Fear, Shame and Guilt: Economic and Behavioral Motivations for Strategic Default

Michael J. Seiler, Vicky L. Seiler, Mark A. Lane, David M. Harrison

First published: 26 December 2012

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6229.2012.00349.x

Citations: 64

Abstract

This study examines underwater primary resident homeowners to identify why some decide to strategically default while others do not. We find that realized shame and guilt are consistent with *ex ante* expectations. However, the financial backlash experienced by strategic defaulters is less than anticipated, causing strategic defaulters not to regret their actions. State-specific bankruptcy exemption levels and real estate laws only marginally explain the decision to strategically default, partly because the decision to walk away from a mortgage is emotional, and partly because the implementation of these laws is uncertain and confusing to distressed borrowers. Rather, we find key strategic default drivers include the homeowner's expectation of future real estate price movements, frustration with the lender, moral evaluation of the decision to strategically default, loan knowledge, political ideology, gender, income and age.

References

Benjamin, J., P. Chinloy and D. Winkler. 2009. Labor Supply, Flexible Hours and Real Estate Agents. *Real Estate Economics* **37**(4): 747–767.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Berkovec, J. 1989. A General Equilibrium Model of Housing Consumption and Investment. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **2**(3): 157–172.

Google Scholar

Berkovec, J. and D. Fullerton. 1992. A General Equilibrium Model of Housing, Taxes, and Portfolio Choice. *Journal of Political Economy* **100**(2): 390–429.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Berkovec, J., D. Kogut and F. Nothaft. 2001. Determinants of the ARM Share of FHA and Conventional Lending. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **22**(1): 23–41.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Boehm, T. and A. Schlottmann. 2009. The Dynamics of Homeownership: Eliminating the Gap between African American and White Households. *Real Estate Economics* **37**(4): 599–634.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Capone, C. 1996. *Providing Alternatives to Mortgage Foreclosure: A Report to Congress* . U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development: Washington , DC.

Google Scholar

Clauretie, T. 1987. The Impact of Interstate Foreclosure Cost Differences and the Value of Mortgages on Default Rates. *Real Estate Economics* **15**(3): 152–167.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Clauretie, T. 1989. State Foreclosure Laws, Risk Shifting, and the Private Mortgage Insurance Industry. *Journal of Risk and Insurance* **56**(3): 544–554.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Clauretie, T. and T. Herzog, 1990. The Effect of State Foreclosure Laws on Loan Losses: Evidence from the Mortgage Insurance Industry. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **22**(2): 221–233.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Coulibaly, B. and G. Li. 2009. Choice of Mortgage Contracts: Evidence from the Survey of Consumer Finances. *Real Estate Economics* **37**(4): 659–673.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Courchane, M., B. Surette and P. Zorn. 2004. Subprime Borrowers: Mortgage Transitions and Outcomes. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **29**(4): 365–392.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Gangel, M., M. Seiler and A. Collins. Forthcoming. Exploring the Foreclosure Contagion Effect Using Agent-Based Modeling. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* .

Google Scholar

Government Accountability Office. 2008. *Bankruptcy Reform: Dollar Costs Associated with the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005*. U.S. GOA-08–697. Washington, DC.

Google Scholar

Guiso, L., P. Sapienza and L. Zingales. Forthcoming. Moral and Social Constraints to Strategic Default on Mortgages. *Journal of Finance*.

Google Scholar

Harding, J., E. Rosenblatt and V. Yao. 2009. The Contagion Effect of Foreclosed Properties. *Journal of Urban Economics* **66**(3): 164–178.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Harrison, D.M. and T.G. Noordewier. 2011. Empirical Evidence on Mortgage Choice as a Screening Mechanism for Default Risk. *Journal of Housing Research* **20**(1): 1–18.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Harrison, D.M. and A. Yavas. 2004. Do Riskier Borrowers Borrow More Real Estate Economics 32(3): 385-411.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Immergluck, D. and G. Smith. 2006. The External Costs of Foreclosures: The Impact of Single-Family Mortgage Foreclosures on Property Values. *Housing Policy Debate* **17**(1): 57–79.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Jankowski, D. 1999. National Mortgage Servicers Reference Directory, 16th ed. Vernon Enterprises: Perry Hall, MD.

Google Scholar

Li, W., M. White and N. Zhu. 2010. Did Bankruptcy Reform Cause Mortgage Default Rates To Rise? Working Paper No. 10–16. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia.

Google Scholar

Pence, K.M. 2003. *Foreclosing on Opportunity: State Laws and Mortgage Credit*. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Finance and Economics Discussion Series, 2003–16.

Google Scholar

Pence, K.M. 2006. Foreclosing on Opportunity: State Laws and Mortgage Credit. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **88**(1): 177–182.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Posey, L.L. and A. Yavas. 2001. Adjustable and Fixed Rate Mortgages as a Screening Mechanism for Default Risk. *Journal of Urban Economics* **49**(1): 54–79.

Web of Science® Google Scholar

Rogers, W. and W. Winter. 2009. The Impact of Foreclosures on Neighboring Housing Sales. *Journal of Real Estate Research* **31**(4): 455–479.

Web of Science® Google Scholar Stacey, J. 1996. In the Name of the Family: Family Values in the Post-modern Age. Beacan Press: Boston, MA. **Google Scholar** Steinbuks, J., C. Desai and G. Elliehausen. 2010. Effects of Bankruptcy Asset Examinations and Foreclosure Laws on Mortgage Default and Foreclosure Rates . Working Paper. University of Cambridge. Web of Science® Google Scholar White, B. 2010a. Underwater and Not Walking Away: Shame, Fear, and the Social Management of the Housing Crisis. Wake Forest Law Review 45: 971-1023. **Google Scholar** White, B. 2010b. Take This House and Shove It: The Emotional Drivers of Strategic Default. *Arizona Legal Studies* , Discussion Paper No. 10-17. **Google Scholar** Wood, C. 1997. The Impact of Mortgage Foreclosure Laws on Secondary Market Loan Losses . Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University. **Google Scholar**

Wyman, O. 2009. Understanding Strategic Default in Mortgages. Experian Report: Dublin.

Google Scholar

Citing Literature

Download PDF

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

Privacy Policy
Terms of Use
About Cookies
Manage Cookies
Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies
Developing World Access

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us
Training and Support
DMCA & Reporting Piracy

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents
Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2024 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

