

## Road Taxes, Road User Charges and Earmarking

David M. Newbery, Georgina Santos

First published: 02 February 2005

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5890.1999.tb00006.x>

Support from the Economic and Social Research Council under grant R000222352, 'Quantifying the costs of congestion', is gratefully acknowledged, as is support for G. Santos from Fundación Antorchas, Argentina. The authors are indebted to Allen Ritchie, Head of General Expenditure Statistics in the Treasury, for clarification of tax accounting conventions and to Ian Preston for helpful comments on an earlier draft.

### Abstract

The UK Road Fund was set up in 1921 and financed by earmarked taxes, but was unsuccessful as a form of road finance and abandoned in 1937. The paper examines why earmarking failed and what problems arise for replacing road taxes by hypothecated road charges. These charges would need to be regulated and could evolve into a more efficient system of road pricing. The paper claims that recent experiences with regulating capital-intensive network industries make road user charging and the commercialisation of the public highway both feasible and desirable, but that recent government proposals for local earmarked taxes are inadequate.

### REFERENCES

Automobile Association (1998), *Clearing the Air*, Basingstoke : AA.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

Bracewell-Milnes, B. (1991), 'Earmarking in Britain: theory and practice', in R. Teja and B. Bracewell-Milnes, *The Case for Earmarked Taxes*, London : Institute of Economic Affairs.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

DETR (1998a), *Transport Statistics Great Britain*, 1998 edition, London : Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

DETR (1998b), *A New Deal for Transport: Better for Everyone*, Cm. 3950, London : The Stationery Office.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

DETR (1999), *Breaking the Logjam*, Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, <http://www.detr.gov.uk/logjam>.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Highways Agency and Department of Transport (1995), *Roads DBFO Projects: Information and Pre-Qualification Requirements*, February.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

HMSO (1993), *Paying for Better Motorways*, London : HMSO.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Institution of Civil Engineers (1996), *Which Way Roads?*, London : Institution of Civil Engineers.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Institution of Civil Engineers (1997), *Paying for Transport: Improving Quality and Choice*, London : Institution of Civil Engineers.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Jeffreys, R. (1949), *The King's Highway*, London : Batchworth.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

McCleary, W. (1991), 'The earmarking of government revenue: a review of some World Bank experience', *World Bank Research Observer*, vol. 6, pp. 81–104.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1988), 'Road user charges in Britain', *Economic Journal*, conference volume, pp. 161–76.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1989), 'Cost recovery from optimally designed roads', *Economica*, vol. 56, pp. 165–85.

| [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1990), 'Pricing and congestion: economic principles relevant to pricing roads', *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 22–38.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1994), 'The case for a public road authority', *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, vol. 28, pp. 325–54.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1995), *Reforming Road Taxation*, Aldershot : Automobile Association.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1997a), 'Determining the regulatory asset base for utility price regulation', *Utilities Policy*, vol. 6, pp. 1–8.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Newbery, D. M. (1997b), 'Charging transport users for environmental and social costs', Cambridge University, Department of Applied Economics, mimeo.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Ofwat (1998), *Prospects for Prices: A Consultation Paper on Strategic Issues Affecting Future Water Bills*, Birmingham : Office of Water Services.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Pearce, D., Turner, R., O'Riordan, T., Adger, N., Atkinson, G., Brisson, I., Brown, K., Dubourg, R., Frankhauser, S., Jordan, A., Maddison, D., Moran, D. and Powell, J. (1993), *Blueprint 3: Measuring Sustainable Development*, London : Earthscan.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (1994), *Transport and the Environment*, 18th Report, Cm 2674, London : HMSO.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Stationery Office (1998), *New Ambitions for Britain: Financial Statement and Budget Report*, London : The Stationery Office.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

Stationery Office (1999), *Building a Stronger Economic Future for Britain: Economic and Fiscal Strategy Report and Financial Statement and Budget Report*, London : The Stationery Office.

| [Google Scholar](#) |

---

System of National Accounts 1993 (1993), Brussels / Luxemburg , New York , Paris and Washington , DC : *Commission of the European Communities, International Monetary Fund, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development*, United Nations and World Bank (manufactured in the US).

| [Google Scholar](#) |

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#).

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

## ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

[Privacy Policy](#)[Terms of Use](#)[About Cookies](#)[Manage Cookies](#)[Accessibility](#)[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

## HELP & SUPPORT

[Contact Us](#)[Training and Support](#)[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)[Sitemap](#)

## OPPORTUNITIES

[Subscription Agents](#)[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

## CONNECT WITH WILEY

[The Wiley Network](#)[Wiley Press Room](#)

Copyright © 1999-2026 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

# WILEY

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

[Manage Preferences](#)[Accept All](#)[Reject Non-Essential](#)