

# Earnings Management Using the Valuation Allowance for Deferred Tax Assets under SFAS No. 109\*

Catherine M. Schrand, M. H. Franco Wong

First published: 15 January 2010

<https://doi.org/10.1506/480D-098U-607R-5D9W>

Citations: 174

\* Accepted by Gord Richardson. We thank participants at the UCLA accounting workshop and the 1999 Summer Symposium on Accounting Research at HKUST for their comments and suggestions. We especially thank Gord Richardson, the editor, and two anonymous referees, David Aboody, Gary Biddle, Kevin Chen, Peter Chen, Carla Hayn, Patricia Hughes, Greg Miller, Chul Park, and T. J. Wong. We also thank Steve Pilloff of the Federal Reserve Board for providing regulatory data and Lukas Nebehay for research assistance. We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of I/B/E/S International Inc. for providing earnings per share forecast data, available through the International Brokers Estimate System.

## Abstract

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (SFAS No. 109) allows firms to use their discretion to set arbitrarily high valuation allowances against deferred tax assets. Firms can then later use these "hidden reserves" to manage earnings. Our evidence indicates that most banks do not record a valuation allowance to manage earnings, but rather to follow the guidelines of SFAS No. 109. However, if the bank is sufficiently well capitalized to absorb the current-period impact on capital, then the amount of the valuation allowance increases with a bank's capital. In later years, bank managers adjust the valuation allowance to smooth earnings. The magnitude of the discretionary adjustment increases with the deviation of unadjusted earnings from the forecast or historical earnings.

## References

Abarbanell, J., and R. Lehavy. 2002. Differences in commercial database reported earnings: Implications for inferences concerning analyst forecast rationality, the association between prices and earnings, and firm reporting discretion. Working paper, University of North Carolina.

[Google Scholar](#)

Accounting Principles Board. 1967. *Opinion No. 11: Accounting for income taxes*. New York: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

[Google Scholar](#)

American Banker. 1993. FDIC proposes to let banks count tax loss carryforwards as capital. April 21.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

American Banker. 1994. Reserves rule to remain in force; Use of deferred-tax assets limited. November 22.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Bartov, E. 1993. The timing of asset sales and earnings manipulation. *Accounting Review* 68 (4): 840-55.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Bartov, E., D. Givoly, and C. Hayn. 2002. The rewards to meeting or beating earnings expectations. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 33 (2): 173-204.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Beatty, A., S. L. Chamberlain, and J. Magliolo. 1995. Managing financial reports of commercial banks: The influence of taxes, regulatory capital, and earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research* 33 (2): 231-61.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Beaver, W. H., P. Kettler, and M. Scholes. 1970. The association between market determined and accounting determined risk measures. *Accounting Review* 45 (4): 654-82.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Behn, B. K., T. V. Eaton, and J. R. Williams. 1998. The determinants of the deferred tax allowance account under SFAS 109. *Accounting Horizons* 12 (1): 63-78.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Brezovec, D., and T. Snow. 1992. The complexity of Statement 109. *Bankers Magazine* 175 (4): 68-74.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Burgstahler, D., and M. Eames. 2003. Earnings management to Avoid Losses and Earnings Decreases: Are Analysts Fooled *Contemporary Accounting Research* 20 (2): 253-94.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Cocco, A. F., D. M. Ivancevich, G. A. Vent, and J. C. Zimmerman. 1994. FASB 106's deferred tax implications. *Journal of Accountancy* 178 (4): 89-91.

[Google Scholar](#)

Collins, D. W., M. S. Rozeff, and D. S. Dhaliwal. 1981. The economic determinants of the market reaction to proposed mandatory accounting changes in the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 3 (1): 37-71.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Collins, J. H., D. A. Shackelford, and J. M. Wahlen. 1995. Bank differences in the coordination of regulatory capital, earnings and taxes. *Journal of Accounting Research* 33 (2): 263-91.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Davis, A. 1999. SEC case claims profit "management" by Grace. *Wall Street Journal*, April 7, C1.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Dhaliwal, D., C. A. Gleason, and L. F. Mills. 2002. Last chance earnings management: Using tax expense to achieve earnings targets. Working paper, University of Arizona.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Degeorge, F., J. Patel, and R. Zeckhauser. 1999. Earnings management to exceed thresholds. *Journal of Business* 72 (1): 1-33.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Docking, D., M. Hirschey, and E. Jones. 1997. Information and contagion effects of bank loan-loss reserve announcements. *Journal of Financial Economics* 43 (2): 219-39.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). 1998. *FDIC Banking Review*. Washington, DC: FDIC.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). 1997. *History of the eighties, lessons for the future*. Washington, DC: FDIC.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1987. *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 96: Accounting for income taxes*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1992. *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109: Accounting for income taxes*. Stamford, CT: FASB.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Imhoff, E. A., and J. K. Thomas. 1988. Economic consequences of accounting standards: The lease disclosure rule change. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 10 (4): 277–310.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Kasznik, R., and M. F. McNichols. 2002. Does meeting expectations matter? Evidence from analyst forecast revisions and share prices. *Journal of Accounting Research* 40 (3): 727–59.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Khalaf, R. 1993. Read those footnotes! *Forbes*, February 15, 154.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Lys, Thomas. 1984. Mandated accounting changes and debt covenants: The case of oil and gas accounting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 6 (1): 39–65.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Maddala, G. S. 1983. *Limited-dependent and qualitative variables in econometrics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

---

Matsumoto, D. A. 2002. Management's incentives to avoid negative earnings surprises. *Accounting Review* 77 (3): 483–514.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Miller, G., and D. J. Skinner. 1998. Determinants of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets under SFAS No. 109. *Accounting Review* 73 (2): 213–33.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Minton, B. A., and C. Schrand. 1999. The impact of cash flow volatility on discretionary investment and the costs of debt and equity financing. *Journal of Financial Economics* 54 (3): 423–60.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

---

Moehrle, S. R. 2002. Do firms use restructuring charge reversals to meet earnings targets *Accounting Review* 77 (2): 397–413.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Moyer, S. E. 1990. Capital adequacy ratio regulations and accounting choices in commercial banks. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 13 (2): 123-54.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Petree, T. R., G. J. Gregory, and R. J. Vitray. 1995. Evaluating deferred tax assets. *Journal of Accountancy* 179 (3): 71-7.

[Google Scholar](#)

Read, W. J. and R. A. J. Bartsch. 1992. Accounting for deferred taxes under FASB 109. *Journal of Accountancy* 174 (6): 36-41.

[Google Scholar](#)

Robb, S. W. G. 1998. The effect of analysts' forecasts on earnings management in financial institutions. *Journal of Financial Research* 21 (3): 315-31.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rose, P. 1996. *Commercial bank management*. New York: Irwin.

[Google Scholar](#)

Slovin, M. B., M. E. Sushka, and J. A. Polonchek. 1999. An analysis of contagion and competitive effects at commercial banks. *Journal of Financial Economics* 54 (2): 197-225.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

White, G. I., A. C. Sondhi, and D. Fried. 1998. *The analysis and use of financial statements*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc..

[Google Scholar](#)

## Citing Literature



[Download PDF](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[About Cookies](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

[Developing World Access](#)

### **HELP & SUPPORT**

[Contact Us](#)

[Training and Support](#)

[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)

### **OPPORTUNITIES**

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

### **CONNECT WITH WILEY**

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)

---

Copyright © 1999-2025 John Wiley & Sons, Inc or related companies. All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies.

**WILEY**