

On the Optimal Relation between the Properties of Managerial and Financial Reporting Systems

THOMAS HEMMER, EVA LABRO

First published: 21 October 2008

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2008.00303.x>

Citations: 113

ABSTRACT

We develop a theoretical model of the firm that links properties (stewardship vs. valuation focus) of financial reporting regimes with the informational properties of optimal managerial accounting systems. We show that, contrary to the standard textbook proposition, properties of management and financial accounting systems are *not* independent. Significantly, we provide an explicit connection between exogenous and observable properties of a firm's financial reporting system and the quality of the managerial accounting system on which manager(s) base real economic decisions. As the quality of those economic decisions can also be inferred from publicly available data, our theory generates new opportunities for empirical managerial accounting research on large nonproprietary samples. Further, by being able to identify enhanced performance due to improved managerial accounting information, our theory provides opportunities to gain a better understanding of the link between *particular* managerial accounting practices and the quality of the information produced.

REFERENCES

Al-Omiri, M., AND C. Drury. A Survey of Factors Influencing the Choice of Product Costing Systems in UK Organizations. *Management Accounting Research* 18 (2007): 399–424.

[Google Scholar](#)

Ball, R. Corporate Governance and Financial Reporting at Daimler-Benz (DaimlerChrysler) AG: From a 'Stakeholder' Toward a 'Shareholder Value' Model," in *The Economics and Politics of Accounting: International Perspectives on Research, Trends, Policy, and Practice*, edited by A. Hopwood, C. Leuz, and D. Pfaff. Publisher Location: Oxford University Press, 2004: 103–43.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Basu, S. The Conservatism Principle and the Asymmetric Timeliness of Earnings. *Journal of Accounting & Economics* 24 (1997): 3–37.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Bonner, S. E.; J. W. Hesford; W. A. Van Der Stede; AND S. M. Young. The Most Influential Journals in Academic Accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **31** (2006): 663–85.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Bushman, R.; E. Engel; AND A. Smith. An Analysis of the Relation Between the Stewardship and Valuation Roles of Earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research* **44** (2006): 53–84.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Christensen, J. The Determination of Performance Standards and Participation. *Journal of Accounting Research* **20** (1982): 589–603.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Collins, D. W.; E. L. Maydew; AND I. S. Weiss. Changes in the Value-Relevance of Earnings and Book Values Over the Past Forty Years. *Journal of Accounting & Economics* **24** (1997): 39–67.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Dontoh, A.; S. Radhakrishnan; AND J. Ronen. The Declining Value-Relevance of Accounting Information and Non-information-Based Trading: An Empirical Analysis. *Contemporary Accounting Research* **21** (2004): 795–812.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

Drury, C., AND M. Tayles. Evidence on the Financial Accounting Mentality Debate: A Research Note. *British Accounting Review* **29** (1997): 263–76.

[Google Scholar](#) |

Ely, K., AND G. Waymire. Accounting Standard-Setting Organizations and Earnings Relevance: Longitudinal Evidence From NYSE Common Stocks, 1927–1993. *Journal of Accounting Research* **37** (1999): 293–317.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#) |

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (FASB) . “ Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts (SFAC) No. 1: Objectives of Financial Reporting by Business Enterprises” (1978).

[Google Scholar](#) |

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (FASB) . “ Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 107: Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments” (1991).

[Google Scholar](#) |

Francis, J., AND K. Schipper. Have Financial Statements Lost Their Relevance *Journal of Accounting Research* **37** (1999): 319–52.

Givoly, D., AND C. Hayn. The Changing Time-Series Properties of Earnings, Cash Flows and Accruals: Has Financial Reporting Become More Conservative *Journal of Accounting & Economics* **29** (2000): 287–320.

Holthausen, R. W., AND R. L. Watts. The Relevance of the Value-Relevance Literature for Financial Accounting Standard Setting. *Journal of Accounting & Economics* **31** (2001): 3–75.

Hopper, T.; L. Kirkham; R. W. Scapens; AND S. Turley. Does Financial Accounting Dominate Management Accounting? A Research Note. *Management Accounting Research* (1992): 307–11.

Johnson, H. T., AND R. S. Kaplan. *Relevance Lost: Rise and Fall of Management Accounting*. Boston , MA : Harvard Business School Press, 1987.

Joseph, N.; S. Turley; J. Burns; L. Lewis; R. Scapens; AND A. Southworth. External Financial Reporting and Management Information: A Survey of UK Management Accountants. *Management Accounting Research* **7** (1996): 73–93.

Krumwiede, K. R. The Implementation Stages of Activity-Based Costing and the Impact of Contextual and Organizational Factors. *Journal of Management Accounting Research* **10** (1998): 239–77.

Lev, B., AND P. Zarowin. The Boundaries of Financial Reporting and How to Extend Them. *Journal of Accounting Research* **37** (1999): 353–85.

Libby, T., AND J. H. Waterhouse. Predicting Change in Management Accounting Systems. *Journal of Management Accounting Research* **8** (1996): 137–50.

Penno, M. Asymmetry of Pre-decision Information and Managerial Accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research* **22** (1984): 177–91.

Rajgopal, S. Early Evidence on the Informativeness of the SEC's Market Risk Disclosures: The Case of Commodity Price Risk Exposure of Oil and Gas Producers. *The Accounting Review* **74** (1999): 251–80.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Ryan, S. G., AND P. A. Zarowin. Why Has the Contemporaneous Linear Returns-Earnings Relation Declined *The Accounting Review* **78** (2003): 523–53.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Wagner, S., AND L. Dittmar. The Unexpected Benefits of Sarbanes-Oxley. *Harvard Business Review* **84** (2006): 133–40.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Watts, R. L. Conservatism in Accounting Part II: Evidence and Research Opportunities. *Accounting Horizons* **17** (2003): 287–301.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Zimmerman, J. L. Conjectures Regarding Empirical Managerial Accounting Research. *Journal of Accounting & Economics* **32** (2001): 411–27.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Citing Literature

[Download PDF](#)

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

- Privacy Policy
- Terms of Use
- About Cookies
- Manage Cookies
- Accessibility
- Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies
- Developing World Access

HELP & SUPPORT

- Contact Us
- Training and Support

[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)

OPPORTUNITIES

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

CONNECT WITH WILEY

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY