

Fear, Shame and Guilt: Economic and Behavioral Motivations for Strategic Default

Michael J. Seiler, Vicky L. Seiler, Mark A. Lane, David M. Harrison

First published: 26 December 2012

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6229.2012.00349.x

Abstract

This study examines underwater primary resident homeowners to identify why some decide to strategically default while others do not. We find that realized shame and guilt are consistent with *ex ante* expectations. However, the financial backlash experienced by strategic defaulters is less than anticipated, causing strategic defaulters not to regret their actions. State-specific bankruptcy exemption levels and real estate laws only marginally explain the decision to strategically default, partly because the decision to walk away from a mortgage is emotional, and partly because the implementation of these laws is uncertain and confusing to distressed borrowers. Rather, we find key strategic default drivers include the homeowner's expectation of future real estate price movements, frustration with the lender, moral evaluation of the decision to strategically default, loan knowledge, political ideology, gender, income and age.

References

Benjamin, J., P. Chinloy and D. Winkler. 2009. Labor Supply, Flexible Hours and Real Estate Agents. *Real Estate Economics* **37**(4): 747–767.

Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑

Berkovec, J. 1989. A General Equilibrium Model of Housing Consumption and Investment. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **2**(3): 157–172.

Google Scholar 🗹

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. <u>Privacy Policy</u>

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential



Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Boehm, T. and A. Schlottmann. 2009. The Dynamics of Homeownership: Eliminating the Gap between African American and White Households. Real Estate Economics 37(4): 599-634. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Capone, C. 1996. Providing Alternatives to Mortgage Foreclosure: A Report to Congress. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development: Washington, DC. Google Scholar ☑ Clauretie, T. 1987. The Impact of Interstate Foreclosure Cost Differences and the Value of Mortgages on Default Rates. Real Estate Economics 15(3): 152-167. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Clauretie, T. 1989. State Foreclosure Laws, Risk Shifting, and the Private Mortgage Insurance Industry. Journal of Risk and Insurance **56**(3): 544-554. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Clauretie, T. and T. Herzog, 1990. The Effect of State Foreclosure Laws on Loan Losses: Evidence from the Mortgage Insurance Industry. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 22(2): 221–233. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Coulibaly, B. and G. Li. 2009. Choice of Mortgage Contracts: Evidence from the Survey of Consumer Finances. *Real Estate Economics* **37**(4): 659–673. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Courchane, M., B. Surette and P. Zorn. 2004. Subprime Borrowers: Mortgage Transitions and Outcomes. Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics 29(4): 365-392. X This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. Privacy Policy

	nt Accountability Office. 2008. <i>Bankruptcy Reform: Dollar Costs Associated with the Bankruptcy Abuse and Consumer Protection Act of 2005</i> . U.S. GOA-08–697. Washington, DC.
	Google Scholar ☑
	. Sapienza and L. Zingales. Forthcoming. Moral and Social Constraints to Strategic Default on . Journal of Finance .
	Google Scholar ☑
	E. Rosenblatt and V. Yao. 2009. The Contagion Effect of Foreclosed Properties. <i>Journal of Urban</i> 66 (3): 164–178.
	Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑
	D.M. and T.G. Noordewier. 2011. Empirical Evidence on Mortgage Choice as a Screening Mechanism Risk. <i>Journal of Housing Research</i> 20 (1): 1–18.
	Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑
Harrison, D	D.M. and A. Yavas. 2004. Do Riskier Borrowers Borrow More <i>Real Estate Economics</i> 32 (3): 385–411.
	Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑
	k, D. and G. Smith. 2006. The External Costs of Foreclosures: The Impact of Single-Family Mortgage es on Property Values. <i>Housing Policy Debate</i> 17 (1): 57–79.
	Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑
Jankowski,	D. 1999. <i>National Mortgage Servicers Reference Directory, 16th ed.</i> Vernon Enterprises: Perry Hall , MD .
	Google Scholar ☑
	White and N. Zhu. 2010. Did Bankruptcy Reform Cause Mortgage Default Rates To Rise? Working
analytics, p	e utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for ersonalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. Privacy Policy
	Manage Preferences
	Accept All
	Reject Non-Essential

Pence, K.M. 2006. Foreclosing on Opportunity: State Laws and Mortgage Credit. Review of Economics and Statistics 88(1): 177-182. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Posey, L.L. and A. Yavas. 2001. Adjustable and Fixed Rate Mortgages as a Screening Mechanism for Default Risk. Journal of Urban Economics 49(1): 54-79. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Rogers, W. and W. Winter. 2009. The Impact of Foreclosures on Neighboring Housing Sales. Journal of Real Estate Research 31(4): 455-479. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ Stacey, J. 1996. In the Name of the Family: Family Values in the Post-modern Age. Beacan Press: Boston, MA. Google Scholar 🗵 Steinbuks, J., C. Desai and G. Elliehausen. 2010. Effects of Bankruptcy Asset Examinations and Foreclosure Laws on Mortgage Default and Foreclosure Rates . Working Paper. University of Cambridge. Web of Science® ☑ Google Scholar ☑ White, B. 2010a. Underwater and Not Walking Away: Shame, Fear, and the Social Management of the Housing Crisis. Wake Forest Law Review 45: 971-1023. Google Scholar 🗹 White, B. 2010b. Take This House and Shove It: The Emotional Drivers of Strategic Default. Arizona Legal Studies , Discussion Paper No. 10-17. Google Scholar 🖸 Wood C 1007 The Impact of Martagae Foreslasure Laws on Secondary Market Loan Lasses. Ph.D. Thosis Cornell X This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. Privacy Policy **Manage Preferences Accept All Reject Non-Essential**

Download PDF

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

About Cookies

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us
Training and Support
DMCA & Reporting Piracy
Sitemap

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents
Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. Privacy Policy

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

