

Stock Splits and Liquidity: The Case of the Nasdaq-100 Index Tracking Stock

Patrick Dennis

First published: 15 July 2003

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6288.00053>

Accessibility issue? [Request accessibility update.](#)



PDF

Abstract

In an attempt to disentangle the signaling effect from the liquidity effect of stock splits, I examine the liquidity changes following the two-for-one split of the Nasdaq-100 Index Tracking Stock. Since there can be no signaling with an index stock split, any difference between pre- and postsplit trading may be driven by liquidity but not signaling effects. I find that though the postsplit relative bid-ask spread is higher and daily turnover is unchanged, the frequency, share volume, and dollar-volume of small trades all increased after the split, indicating that the split improved liquidity for small trade-sizes.

References

Amihud, Y. and H. Mendelson, 1986. Asset pricing and the bid-ask spread, *Journal of Financial Economics* 17, 223–249.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Baker, H. K. and P. L. Gallagher, 1980. Management's view of stock splits, *Financial Management* 9, 73–77.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

[Web of Science®](#) 

[Google Scholar](#) 

Constantinidies, G., 1984. Optimal stock trading with personal taxes, *Journal of Financial Economics* 13, 65–89.

[Web of Science®](#) 

[Google Scholar](#) 

Dennis, P. and J. Weston, 2001. Trade size: Determinants and implications. *Working Paper*, University of Virginia and Rice University .

[Google Scholar](#) 

Dhatt, M., Y. Kim, and S. Mukherji, 1997. Did the 1986 Tax Reform Act affect market reactions to stock splits? A test of the tax-option hypothesis, *Financial Review* 32, 249–271.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Gray, S., T. Smith, and R. Whaley, 2002. Stock splits: Implications for investor trading costs, *Forthcoming, Journal of Empirical Finance*.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Hu, S., 1997. Trading turnover and expected stock returns: The trading frequency hypothesis and evidence from the Tokyo Stock Exchange. *Working Paper*, University of Chicago .


[Google Scholar](#) 

Ikenberry, D., G. Rankine, and E. Stice, 1996. What do stock splits really signal? *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 31, 357–375.

[Web of Science®](#) 

[Google Scholar](#) 

Lakonishok, J. and B. Lev, 1987. Stock splits and stock dividends: Why, who, and when, *Journal of Finance* 62, 913–932.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Lee, C. and M. Ready, 1991. Inferring trade direction from intraday data, *Journal of Finance* **46**, 733–746.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

McNichols, M. and A. Dravid, 1990. Stock dividends, stock splits, and signaling, *Journal of Finance* **45**, 857–879.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Mukherji, S., Y. Kim, and M. Walker, 1997. The effect of stock splits on the ownership structure of firms, *Journal of Corporate Finance* **3**, 167–188.

[Google Scholar](#)

Muscarella, C. and M. Vetsuypens, 1996. Stock splits: signaling or liquidity? The case of ADR 'solo-splits', *Journal of Financial Economics* **42**, 3–26.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Pilotte, E. and T. Manuel, 1996. The market's response to recurring events: The case of stock splits, *Journal of Financial Economics* **41**, 111–127.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Schultz, P., 2000. Stock splits, tick size and sponsorship, *Journal of Finance* **55**, 429–450.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Citing Literature



This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)



Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

About Cookies

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us

Training and Support

DMCA & Reporting Piracy

Sitemap

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents

Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network

Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2026 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)



Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential