Road Taxes, Road User Charges and Earmarking

David M. Newbery, Georgina Santos

First published: 02 February 2005

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-5890.1999.tb00006.x

Citations: 26

Support from the Economic and Social Research Council under grant R000222352, 'Quantifying the costs of congestion', is gratefully acknowledged, as is support for G. Santos from Fundación Antorchas, Argentina. The authors are indebted to Allen Ritchie, Head of General Expenditure Statistics in the Treasury, for clarification of tax accounting conventions and to Ian Preston for helpful comments on an earlier draft.

Abstract

The UK Road Fund was set up in 1921 and financed by earmarked taxes, but was unsuccessful as a form of road finance and abandoned in 1937. The paper examines why earmarking failed and what problems arise for replacing road taxes by hypothecated road charges. These charges would need to be regulated and could evolve into a more efficient system of road pricing. The paper claims that recent experiences with regulating capital-intensive network industries make road user charging and the commercialisation of the public highway both feasible and desirable, but that recent government proposals for local earmarked taxes are inadequate.

REFERENCES

Automobile Association (1998), *Clearing the Air*, Basingstoke : AA.

Google Scholar

Bracewell-Milnes, B. (1991), 'Earmarking in Britain: theory and practice', in R. Teja and B. Bracewell-Milnes, *The Case for Earmarked Taxes*, London: Institute of Economic Affairs.

Google Scholar

Department of Transport (1995), *The Allocation of Road Track Costs* 1996/97, London: Statistics Directorate, Department of Transport.

Google Scholar

DETR (1998a), *Transport Statistics Great Britain*, 1998 edition, London : Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions.

Google Scholar

DETR (1998b), A New Deal for Transport: Better for Everyone, Cm. 3950, London: The Stationery Office. **Google Scholar** DETR (1999), Breaking the Logjam, Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, http://www.d etr.gov.uk/logjam. **Google Scholar** Highways Agency and Department of Transport (1995), Roads DBFO Projects: Information and Pre-Qualification Requirements, February. **Google Scholar** HMSO (1993), Paying for Better Motorways, London: HMSO. **Google Scholar** Institution of Civil Engineers (1996), Which Way Roads?, London: Institution of Civil Engineers. **Google Scholar** Institution of Civil Engineers (1997), Paying for Transport: Improving Quality and Choice, London: Institution of Civil Engineers. **Google Scholar** Jeffreys, R. (1949), *The King's Highway*, London: Batchworth. **Google Scholar** Maddison, D., Pearce, D., Johansson, O., Calthrop, E., Litman, T. and Verhoef, E. (1996), Blueprint 5: The True Costs of Road Transport, London: Earthscan. **Google Scholar** McCleary, W. (1991), 'The earmarking of government revenue: a review of some World Bank experience', World Bank Research Observer, vol. 6, pp. 81-104. **Google Scholar** Newbery, D. M. (1988), 'Road user charges in Britain', *Economic Journal*, conference volume, pp. 161–76.

Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. (1989), 'Cost recovery from optimally designed roads', *Economica*, vol. **56**, pp. 165–85.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. (1990), 'Pricing and congestion: economic principles relevant to pricing roads', *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, vol. **6**, no. 2, pp. 22–38.

Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. (1994), 'The case for a public road authority', *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, vol. **28**, pp. 325–54.

Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. (1995), Reforming Road Taxation, Aldershot: Automobile Association.

Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. (1997a), 'Determining the regulatory asset base for utility price regulation', *Utilities Policy*, vol. **6**, pp. 1–8.

Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. (1997b), 'Charging transport users for environmental and social costs', Cambridge University, Department of Applied Economics, mimeo.

Google Scholar

Newbery, D. M. and Pollitt, M. G. (1997), 'The restructuring and privatisation of the CEGB: was it worth it?', *Journal of Industrial Economics*, vol. **45**, pp. 269–303.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

New Zealand Ministry of Transport (1997), *Options for the Future: Land Transport Pricing Study*, Auckland : Ministry of Transport.

Google Scholar

Ofwat (1998), *Prospects for Prices: A Consultation Paper on Strategic Issues Affecting Future Water Bills*, Birmingham: Office of Water Services.

Google Scholar

Pearce, D., Turner, R., O'Riordan, T., Adger, N., Atkinson, G., Brisson, I., Brown, K., Dubourg, R., Frankhauser, S., Jordan, A., Maddison, D., Moran, D. and Powell, J. (1993), *Blueprint 3: Measuring Sustainable Development*, London: Earthscan.

Google Scholar

Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (1994), Transport and the Environment, 18th Report, Cm 2674, London: HMSO.

Google Scholar

Stationery Office (1998), New Ambitions for Britain: Financial Statement and Budget Report, London: The Stationery Office.

Google Scholar

Stationery Office (1999), Building a Stronger Economic Future for Britain: Economic and Fiscal Strategy Report and Financial Statement and Budget Report, London: The Stationery Office.

Google Scholar

System of National Accounts 1993 (1993), Brussels / Luxemburg, New York, Paris and Washington, DC: Commission of the European Communities, International Monetary Fund, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, United Nations and World Bank (manufactured in the US).

Google Scholar

Tinch, R. (1995), *The Valuation of Environmental Externalities*, London: Department of Transport.

Google Scholar

Citing Literature

Download PDF

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

Privacy Policy Terms of Use

About Cookies Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies **Developing World Access**

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us
Training and Support
DMCA & Reporting Piracy

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents
Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network
Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

