

Economic consequences of SFAS 142 goodwill write-offs

Henry Jarva

First published: 01 August 2012

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2012.00495.x>

Accessibility issue? [Request accessibility update.](#)

I appreciate the helpful comments from Peter Clarkson, Seppo Ikäheimo, Juha Joenväärä, Juha-Pekka Kallunki, Petri Kyröläinen, Petri Sahlström, Richard Sloan, Mikko Zerni, the anonymous referees and seminar participants at the University of Oulu and the University of Eastern Finland. I gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Tauno Tönning Foundation, the Foundation for Economic Education and the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation. All errors are my own.



Abstract

This paper examines the economic consequences of goodwill write-offs under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 (SFAS 142). Although write-off firms have performed poorly, it is evident that deteriorating economic performance explains only a small proportion of write-offs. After controlling for endogeneity of write-off choice, I fail to find evidence that investors and analysts fixate on SFAS 142 goodwill write-offs. I also provide evidence that write-off firms pay higher audit fees, suggesting that auditors charge higher fees in response to extra audit effort. These results are consistent with the principles of market efficiency, analyst-forecast rationality and efficient audit pricing.

References

Basu, S., 1997, The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24, 3-37.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Bens, D. A., W. Heltzer, and B. Segal, 2011, The information content of goodwill impairments and SFAS 142, *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 26, 527-555.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Bradshaw, M. T., S. A. Richardson, and R. G. Sloan, 2001, Do analysts and auditors use information in accruals? *Journal of Accounting Research* 39, 45-74.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Caliendo, M., and S. Kopeinig, 2008, Some practical guidance for the implementation of propensity score matching, *Journal of Economic Surveys* 22, 31-72.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Dechow, P. M., and W. Ge, 2006, The persistence of earnings and cash flows and the role of special items: implications for the accrual anomaly, *Review of Accounting Studies* 11, 297-303.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Dehejia, R. H., and S. Wahba, 2002, Propensity score-matching methods for nonexperimental causal studies, *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 84, 151-161.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Dichev, I. D., 2008, On the balance sheet-based model of financial reporting, *Accounting Horizons* 22, 453-470.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Easton, P., 2004, PE ratios, PEG ratios, and estimating the implied expected rate of return on equity capital, *The Accounting Review* 79, 73-95.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Godfrey, J. M., and P. S. Koh, 2009, Goodwill impairment as a reflection of investment opportunities, *Accounting and Finance* 49, 117-140.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Gu, Z., and T. Chen, 2004, Analysts' treatment of nonrecurring items in street earnings, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 38, 129-170.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Gul, F. A., C. J. P. Chen, and J. S. L. Tsui, 2003, Discretionary accounting accruals, managers' incentives, and audit fees, *Contemporary Accounting Research* 20, 441-464.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Hayn, C., and P. J. Hughes, 2006, Leading indicators of goodwill impairment, *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance* 21, 223-265.

[Google Scholar](#)

Holthausen, R. W., and R. L. Watts, 2001, The relevance of the value-relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 31, 3-75.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Jarva, H., 2009, Do firms manage fair value estimates? An examination of SFAS 142 goodwill impairments, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 36, 1059-1086.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kothari, S. P., K. Ramanna, and D. J. Skinner, 2010, Implications for GAAP from an analysis of positive research in accounting, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 50, 246-286.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Li, K. K., and R. G. Sloan, 2011, Has goodwill accounting gone bad? Working paper (University of California at Berkeley).

[Google Scholar](#) 

Li, Z., P. K. Shroff, R. Venkataraman, and I. X. Zhang, 2011, Causes and consequences of goodwill impairment losses, *Review of Accounting Studies* 16, 745–778.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Muller, K. A., M. Neamtiu, and E. J. Riedl, 2010, Information asymmetry surrounding SFAS 142 goodwill impairments, Working paper (Harvard Business School).

[Google Scholar](#) 

Parsons, L. S., 2001, Reducing bias in a propensity score matched-pair sample using greedy matching techniques, Proceedings of the 26th Annual SAS Users Group International Conference.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Ramanna, K., and R. L. Watts, 2011, Evidence on the use of unverifiable estimates in required goodwill impairment, Working paper (Harvard Business School and MIT).

[Google Scholar](#) 

Rosenbaum, P. R., and D. B. Rubin, 1983, The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects, *Biometrika* 70, 41–55.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Sloan, R. G., 1996, Do stock prices fully reflect information in accruals and cash flows about future earnings? *The Accounting Review* 71, 289–315.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

About Cookies

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us

Training and Support

DMCA & Reporting Piracy

Sitemap

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents

Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network

Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2026 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)



Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential