

Market Reaction to Changes in the S&P SmallCap 600 Index

S. Gowri Shankar , James M. Miller

First published: 04 July 2006

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6288.2006.00146.x>

We are very grateful to an anonymous referee and Arnie Cowan (the editor) for their insightful and helpful comments. We thank Steve Holland for comments on earlier versions of this paper.



Abstract

Firms added to (deleted from) the S&P 600 index experience a significant price increase (decrease) at announcement. Firms that newly enter (exit) the S&P universe experience a larger price increase (decrease) than firms that move between S&P indexes. Trading volumes are higher after the announcement and institutional ownership increases (decreases) following index additions (deletions). However, the price and volume effects are temporary and are fully reversed within 60 days, in contrast to the permanent effects reported for S&P 500 changes. Our results support the temporary price-pressure hypothesis and are similar to results reported for Russell 2000 index changes.

References

Amihud, Y. and H. Mendelson, 1986. Asset pricing and the bid-ask spread, *Journal of Financial Economics* 14, 223–249.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Beneish, M.D. and R.E. Whaley, 1996. An anatomy of the “S&P 500 Game”: The effects of changing the rules,

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Biktimirov, E.N., A.R. Cowan, and B.D. Jordan, 2004. Do demand curves for small stocks slope down? *Journal of Financial Research* 27, 161–178.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Brealey, R.A., 2000. Stock prices, stock indexes and index funds, *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin* 40, 61–68.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Brown, S.J. and J.B. Warner, 1985. Using daily stock returns: The case of event studies, *Journal of Financial Economics* 14, 3–31.

[PubMed](#)  | [Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Campbell, C.J. and C.E. Wasley, 1996. Measuring abnormal daily trading volumes for samples of NYSE/ASE and Nasdaq Securities using parametric and nonparametric test statistics, *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 6, 309–326.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Chan, H. and P.F. Howard, 2002. Additions to and deletions from an open-ended market index: Evidence from the Australian All-Ordinaries, *Australian Journal of Management* 27, 45–74.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Chen, H., G. Noronha, and V. Singal, 2004. The price response to S&P 500 Index additions and deletions: Evidence of asymmetry and a new explanation, *Journal of Finance* 59, 1901–1930.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Cready, W.M. and R. Ramanan, 1991. The power of tests employing log-transformed volume in detecting abnormal trading, *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 14, 203–214.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Edmister, R.O., S.A. Graham, and W.L. Pirie, 1994. Excess returns of index replacement stocks: Evidence of liquidity and substitutability, *Journal of Financial Research* 17, 333-346.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Elliott, W.B. and R.S. Warr, 2003. Price pressure on the NYSE and Nasdaq; evidence from S&P 500 index changes, *Financial Management* 32, 85-99.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Erwin, G.R. and J.M. Miller, 1998. The liquidity effects associated with addition of a stock to the S&P 500 Index: Evidence from bid/ask spreads, *The Financial Review* 33, 131-146.

[Google Scholar](#)

Fama, E.F. and K.R. French, 1993. Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds, *Journal of Financial Economics* 33, 3-56.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Gastineau, G.L., 2002. Equity index funds have lost their way, *Journal of Portfolio Management* 28, 55-64.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Gastineau, G.L., 2004. Traditional indexing is passe. *Proceedings of the CFA Institute Seminar on New Directions for Portfolio Management* 76-80.

[Google Scholar](#)

Harris, L. and E. Gurel, 1986. Price and volume effects associated with changes in the S&P 500 list: New evidence for the existence of price pressures, *Journal of Finance* 41, 815-829.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

[Google Scholar](#) 

Kaul, A., V. Mehrotra, and R. Morck, 2000. Demand curves for stocks do slope down: New evidence from an index weights adjustment, *Journal of Finance* 55, 893–912.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Lynch, A.W. and R.R. Mendenhall, 1997. New evidence on stock price effects associated with changes in the S&P 500, *Journal of Business* 70, 351–358.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Masse, I., R. Hanrahan, J. Kushner, and F. Martinello, 2000. The effect of additions to and deletions from the TSE 300 index on Canadian share prices, *Canadian Journal of Economics* 33, 341–360.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Pruitt, S.W. and K.C.J. Wei, 1989. Institutional ownership and changes in the S&P 500, *Journal of Finance* 44, 509–514.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Shleifer, A., 1986. Do demand curves for stocks slope down? *Journal of Finance* 41, 579–590.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Smith, M.T. and D. Lert, 2004. US Equity Index Benchmark usage. Available at <http://www.nybot.com/russell2003/US> Equity Index Benchmark.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Citing Literature

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

[Manage Preferences](#)

[Accept All](#)

[Reject Non-Essential](#)

Terms of Use

About Cookies

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us

Training and Support

DMCA & Reporting Piracy

Sitemap

OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents

Advertisers & Corporate Partners

CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network

Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2026 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)



Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential