

Audit Qualification, Firm Litigation, and Financial Information: an Empirical Analysis in Greece

Charalambos T. Spathis 1

First published: 02 April 2003

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1099-1123.00006>

Citations: 43

Abstract

In this study, we test the extent to which combinations of financial and non-financial information can be used to enhance the ability to discriminate between the choices of a qualified or unqualified (clean) audit report. We examined the financial statements, the opinions of the auditors, and the notes to financial statements for companies that received a qualified audit report and for those that received an unqualified audit report. The data are taken from a sample of 100 Greek companies. Logistic and OLS regression models were estimated to assess the effect of firm litigation and financial information on audit qualification opinion. The qualification decision is associated by financial information such as financial distress and by non-financial information such as firm litigation. The model developed is accurate in classifying the total sample correctly with rate 78%. This study has implications for internal and external auditors and company decision makers.

REFERENCES

Altman, E. (1983), *Corporate Financial Distress: a Complete Guide to Predicting, Avoiding, and Dealing with Bankruptcy*, New York : John Wiley.

[Google Scholar](#)

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (1988), *The auditor's consideration of a going concern*, Statement of Auditing Standards No. 59, New York : AICPA.

[Google Scholar](#)

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (1988), *Serving the Public Interest: a New Conceptual Framework for Auditor Independence*, New York : AICPA.

[Google Scholar](#)

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (1997), Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, *Statement of Auditing Standards No. 82*, New York : AICPA.

[Google Scholar](#)

Arnold, V., Collier, A. P., Leech, A. S. & Sutton, G. S. (2001), 'The impact of political pressure on novice decision makers: are auditors qualified to make going concern judgments?', *Critical Perspectives in Accounting*, Vol. 12, pp. 323-338.

[Google Scholar](#)

Asare, S. (1990), 'The auditors' going concern decision: a review and implications for future research', *Journal of Accounting Literature*, Vol. 9, pp. 39-64.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ballas, A. (1994), 'Accounting in Greece', *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 107-121.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bartov, E., Gul, F. A. & Tsui, J. S. L. (2001), 'Discretionary-accruals models and audit qualifications', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 30, pp. 421-452.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Beck, J. & Bhagat, S. (1998), Share price drops and shareholder litigation, Working Paper, University of Colorado at Boulder.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bell, T. & Tabor, R. (1991), 'Empirical analysis of audit uncertainty qualifications', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 29, pp. 350-370.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Bhagat, S. & Romano, R. (2001), Event studies and the law: Part I: Technique and corporate litigation, Yale International Center for Finance, Working Paper No. 00-31.

[Google Scholar](#)

Caramanis, V C. (1997), 'The enigma of the Greek auditing profession: Some preliminary results concerning the impact of liberalization on auditor behaviour', *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 85-108.

[Google Scholar](#)

Caramanis, V. C. (1999), 'International accounting firms versus indigenous auditors: Intra-professional conflict in the Greek auditing profession', *Critical Perspectives in Accounting*, Vol. 10, pp. 153–196.

[Google Scholar](#)

Casterella, R. J., Lewis, B. L. & Walker, P. (2000), 'Modelling the audit opinions issued to bankrupt companies: a two-stage empirical analysis', *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 507–530.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Chen, K. & Church, B. (1992), 'Default on debt obligations and the issuance of going concern opinions', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, Fall, pp. 30–49.

[Google Scholar](#)

Choi, S. K. & Jeter, D. C. (1992), 'The effect of qualified audit opinion on earnings response coefficients', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 15, Nos. 2/3, pp. 229–247.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Citron, B. D. & Manalis, G. (2001), 'The international firms as new entrants to the statutory audit market: An empirical analysis of auditor selection in Greece, 1993 to 1997', *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 439–459.

[Google Scholar](#)

Cormier, D., Magnan, M. & Morard, B. (1995), 'The auditor's consideration of the going concern assumption: a diagnostic model', *Journal of Accounting, Auditing, and Finance*, Spring, pp. 201–222.

[Google Scholar](#)

DeAngelo, L. (1981), 'Auditor size and auditor quality', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 1, pp. 113–127.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dimitras, A. I., Zopounidis, C. & Hurson, Ch. (1995), 'A multicriteria decision aid method for the assessment of business failure risk', *Foundations of Computing and Decision Sciences*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 99–112.

[Google Scholar](#)

Dopuch, N., Holthausen, R. & Leftwich, R. (1986), 'Abnormal stock returns associated with media disclosures of 'subject to' qualified audit opinions', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 8, pp. 93–118.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Dopuch, N., Holthausen, R. & Leftwich, R. (1987), 'Predicting audit qualifications with financial and market variables', *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 62, No. 3, pp. 431–454.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Francis, J. R. & Krishnan, J. (1996), 'Do accounting accruals lead to auditor reporting conservatism?' Unpublished manuscript, University of Missouri-Columbia.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Frost, A. C. (1997), 'Disclosure policy choices of UK firms receiving modified audit reports', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 23, pp. 163–187.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Goodman, B., Braunstein, D. N. & Gregory, G. W. (1995), 'Explaining auditors' going concern decisions: assessing management's capability', *Journal of Applied Business Research*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 82–95.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Griffin, A. P., Grundfest, J. & Perino, A. M. (2000), Stock price response to news of securities fraud litigation: Market efficiency and the slow diffusion of costly information, Stanford Law and Economics Olin Working Paper No. 208.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Hirst, D. E. (1994), 'Auditor sensitivity to earning management', *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 11, pp. 405–422.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Hopwood, W, McKeow, J. & Mutchler, L. (1989), 'A test of the incremental explanatory power of opinions qualified for consistency and uncertainty', *Accounting Review*, Vol. LXIV, No. 1, pp. 28–47.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Houghton, K. A. & Jubb, C. A. (1999), The cost of audit qualifications: The role of non-audit services', *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 215–240.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Hughes, J. P. & Sankar, R. M. (1997), The impact of litigation risk on discretionary disclosure, Working Paper, UCLA.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Johnson, F. M., Kasznik, R. & Nelson, K. K. (2001), 'The impact of securities litigation reform on the disclosure of forward-looking information by high technology firms', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 39, No. 2, pp. 297-329.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Jubb, C. A., Houghton, K. A. & Butterworth, S. (1996), 'Audit fees: the plural nature of risk', *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 11, pp. 25-40.

[Google Scholar](#)

Karpof, M. J. & Lott, R. J. (1998), Punitive damages: Their determinants, effect on firm value, and the impact of Supreme Court and congressional attempts to limit awards, University of Chicago, Law and Economics Working Paper No. 58.

[Google Scholar](#)

Keasey, K. & Watson, R. (1987), 'Non-financial symptoms and the prediction of small company failure: a test of Argenti's hypothesis', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 335-354.

[Google Scholar](#)

Keasey, K., Watson, R. & Wyszczek, P. (1988), 'The small company audit qualification: A preliminary investigation', *Accounting and Business Research*, Vol. 18, No. 72, pp. 323-333.

[Google Scholar](#)

Kida, T. (1980), 'An investigation into auditor's continuity and related qualification judgments', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Autumn, pp. 506-523.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kinney, W. & Martin, R. (1994), 'Does auditing reduce bias in financial reporting? A review of audit-related adjustment studies', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, Vol. 13, pp. 149-156.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kleinman, G. & Anandarajan, A. (1999), The usefulness of off-balance sheet variables as predictors of auditors' going concern opinions: an empirical analysis', *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 273-285.

[Google Scholar](#)

Koh, H. C. (1991), 'Model predictions and auditor assessments of going concern status', *Accounting and Business Research*, Vol. 21, No. 84, pp. 331-338.

[Google Scholar](#)

Koh, H. C. & Killough, L. N. (1990), 'The use of multiple discriminant analysis in the assessment of the going concern status of an audit client', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 179–191.

[Google Scholar](#)

Krishnan, J. (1994), 'Auditor switching and conservatism', *Accounting Review*, Vol. 69, No. 3, pp. 200–215.

[Google Scholar](#)

Krishnan, J. & Krishnan, J. (1996), 'The role of economic trade-offs in the audit opinion decision: an empirical analysis', *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 565–586.

[Google Scholar](#)

Laitinen, E. K. & Laitinen, T. (1998), 'Qualified audit reports in Finland: evidence from large companies', *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 639–653.

[Google Scholar](#)

LaSalle, R., Anandarajan, A. & Miller, A. (1996), 'Going concern uncertainties: disclaimer of opinion versus unqualified opinion with modified wording', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, Fall, pp. 29–48.

[Google Scholar](#)

Lenard, J. M., Alam, P., Booth, D. & Madey, G. (2001), 'Decision-making capabilities of a hybrid system applied to the auditor's going-concern assessment', *International Journal of Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance & Management*, Vol. 10, pp. 1–24.

[Google Scholar](#)

Louder, M. L., Khurana, I. K., Sawyers, R. B., Cordey, C., Johnson, C., Lowe, J. & Wunderle, R. (1992), 'The information content of audit qualifications', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, Vol. 11, pp. 69–82.

[Google Scholar](#)

McKeown, J. C., Mutchler, J. F. & Hopwood, W. (1991), 'Towards an explanation of auditor failure to modify the audit opinions of bankrupt companies', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, Vol. 10, Supplement, pp. 1–13.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Mutchler, J. (1985), 'A multivariate analysis of the auditor's going-concern opinion decision', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Autumn, pp. 668–682.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Mutchler, J. (1986), 'Empirical evidence regarding the auditor's going-concern opinion decision', *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, Fall, pp. 148-163.

[Google Scholar](#)

Mutchler, J., Hopwood, W. & McKeown, J. (1997), 'The influence of contrary information and mitigating factors on audit opinion decisions on bankrupt companies', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 35, pp. 295-310.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Negakis, C. (1995), 'Robustness of Greece business failure prediction models', *International Review of Economics and Business*, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 203-215.

[Google Scholar](#)

Reynolds, J. K. & Francis, J. R. (2001), 'Does size matter? The influence of large clients on office-level auditor reporting decisions', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 30, pp. 375-400.

[CAS](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Skinner, J. D. (1997), 'Earnings disclosures and stockholder law suits', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 249-282.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Spathis, C., Doumpos M. & Zopounidis, C. (2002), 'Detecting falsified financial statements: a comparative study using multicriteria and multivariate statistical techniques', *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 509-535.

[Google Scholar](#)

Sundgren, S. (1998), 'Auditor choices and auditor reporting practices: evidence from Finish small firms', *European Accounting Review*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 441-465.

[Google Scholar](#)

Theodosiou, P. (1991), 'Alternative models for assessing the financial condition of business in Greece', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 18, No. 5, pp. 697-720.

[Google Scholar](#)

Watts, R. & Zimmerman, J. (1986), *Positive Accounting Theory*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ : Prentice-Hall.

[Google Scholar](#)

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[About Cookies](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

[Developing World Access](#)

HELP & SUPPORT

[Contact Us](#)

[Training and Support](#)

[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)

OPPORTUNITIES

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

CONNECT WITH WILEY

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)