

Is there a liability of foreignness in global banking? An empirical test of banks' X-efficiency

Stewart R. Miller, Arvind Parkhe

First published: 29 November 2001

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.212>

Citations: 277

Abstract

When a company operates outside of its home country, it may suffer a 'liability of foreignness.' Does this a priori theoretical expectation hold in the global banking industry? Banks increasingly compete outside of their home countries, and operating environments often differ sharply across countries, both in terms of financial markets and credit risk. In this paper, we report the results of an empirical test of the liability of foreignness in the global banking industry, using Fitch-IBCA BankScope data for the period 1989-96. Our findings strongly support the liability of foreignness hypothesis. Further, the data show some evidence that the X-efficiency of a foreign-owned bank is strongly influenced by the competitiveness of its home country and the host country in which it operates. Lastly, we find that in some environments U.S.-owned banks are more X-efficient than other foreign-owned banks in some environments, but less X-efficient in others. Copyright © 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

REFERENCES

Aigner D, Lovell CAK, Schmidt P. 1977. Formulation and estimation of stochastic frontier function models. *Journal of Econometrics* 6: 21-37.

[Google Scholar](#)

Allen F. 1993. Stock market and resource allocation. In *Capital Markets and Financial Intermediation*, C Mayer, X Vives (eds). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; 81-107.

[Google Scholar](#)

Allen L, Rai A. 1996. Operational efficiency in banking: an international comparison. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 20: 655-672.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Barth J, Nolle D, Rice T. 1997. Commercial banking structure, regulation, and performance: an international comparison. Working paper, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, DC.

[Google Scholar](#)

Berg S, Førsund F, Hjalmarsson L, Suominen M. 1993. Banking efficiency in the Nordic countries. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 17: 371–388.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Berger A. 1993. 'Distribution-free' estimates of efficiency in the U.S. banking industry and tests of the standard distributional assumptions. *Journal of Productivity Analysis* 4: 261–292.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Berger A, DeYoung R. 1997. Problem loans and cost efficiency in commercial banks. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 21: 849–870.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Berger A, DeYoung R, Genay H, Udell G. 2000. Globalization of financial institutions: evidence from cross-border banking performance. *Brookings-Wharton Papers on Financial Services* 2000: 23–120.

[Google Scholar](#)

Berger A, Hancock D, Humphrey D. 1993. Bank efficiency derived from the profit function. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 17: 317–347.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Berger A, Humphrey D. 1997. Efficiency of financial institutions: international survey and directions for future research. *European Journal of Operational Research* 98: 175–212.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Berger A, Hunter W, Timme S. 1993. The efficiency of financial institutions: a review and preview of research past, present, and future. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 17: 221–249.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Berger A, Mester L. 1997. Inside the black box: what explains differences in the efficiencies of financial institutions? *Journal of Banking and Finance* 21: 895–947.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Boot A, Thakor A. 1997. Banking scope and financial innovation. *Review of Financial Studies* 10: 1099-1131.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Bower J. 1987. *When Markets Quake*. Harvard Business School Press: Boston, MA.

[Google Scholar](#)

Buckley P, Casson M. 1976. *The Future of the Multinational Enterprise*. Macmillan: London.

[Google Scholar](#)

Buckley P, Casson M. 1998. Analyzing foreign market entry strategies: extending the internalization approach. *Journal of International Business Studies* 29: 539-561.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Button K, Weyman-Jones T. 1992. Ownership structure, institutional organization and measured X-efficiency. *American Economic Review* 82: 439-445.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Campbell D. 1997. *International Banking Law and Regulation*. FT Law & Tax: London.

[Google Scholar](#)

Caves R. 1982. *Multinational Enterprise and Economic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press: New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Chang C, Hasan I, Hunter W. 1997. Efficiency of Multinational Banks: An Empirical Investigation. Working paper, New Jersey Institute of Technology.

[Google Scholar](#)

Chang SJ. 1995. International expansion strategy of Japanese firms: capability building through sequential entry. *Academy of Management Journal* 38: 383-407.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Choi C, Raman M, Usoltseva O, Lee S. 1999. Political embeddedness in the new triad: implications for emerging economies. *Management International Review* 39: 257-275.

[Google Scholar](#)

Daniels J, Radebaugh L. 1998. *International Business: Environments and Operations*. McGraw-Hill: Burr Ridge, IL.

[Google Scholar](#)

Demirgüç-Kunt A, Levine R. 2000. Bank-based and market-based financial systems: cross-country comparisons. Working paper, World Bank.

[Google Scholar](#)

DeYoung R. 1997. Measuring bank cost efficiency: don't count on accounting ratios. *Financial Practice and Education* 7: 20-31.

[Google Scholar](#)

DeYoung R, Nolle D. 1996. Foreign-owned banks in the United States: earning market share or buying it? *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 28: 622-636.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Dunning J. 1977. Trade location of economic activity and the multinational enterprise: search for an eclectic approach. In *The International Allocation of Economic Activity*, B Ohlin, P Hesselborn, P Wiskman (eds). Macmillan: London; 395-418.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dunning J. 1992. The competitive advantage of countries and the activities of transnational corporations. *Transnational Corporations* 1: 135-168.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dunning J. 1998. Location and the multinational enterprise: a neglected factor? *Journal of International Business Studies* 29: 45-66.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Dunning J, Rugman A. 1985. The influence of Hymer's dissertation on theories of foreign direct investment. *American Economic Review* May: 228-232.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Erramilli MK, Rao C. 1993. Service firms' international mode choice: a modified transaction-cost analysis approach. *Journal of Marketing* 57: 19-38.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Ferguson T, Ketchen D Jr . 1999. Organizational configurations and performance: the role of statistical power in extant research. *Strategic Management Journal* 20(8): 385–395.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Garten JE. 1998. Which financial heavyweights will survive the shakeout? *Business Week* October 5: 34.

[Google Scholar](#)

Gatignon H, Anderson E. 1986. The multinational corporation's degree of control over foreign subsidiaries: an empirical test of a transaction cost explanation. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 4: 305–336.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ghoshal S, Bartlett C. 1990. The multinational corporation as an interorganizational network. *Academy of Management Review* 15: 603–625.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Goldberg L, Rai A. 1996. The structure–performance relationship for European banking. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 20: 745–771.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Granovetter M. 1973. The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology* 81: 1287–1303.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Hasan I, Hunter W. 1996. Efficiency of Japanese multinational banks in the United States. In *Research in Finance*, Vol. 14, AH Chen (ed.). JAI Press: Greenwich, CT; 157–173.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hennart JF. 1982. *A Theory of Multinational Enterprise*. University of Michigan Press: Ann Arbor, MI.

[Google Scholar](#)

Herring R, Litan R. 1995. *Financial Regulation in the Global Economy*. Brookings Institution: Washington, DC.

[Google Scholar](#)

Hymer S. 1960/1976. *The International Operations of National Firms: A Study of Direct Foreign Investment*. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.

[Google Scholar](#)

Institute for International Bankers. 1997. *Global Survey of Regulatory and Market Developments in Banking, Securities and Insurance*. Institute for International Bankers: New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kindleberger C. 1969. *American Business Abroad*. Yale University Press: New Haven, CT.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kindleberger C. 1983. International banks as leaders or followers of international business: an historical perspective. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 7: 583–595.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kostova T, Zaheer S. 1999. Organizational legitimacy under conditions of complexity: the case of the multinational enterprise. *Academy of Management Review* 24: 64–81.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kroszner R, Rajan R. 1994. Is the Glass-Steagall Act justified? A study of the U.S. experience with universal banking before 1933. *American Economic Review* 84: 810–831.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kroszner R, Rajan R. 1997. Organizational structure and credibility: evidence from commercial banking securities activities before the Glass-Steagall Act. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 39: 475–516.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Leibenstein H. 1966. Allocative efficiency vs. X-efficiency. *American Economic Review* 56: 392–415.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Leibenstein H. 1975. Aspects of the X-efficiency theory of the firm. *Bell Journal of Economics* 6: 580–606.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Luo Y. 1999. *Entry and Cooperative Strategies in International Business Expansion*. Quorum: Westport, CT.

[Google Scholar](#)

Marsden P. 1981. Introducing influence processes into a system of collective decisions. *American Journal of Sociology* 86: 1203–1035.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

McAllister P, McManus D. 1993. Resolving the scale efficiency puzzle in banking. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 17: 389–405.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Mester L. 1993. Efficiency in the savings and loan industry. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 17: 267–286.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Mester L. 1994. How efficient are third district banks? *Business Review* (Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia): 3–18.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mester L. 1996. A study of bank efficiency taking into account risk-preference. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 20: 1025–1045.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Miller SR. 1999. An empirical analysis of international operating patterns and competitiveness of U.S. banks. Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University (Bloomington).

[Google Scholar](#)

Porter ME. 1990. *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. Free Press: New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Porter ME, Wayland RE. 1995. Global competition and the localization of competitive advantage. In *Advances in Strategic Management*, Vol. 11A, P Shrivastava, A Huff, J Dutton (eds). JAI Press: Greenwich, CT; 63–105.

[Google Scholar](#)

Puri M. 1996. Commercial banks in investment banking: conflict of interest or certification role? *Journal of Financial Economics* 40: 373–401.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Ruchelman S. 1995. Cross-border targets. *Financial Times* February 24: 10.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rugman A. 1981. *Inside the Multinationals: The Economics of Internal Markets*. Columbia University Press: New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Saunders A, Walter I. 1994. *Universal Banking in the United States*. Oxford University Press: New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schmidt P, Sickles R. 1984. Production frontiers and panel data. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 2: 367–374.

[Google Scholar](#)

Scholes M, Wolfson M. 1992. *Taxes and Business Strategy: A Planning Approach*. Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

[Google Scholar](#)

Sealey C, Lindley J. 1977. Inputs, outputs, and a theory of production and costs at depository financial institutions. *Journal of Finance* 32: 1251–1266.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Sesit M. 1996. U.S. financial firms seize dominant role in the world markets. *Wall Street Journal* January 5: C19.

[Google Scholar](#)

Spindle B, Shirouzu N. 1999. Japan's merger boom swells profits on Wall Street. *Wall Street Journal* December 23: A23.

[Google Scholar](#)

Steinherr A. 1996. Performance of universal banks: historical review and appraisal. In *Universal Banking, A Saunders, I Walter* (eds). Irwin Professional: London; 2–30.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Steinherr A, Huveneers Ch. 1994. On the performance of differently regulated financial institutions: some empirical evidence. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 18: 271–306.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Steinmetz G, Bray N, Freidland J, Kamm T. 1998. As a global behemoth, new Citigroup won't be alone—it faces beefed up European competitors and other world players. *Wall Street Journal* April 7: A13.

[Google Scholar](#)

Task Force on the International Competitiveness of U.S. Financial Institutions. 1990. International competitiveness of U.S. financial institutions: hearings before the subcommittee on financial institutions

supervision, regulation, and insurance. (Committee on Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs; House of Representatives). U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.

[Google Scholar](#)

Task Force on the International Competitiveness of U.S. Financial Institutions. 1991. Report of the subcommittee on financial institutions supervision, regulation, and insurance. (Committee on Banking, Finance, and Urban Affairs; House of Representatives). U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.

[Google Scholar](#)

Westney DE, Sakakibara K. 1985. Comparative study of the training, careers, and organization of engineers on the computer industry in Japan and the United States. MIT-Japan Science and Technology Program, MIT (mimeo.).

[Google Scholar](#)

Zaheer S. 1995. Overcoming the liability of foreignness. *Academy of Management Journal* 38: 341-363.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Zaheer S, Mosakowski E. 1997. The dynamics of the liability of foreignness: a global study of survival in financial services. *Strategic Management Journal* 18(6): 439-464.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Zukin S, DiMaggio P. 1990. *Structures of Capital: The Social Organization of the Economy*. Cambridge University Press: New York.

[Google Scholar](#)

Citing Literature



Download PDF



134 N LaSalle St
Suite 1005, Chicago IL
60602, USA

+1 312 492 6224

sms@strategicmanagement.net

© 2025 Strategic Management Society

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[About Cookies](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

HELP & SUPPORT

[Contact Us](#)

[Training and Support](#)

[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)

OPPORTUNITIES

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

CONNECT WITH WILEY

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY