB, Fitzhugh GR, Scanlon KM, Gledhill CT, Grace M. 2000. Protection of fish spawning habitat for the conservation of warm temperate reef fish fisheries of shelf-edge reefs of Florida. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **66**: 593–616.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Leslie H. 2005. A synthesis of marine conservation planning approaches. *Conservation Biology* 19: 1701–1713.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Leslie H, Ruckelshaus M, Ball I, Andelman S, Possingham H. 2003. Using siting algorithms in the design of marine reserve networks. *Ecological Applications* **13**: S185–S198.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Lodge T. 2005. The Everglades Handbook-Understanding the Ecosystem. CRC Press: Washington, DC.

**Google Scholar** 

Lourie S, Vincent A. 2004. Using biogeography to help set priorities in marine conservation. *Conservation Biology* **18**: 1004–1020.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Madley KA, Sargent B, Sargent FJ. 2002. Development of a System for Classification of Habitats in Estuarine and Marine Environments (SCHEME) for Florida. Report to the US Environmental Protection Agency, Gulf of Mexico Program (Grant Assistance Agreement MX-97408100). Florida Marine Research Institute, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, St. Petersburg.

**Google Scholar** 

Pauly D. 1995. Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fisheries. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **10**: 430.

CAS | PubMed | Web of Science® | Google Scholar

Possingham HP, Ball IR, Andelman S. 2000. Mathematical methods for identifying representative reserve networks. In *Quantitative Methods for Conservation Biology*, S Ferson, M Burgman (eds). Springer-Verlag: New York; 291–305.

**Google Scholar** 

Pressey RL, Johnson IR, Wilson PD. 1994. Shades of irreplaceability: towards a measure of the contribution of sites to a reservation goal. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **3**: 242–262.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Randazzo A, Halley R. 1997. Geology of the Florida Keys. In *The Geology of Florida*, A Randazzo, D Jones (eds). University of Florida Press: Gainesville; 251–260.

**Google Scholar** 

Reed JK. 2004. General description of deep-water coral reefs of Florida, Georgia and South Carolina: A summary of current knowledge of the distribution, habitat, and associated fauna. Report to the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, NOAA, NMFS.

**Google Scholar** 

Roberts CM, Hawkins JP. 1999. Extinction risk in the sea. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. **15**: 241–246.

**Google Scholar** 

Roberts CM, Branch G, Bustamante RH, Castilla JC, Dugan J, Halpern BS, Lafferty KD, Leslie H, Lubcenco J, McArdle D, *et al.* 2003a. Application of ecological criteria in selecting marine reserves and developing reserve networks. *Ecological Applications* **13**: S215–S228.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Roberts CM, Andelman S, Branch G, Bustamante RH, Castilla JC, Dugan J, Halpern BS, Lafferty KD, Leslie H, Lubcenco J, McArdle D, *et al.* 2003b. Ecological criteria for evaluating candidate sites for marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* **13**: S199–S214.

# Web of Science® Google Scholar

Salm RV, Clark J, Siirila E. 2000. *Marine and Coastal Protected Areas. A Guide for Planners and Managers*, 3rd edn. IUCN: Washington, DC.

#### **Google Scholar**

Scanlon KM. 2000. Surficial seafloor geology of a shelf-edge area off West Florida. In West Florida Shelf: Sidescan-sonar and Sediment Data from Shelf-edge Habitats in the NorthEastern Gulf of Mexico, Briere PR, Scanlon KM, Fitzhugh G, Gledhill CT, Koenig CC (eds) US. Geological Survey, Open-file Report 99–589.

#### **Google Scholar**

Scanlon KM, Koenig CC, Coleman FC, Rozycki JE. 2001. Paleoshorelines, drowned reefs, and grouper habitat in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Geology of Marine Habitat Session, Geological Association of Canada Annual Meeting, 2001, St. Johns, Vol. 26.

# **Google Scholar**

Shaffer ML, Stein BL. 2000. Safeguarding our precious heritage. In *Precious Heritage: The Status of Biodiversity in the United States*, BA Stein, LS Kutner, JS Adams (eds). Oxford University Press: Oxford; 301–322.

# **Google Scholar**

Sheridan P, Caldwell P. 2002. Compilation of data sets relevant to the identification of essential fish habitat on the Gulf of Mexico continental shelf and for the estimation of the effects of shrimp trawling gear on habitat. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-483

# **Google Scholar**

The Nature Conservancy, Greater Caribbean Ecoregional Plan Team. 2003. An Ecoregional Plan for Puerto Rico: Portfolio Design. Report to Bristol-Myers Squibb Company.

#### **Google Scholar**

Turpie JK, Beckley LE, Katua SM. 2000. Biogeography and the selection of priority areas for conservation of South African coastal fishes. *Biological Conservation* **92**: 59–72.

# Web of Science® Google Scholar

US Fish and Wildlife Service. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. Biological Services Program, FWS/OBS-79-31.

# **Google Scholar**

Ward TJ, Vanderklift MA, Nicholls AO, Kenchington RA. 1999. Selecting marine reserves using habitats and species assemblages as surrogates for biological diversity. *Ecological Applications* **9**: 691–698.

# **Citing Literature**



Download PDF

#### **ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY**

**Privacy Policy** 

Terms of Use

**About Cookies** 

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

**Developing World Access** 

#### **HELP & SUPPORT**

Contact Us

**Training and Support** 

**DMCA & Reporting Piracy** 

#### **OPPORTUNITIES**

**Subscription Agents** 

Advertisers & Corporate Partners

#### **CONNECT WITH WILEY**

The Wiley Network

Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

