

Acquisitions of private vs. public firms: Private information, target selection, and acquirer returns

Laurence Capron✉, Jung-Chin Shen

First published: 24 April 2007

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.612>

Citations: 341

Abstract

The acquisition of privately held firms is a prevalent phenomenon that has received little attention in mergers and acquisitions research. In this study, we examine three questions: (1) What drives the acquirer's choice between public and private targets? (2) Do acquisitions of private targets elicit a more positive stock market reaction than acquisitions of public targets, which, on average, destroy value for acquirers' shareholders? (3) Do acquirers gain when their selection of a public or private target fits the theory? In this paper, we argue that the lack of information on private targets limits the breadth of the acquirer's search and increases its risk of not evaluating properly the assets of private targets. At the same time, less information on private targets creates more value-creating opportunities for exploiting private information, whereas the market of corporate control for public targets already serves as an information-processing and asset valuation mechanism for all potential bidders. Using an event study and survey data, we find that: (1) acquirers favor private targets in familiar industries and turn to public targets to enter new business domains or industries with a high level of intangible assets; (2) acquirers of private targets perform better than acquirers of public targets on merger announcement, after controlling for endogeneity bias; (3) acquirers of private firms perform better than if they had acquired a public firm, and acquirers of public firms perform better than if they had acquired a private firm. These results support the expectation that acquirer returns from their target choice (private/public) are not universal but depend on the acquirer's type of search and on the merging firms' attributes. Copyright © 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

REFERENCES

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#)

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

Anand J, Capron L, Mitchell W. 2005. Using acquisitions to access multinational diversity: thinking beyond the domestic versus cross-border M&A comparison. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 14(2): 191–224.

Andrade G, Mitchell M, Stafford E. 2001. New evidence and perspectives on mergers. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 15(2): 103–120.

Arikan AM. 2002. What type of assets is worth buying through mergers and acquisitions? Working paper, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH.

Arikan I. 2005. In the market for firms, how should a firm be sold? In *Advances in Mergers and Acquisitions*, Vol. 4, GL Cooper, S Finkelstein (eds). JAI Press: Greenwich, CT; 181–208.

Bajaj M, Denis D, Ferris S, Sarin A. 2001. Firm value and marketability discounts, Working paper, SSRN.

Balakrishna S, Koza MP. 1993. Information asymmetry, adverse selection, and joint ventures. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 20(1): 99–117.

Barney JB. 1986. Strategic factor markets: expectations, luck and business strategy. *Management Science* 32(10): 1231–1241.

Barney JB. 1988. Returns to bidding firms in mergers and acquisitions: reconsidering the relatedness hypothesis. *Strategic Management Journal*, Summer Special Issue 9: 71–78.

Becchetti L, Trovato G. 2002. The determinants of growth for small and medium sized firms: the role of the availability of external finance. *Small Business Economics* 19(4): 291–306.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#).

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Capron L. 1999. The long-term performance of horizontal acquisitions. *Strategic Management Journal* 20(11): 987-1018.

Capron L, Pistre N. 2002. When do acquirers earn abnormal returns? *Strategic Management Journal* 23(9): 781-794.

Capron L, Dussauge P, Mitchell W. 1998. Resource redeployment following horizontal acquisitions in Europe and North America, 1988-1992. *Strategic Management Journal* 19(7): 631-661.

Chang S. 1998. Takeovers of privately held targets, methods of payment, and bidder returns. *Journal of Finance* 53(2): 773-784.

Chatterjee S. 1986. Types of synergy and economic value: the impact of acquisitions on merging and rival firms. *Strategic Management Journal* 7(2): 119-139.

Chemmanur T, Fulghieri P. 1999. A theory of the going public decision. *Review of Financial Studies* 12(Summer): 249-279.

Coff RW. 1999. How buyers cope with uncertainty when acquiring firms in knowledge-intensive industries: caveat emptor. *Organization Science* 10(2): 144-161.

Deeds D, DeCarolis D, Coombs JE. 1999. Dynamic capabilities and new product development in high technology ventures: an empirical analysis of new biotechnology firms. *Journal of Business Venturing* 15(3): 211-229.

Dillman D. 1978. *Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method*. Wiley: New York.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#).

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

Graebner ME. 2004. Momentum and serendipity: how acquired leaders create value in the integration of technology firms, *Strategic Management Journal*, Special Issue 25(7-8): 751-778.

Graebner ME, Eisenhardt KM. 2004. The seller's side of the story: acquisition as courtship and governance as syndicate in entrepreneurial firms, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 49(Sept.): 366-403.

Hall B. 2002. The financing of research and development. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 18(1): 35-51.

Heckman JJ. 1979. Sample selection bias as a specification error. *Econometrica* 47(1): 153-161.

Henderson AD. 1999. Firm strategy and age dependence: a contingency view of the liabilities of newness, adolescence, and obsolescence. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 44(June): 281-314.

Itami H. 1987. *Mobilizing Intangible Assets*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.

Jarell SL. 1998. The effects of total quality management on corporate performance: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Business* 71(2): 253-305.

Koeplin J, Sarin A, Shapiro AC. 2000. The private company discount. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* 12(4): 94-101.

Kooli M, Kortas M, L'Her JF. 2003. A new examination of the private company discount. *Journal of Private Equity* 6(3): 48-55.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#).

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Masten S. 1993. Transaction costs, mistakes, and performance: assessing the importance of governance. *Managerial and Decision Economics* 14(2): 119-129.

McWilliams A, Siegel D. 1997. Event studies in management research: theoretical and empirical issues. *Academy of Management Journal* 40(3): 626-657.

Milgrom PR. 1987. Auction theory. In *Advances in Economic Theory*, TF Bewley (ed). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K.; 1-31.

Moeller SB, Schlingemann FP, Stulz RM. 2004. Do shareholders of acquiring firms gain from acquisitions? *Journal of Financial Economics* 73(2): 201-228.

Pagano M, Panetta F, Zingales L. 1998. Why do companies go public? An empirical analysis. *Journal of Finance* 53(1): 27-64.

Reuer J, Ragozzino R. 2007. Adverse selection and M&A design: the roles of alliances and IPOs. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*. Forthcoming.

Reuer J, Shen JC. 2004. Sequential divestiture through initial public offerings. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 54(2): 249-266.

Rosenkopf L, Almeida P. 2003. Overcoming local search through alliances and mobility. *Management Science* 49(6): 751-766.

Sanders WMG, Boivie S. 2004. Sorting things out: valuation of new firms in uncertain markets. *Strategic Management Journal* 25(2): 167-186.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#).

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

Schwert GW. 1996. Markup pricing in mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics* 41(2): 153-192.

Seth A. 1990. Value creation in acquisitions: a re-examination of performance issues. *Strategic Management Journal* 11(2): 99-115.

Shaver JM. 1998. Accounting for endogeneity when assessing strategy performance: does entry mode choice affect survival? *Management Science* 44(4): 571-585.

Shen JC Reuer J. 2005. Adverse selection in acquisitions of small manufacturing firms: a comparison of public with private targets. *Small Business Economics* 24(4): 393-407.

Singh H, Montgomery CA. 1987. Corporate acquisition strategies and economic performance. *Strategic Management Journal* 8(4): 377-386.

Spence AM. 1974. *Market Signalling: Informational Transfer in Hiring and Related Screening Processes*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.

Stuart TE, Hoang H, Hybels RC. 1999. Interorganizational endorsements and the performance of entrepreneurial ventures. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 44(June): 315-349.

Thompson RB, Thomas RS. 2004. The new look of shareholder litigation: acquisition-oriented class actions. *Vanderbilt Law Review* 57(Jan.): 133-209.

Villalonga B, Amit R. 2006. How do family ownership, control, and management affect firm value? *Journal of Financial Economics* 80(2): 385-417.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#).

Manage Preferences

Accept All

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

Privacy Policy
Terms of Use
About Cookies
Manage Cookies
Accessibility
Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies
Developing World Access

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us
Training and Support
DMCA & Reporting Piracy

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents
Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network
Wiley Press Room

WILEY

Copyright © 1999-2023 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising purposes. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. [Privacy Policy](#).

Manage Preferences

Accept All