

The Link between Earnings Conservatism and the Price-to-Book Ratio*

JINHAN PAE, DANIEL B. THORNTON, MICHAEL WELKER

First published: 15 January 2010

<https://doi.org/10.1506/9FDN-N6ED-LJE9-A1HL>

Citations: 127

* Accepted by Gord Richardson. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada and Queen's School of Business. They also appreciate receiving constructive suggestions and comments on previous versions of the paper from workshop participants at the University of Georgia (J.M. Tull School of Accounting), the University of Calgary, Queen's University, HEC Montréal, and the University of Waterloo. Particular thanks go to Linda Bamber, Benjamin Ayres, Steve Baginski, Bill Cannon, Claude Laurin, Peter Martin, Isabel Wang, George Wilson, the referees, and the editor.

Abstract

We hypothesize and find that (1) earnings conservatism, the tendency of firms to recognize bad news in earnings on a more timely basis than good news, is substantially greater in portfolios of firms with lower price-to-book ratios than in portfolios of firms with higher price-to-book ratios; and (2) the negative association between earnings conservatism and the price-to-book ratio stems primarily from the accrual component of earnings, not the operating cash flow component of earnings. Our results suggest that studies using earnings-returns associations to investigate cross-sectional or time-series differences in earnings conservatism risk drawing erroneous inferences unless the research designs control for cross-sectional or time-series variation in price-to-book ratios.

References

Ball, R., S. P. Kothari, and A. Robin. 2000. The effect of international institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 29 (1): 1–51.

[PubMed](#) | [Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Basu, S. 1997. The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 24 (1): 3–37.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Beaver, W., and S. Ryan. 2004. Conditional and unconditional conservatism: Concepts and modeling. Working paper, Review of Accounting Studies Conference, September.

[Google Scholar](#)

Bliss, J. H. 1924. *Management through accounts*. New York: Ronald Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

Chandra, U., C. Wasley, and G. Waymire, 2004. *Income conservatism in the U.S. technology sector*. Financial Research and Policy Working Paper No. FR 04-01. Working paper, University of Rochester.

[Google Scholar](#)

Feltham, G., and J. Ohlson. 1996. Uncertainty resolution and the theory of depreciation measurement. *Journal of Accounting Research* 34 (2): 209–34.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1975. *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5: Accounting for contingencies*. Norwalk, CT: FASB.

[Google Scholar](#)

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 1980. *Statement of Concepts No. 2: Qualitative characteristics of accounting information*. Norwalk, CT: FASB.

[Google Scholar](#)

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). 2001. *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142: Goodwill and other intangible assets*. Norwalk, CT: FASB.

[Google Scholar](#)

Givoly, D., and C. Hayn. 2000. The changing time-series properties of earnings, cash flows and accruals: Has financial reporting become more conservative *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 29 (3): 287–320.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Hribar, P., and D. Collins. 2002. Errors in estimating accruals: Implications for empirical research. *Journal of Accounting Research* 40 (1): 105–34.

[Web of Science®](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

Kwon, Y., D. Newman, and Y. Suh. 2001. The demand for accounting conservatism for management control. *Review of Accounting Studies* 6 (1): 29–51.

[Google Scholar](#)

McCallig, J. M. 2003. *The impact of “timing” conservatism and “capitalization” conservatism on the relationship between earnings and returns*. Working paper, University College, Dublin.

[Google Scholar](#)

Richardson, G., and S. Tinaikar. 2004. Accounting based valuation models: What have we learned *Accounting and Finance* 44 (2): 223-55.

[Google Scholar](#)

Watts, R. 2003. Conservatism in accounting part I: Explanations and implications. *Accounting Horizons* 17 (4): 207-21.

[Google Scholar](#)

Citing Literature



[Download PDF](#)

ABOUT WILEY ONLINE LIBRARY

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[About Cookies](#)

[Manage Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Wiley Research DE&I Statement and Publishing Policies](#)

[Developing World Access](#)

HELP & SUPPORT

[Contact Us](#)

[Training and Support](#)

[DMCA & Reporting Piracy](#)

OPPORTUNITIES

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

CONNECT WITH WILEY

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)

