

# Marketing cooperatives and financial structure: a transaction costs economics analysis

George W.J. Hendrikse , Cees P. Veerman

First published: 09 August 2005

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2001.tb00064.x>

Accessibility issue? [Request accessibility update.](#)



## Abstract

The relationship between the financial structure of a marketing cooperative (MC) and the requirement of the domination of control by the members is analysed from a transaction costs perspective. A MC receives less favourable terms on outside equity than a conventional firm because the decision power regarding new investments is not allocated to the providers of these funds. This is a serious threat to the survival of a MC in a market where efficient investments are characterised by an increasing level of asset specificity at the processing stage of production. A MC is predicted to be an efficient organisational form when the level of asset specificity at the processing stage of production is at a low or immediate level compared to the level of asset specificity at the farming stage of production.

## References

Aghion, P., Bolton, P., 1992. An incomplete contracts approach to financial contracting. *Rev. Econ. Stud.* 59, 473–494.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Anderson, E., Schmittlein, D.C., 1984. Integration of the sales force: an empirical investigation. *Rand J. Econ.* 15 (2), 285–295.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Bennis, M.J., van Leeuwen, M.J., 1992. *Price/earnings-ratios: an international survey at company level*. SEO Research, Stichting van Economisch Onderzoek der Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam .

[Google Scholar](#) 

Blackwell, D., Dubins, L., 1962. Merging of opinions with increasing information. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **38**, 882–886.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Bonin, J.P., Jones, D.C., Putterman, L., 1993. Theoretical and empirical studies of producer cooperatives. *J. Econ. Literature* **31**, 1290–1320.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Boot, A.W.A., 1994. *De financiering van het bedrijfsleven: tussen structuurregime en financiële sector*. Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam .

[Google Scholar](#) 

Campina Melkunie, 1991. Annual Report, Zaltbommel.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Cook, M.L., 1995. The future of US agricultural cooperatives: a neo-institutional approach. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.* **77** (5), 1153–1159.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Folmer, C., Keyser, M.A., Merbis, M.D., Stolwijk, H.J.J., Veenendaal, P.J.J., 1995. *The Common Agricultural Policy Beyond the MacSharry Reform*. North-Holland, Amsterdam .

[Google Scholar](#) 

Grossman, S.I., Hart, O.D., 1986. The costs and benefits of ownership: a theory of vertical and lateral

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Hart, O.D., Moore J., 1994. *The Governance of Exchanges: Members' Cooperatives Versus Outside Ownership*. Horward University Press, Washington , DC .

[Google Scholar](#) 

Hendrikse, G.W.J., 1998. Screening, competition and the choice of the cooperative as an organisational form. *J. Agric. Econ.* **49** (2), 202–217.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Hodgson, G.M., 1998. The approach of institutional economics. *J. Econ. Literature* **36** (1), 166–192.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Holmström, B., Roberts, J., 1998. The boundaries of the firm revisited. *J. Econ. Persp.* **12** (4), 73–94.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Klein, B., Crawford, R.G., Alchian, A., 1978. Vertical integration, appropriable rents and the competitive contracting process. *J. Law Econ.* **21**, 297–326.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

Moerland, P., 1995. Alternative disciplinary mechanisms in different corporate systems. *J. Econ. Behav. Org.* **26**, 17–34.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 

NRC Handelsblad, 1994. Frico Domo: Omzetting tot Vennootschap. 13 September, p. 16.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Remolona, E.M., 1990. Understanding international differences in leverage trends. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Q. Rev.* **15** (1), 31–42.

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Van Dijk, G., Poppe, K.J., 1992. *Participatie in cooperatie*. Report 405, Landbouw-Economisch Instituut.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Volkin, D., Bradford, H., 1975. *American Crystal Sugar: its rebirth as a cooperative*. Farmer Cooperative Service/US Department of Agriculture.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Williamson, O.E., 1985. *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism*. Free Press, New York .

[Google Scholar](#) 

Williamson, O.E., 1988. Corporate finance and corporate governance. *J. Finance* 43 (3), 567–591.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Williamson, O.E., 1991. Comparative economic organisation: the analysis of discrete structural alternatives. *Admin. Sci. Q.* 36, 269–296.

[Web of Science®](#)  | [Google Scholar](#) 


Zwanenberg, A.C.M., 1992. Apothekerscoöperatie OPG naar de Beurs. *Coöperatie Mag.* 54 (553), 12–14.

[Google Scholar](#) 

Zwanenberg, A.C.M., Dijsselbloem, J., Peerbooms, J., de Jong, G., 1992. *Financing methods in Irish dairy cooperatives from a Dutch point of view*. NCR & FNZ.

[Google Scholar](#) 

## Citing Literature

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#) 

Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential

Terms of Use

About Cookies

Manage Cookies

Accessibility

Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies

#### HELP & SUPPORT

Contact Us

Training and Support

DMCA & Reporting Piracy

Sitemap

#### OPPORTUNITIES

Subscription Agents

Advertisers & Corporate Partners

#### CONNECT WITH WILEY

The Wiley Network

Wiley Press Room

Copyright © 1999-2026 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

WILEY

This website utilizes technologies such as cookies to enable essential site functionality, as well as for analytics, personalization, and targeted advertising. You may change your settings at any time or accept the default settings. You may close this banner to continue with only essential cookies. [Privacy Policy](#)



Manage Preferences

Accept All

Reject Non-Essential